ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING IN THE WORKPLACE: A SCOPING REVIEW

Abstract

The fusion of machine learning and algorithms of artificial intelligence has transformed management and decision-making processes in a variety of industries. This paper examines the various ways through which AI is being used to improve workforce engagement, strategic planning, and organizational effectiveness. AI-driven solutions allow data-driven insights, predictive analytics, and automation by utilizing massive datasets, helping managers make wise decisions. Previous literature has shown how AI is being used rigorously in the workplaces to optimize organizational outcomes. However, there still remains scope for further research in the field.

The optimization of management processes and the emerging field of AI algorithms and machine learning applications are explored in this scoping review. This study purports to offer a detailed overview of the different ways AI and machine learning tools are used to improve organizational effectiveness with an emphasis on synthesizing and mapping the existing literature.

Findings suggest that AI and Machine Learning can elevate the functioning of organization through combined effective decision-making of humans and AI algorithms, as well as the management of organization functions. The study suggests strategies for conducive collaboration of human-centric and AI-centric decision making for better and productive organizational outcomes.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, machine learning, workplace, decision-making, management.

Authors

Vaishnavi Nambiar
Research Scholar
Department of Psychology
Manipal University
Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.
vaishnavinambiar06@gmail.com

Dr. Suyesha Singh*
Assistant Professor
Department of Psychology
Manipal University
Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.
suyeshasingh@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

In the area of workplace decision-making and management process optimization, the fusion of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms with machine learning (ML) techniques has recently emerged as a paradigm-shifting phenomenon. Due to AI, scientists believe that future generations will see significant modifications in the type of employment caused by the exponentially growing effect of the AI-driven tools in workplace and community (Butler, 2016; Davenport & Kirby, 2016). Organizational operations, commercial strategy, and human resource management have all undergone significant changes as a result of this dynamic convergence of technology and business strategies.

Additionally, improving employee engagement and happiness is a key function of AI and ML. These technologies offer employees specialized experiences that are catered to their requirements and preferences through individualized communication and feedback methods. Employees can receive real-time assistance from chatbots and virtual assistants, and sentiment analysis can be used to assess their wellbeing. AI-driven solutions promote greater levels of productivity and job satisfaction by building a more welcoming and encouraging work atmosphere.

The foundation of AI and ML tools in the workplace is automation. Automating repetitive and time-consuming tasks allows managers to concentrate on more valuable strategic objectives. AI-powered solutions can effectively manage administrative tasks like payroll, scheduling, and data entry, resulting in improved operational efficiency and cost savings. To make sure that automation supports human creativity and critical thinking, a balanced strategy is necessary.

This paper aims to therefore understand how AI and ML is being utilized at the workplace to optimize employee and organizational processes to make decisions.

II. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING AT WORKPLACE

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) integration into the workplace environment has emerged as a critical element in determining organizational performance in a time of fast technological advancement. AI may be defined as robots executing cognitive tasks, such as learning, interacting, and problem-solving, that have historically been attributed to human brains (Raisch and Krakowski, 2019; Nilsson, 1971). AI has ability of a tool that accurately comprehend outside information, for learning using such information, and to use those learnings to accomplish particular targets and activities (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). AI technology will be used by organizations more frequently, which will have an impact on how and whether employees see meaning in their work. AI can be seen as the capability of tech-driven tools capacity to conduct activities that would generally be categorized that require intelligence when done by individuals, including reasoning, plan, solve issues, and learn using circumstantial information (Wang, 2019). These innovations are changing how companies run, make decisions, and interact with their staff. The significance of AI and ML at workplaces are as given below:

1. **Decision-Making Using Data:** Organizations can use data to make informed decisions thanks to AI and ML. Leaders are better able to make strategic decisions that are

supported by insights gleaned from patterns, trends, and correlations in the data when they have the capability to swiftly and accurately evaluate enormous information. As a result, resource allocation, market forecasts, and risk assessments are more accurate, ultimately improving corporate performance as a whole.

2. **Optimizing Organizational Processes:** Manual procedures and inefficiencies might obstruct productivity and expansion. Automation is introduced by AI and ML to simplify repetitive processes, lowering errors and freeing up human resources to concentrate on higher-value work. This boosts operational effectiveness, lowers expenses, and expedites corporate procedures, increasing competitiveness.

3. **Enhancement of Client-Experience:** Customer experiences are improved through chatbots, virtual assistants, and recommendation systems powered by AI. Real-time interactions and customized solutions that adapt to unique preferences and needs are made possible by these technologies. Organizations can customize their goods and communication tactics to cater to client preferences and behavior, increasing customer satisfaction and loyalty.

4. **Increased Engagement Of Employees:** Not only are AI and ML technologies changing client experiences, but they are also improving staff engagement. A more motivated and content workforce is a result of personalized learning routes, feedback systems, and AI-driven insights into career growth. As a result, retention rates increase and workplace cultures improve.

5. **Analyzing Future Trends:** Future trends and outcomes can be predicted with the help of predictive analytics, which is powered by AI and ML. Whether it's forecasting market demand, recognizing potential dangers, or comprehending personnel turnover patterns, organizations can take proactive decisions based on data-driven projections. By being proactive, firms can avoid unpleasant surprises and maintain their competitive edge.

6. **Improving Organizational Innovativeness:** By examining market patterns, customer preferences, and new technologies, AI and ML technologies can be used to promote innovation. With the help of these insights, businesses can find chances for diversification, disruptive innovation, and product or service enhancements.

The importance of AI and ML in the workplace is apparent, to sum up. These technologies are changing how businesses function and innovate, from improved customer experiences and process optimization to staff engagement and data-driven decision-making. Organizations must overcome ethical issues and invest in upskilling their personnel to accommodate this quickly changing environment in order to fully grasp the rewards. The strategic fusion of AI and ML is not only a change in technology; it is also a path towards a workplace that is more effective, efficient, and prepared for the future.

III. IMPLICATION OF AI AND ML IN DECISION-MAKING PROCESS OF ORGANIZATION

AI is increasingly being used in workplaces across numerous industries for decision-making. Applications include process automation, predictive modeling, data analysis, and individualized suggestions. The adoption of ML and AI in the workplace has far-reaching effects on many aspects of organizational effectiveness. These technologies' effects on productivity, decision-making, and overall organizational performance are becoming more and more obvious as they develop.

The use of ML to help human decision-making has frequently looked into how AI and people may complement one another. One example is having ML models understand when to defer to people as provided by Keswani et al., (2021) and Madras et al., (2018), or in developing analytical AI technologies that support intuition of humans (Calisto et al., 2021; Calisto et al., 2022; Jarrahi, 2018). Researchers have explored whether ML models can anticipate outcomes more accurately than humans in particular situations, even concluding that models can sometimes beat human decision makers when additional human unpredictability is taken into account (Kleinberg et al., 2018). The fact that impacted parties are infrequently involved in the establishment of automatic tools that can decide itself is one critique of them. Lack of participation can result in damaging systems as well as decreased confidence from those who feel algorithms are unjust (Woodruff et al., 2018).

Responsible tools that enable one to take automatic decisions using ML or method for stakeholders that are not educated to use ML is a particularly difficult activity, even while participatory techniques attempt to create designs using AI/ML that encourage more inclusivity and egalitarian (Zhang et al., 2023).

Decision-making at work is impacted and impacted by AI in many different ways. By utilizing data, forecasting results, automating processes, and customizing experiences, it enables firms to make informed decisions. However, in order to guarantee that AI-driven judgments are in line with company goals and values, ethical concerns, openness, and responsible deployment are crucial.

IV. EFFECTIVENESS OF AI AND ML IN CONDUCTING MANAGEMENT PROCESSES

AI has proven to be remarkably effective when integrated into management processes for increasing productivity, improving decision-making, and optimizing many aspects of organizational operations. The powers of AI have completely transformed how managers handle jobs, analyze data, and plan for the future.

Recent research has highlighted the advantages of using ML technologies based on AI to foster diversity (Daugherty et al., 2018), diversity of employees (Pan et al., 2022), variables impacting HRM managers' adoption of AI (Suseno et al., 2022), boosting the use of AI to improve organizational experiences for workers (Malik et al., 2022), the effect of AI on employment positions, duties, tasks, and the meaning of job (Wilson et al., 2017), significance of including transparent AI algorithms (Budhwar et al., 2023), and conflicting interests when developing algorithmic inclusion (Kelan, 2023).

Li et al., (2023) had examined the effect of AI in conducting allocation of resources, and innovation efficacy of various companies in China. Corporate innovation efficiency is considerably increased by AI application. Furthermore, the authors discover that the major economic modalities of reallocating innovation resources—extremifying outside market rivalry and reducing internal structure of organization—play a moderating role. Furthermore, in businesses with a larger scale and less management power, AI has a huge impact on the effectiveness of corporate innovation. Additionally, greater the impact on workplace creativity efficacy, the more is the development of AI.

In conclusion, the ability of AI to harness data for better decision-making, expedite operations, and foster innovation is obvious in how well it conducts managerial activities. Managers are given the tools to optimize operations, respond to changing market conditions, and guide their enterprises to long-term success in a technologically developing world through the strategic integration of AI.

V. REPLACEMENT OF EMPLOYEES WITH AI AND ML AT ORGANIZATION

The fast development of automation and AI technology has sparked discussions about the possibility for AI-driven systems in businesses to take the place of human workers. 77% of the workforce in China and 71% of the workforce in India have used AI in their work in some capacity (Jaiswal et al., 2022). As few researchers prove the increased loss of job caused due to AI, there are few who claim that it may also complement the existing workplace employment opportunities. Further research is hence, required in the area. (Tschang & Almirall, 2020). Although the idea of greater productivity and lower costs is alluring, the change towards an AI-driven workforce brings difficult issues regarding the ethical, social, economic, and strategic ramifications.

VI. CHALLENGES

1. **Displacement of Job:** The displacement of human workers as AI takes over routine and repetitive tasks is a major worry. Research has observed more attrition rates and loss of job as AI becomes popular in the menial activities individuals previously did (Bughin et al., 2018; Schleicher & Paris, 2016; Koski, 2018). One of the first nations to adopt AI trainings was Finland, which aims to provide workers, unemployed people, and business owners with knowledge about roles, salaries, and job advancements (Koski, 2018). Employers who experiment with and implement new technology, such as automatic pick-up using AI-driven tools in workstations of trucks and planes, may occasionally "de-skill" or even potentially oust their employees as a result (Gutelius & Theodore, 2019). This raises concerns about the effect on unemployment rates and the requirement for measures to reskill and upskill the workforce in order to prepare them for new roles.

2. **Ethical Concerns:** AI employee replacement presents ethical concerns about employee welfare, potential livelihood loss, and assuring fair treatment and remuneration throughout the transition. The system of AI can use various ML methods for tracing ideas in the information, though it is not clear about the process of arriving at conclusions. When testing technology that "speed up, regulate, or streamline human labor," like computerized productivity monitoring, ethical questions may come up (Gutelius & Theodore, 2019). Other (unwanted) effects might include employees feeling as though

they lack the authority to do certain actions, such as being unable to disable a technology's "automatic" mode in order to recover complete control over it or having their personal data misused (Hosseini et al., 2023). This calls into question the accountability, openness, and auditability of the ability of AI to make decisions along with involvement in individual process of decision-making (Kazim et al., 2021; Ivanov & Umbrello, 2021).

3. **Touch of Human Employees:** Empathy, creativity, and critical thinking are just a few of the human traits that many occupations demand and which AI systems would find difficult to imitate. Businesses run the danger of losing the special attributes that people offer to complicated problem-solving, creative work, and customer service. Artificial systems have the capacity to produce innovative and useful modifications of already existing concepts, strategies, systems, and artifacts. Furthermore, even with advancements and modifications to AI technology, it's unlikely that this capability would eventually develop into an independent capacity for innovation, but rather into greater degrees of increased innovation at a reduced price. Although computational algorithms may continue to advance, the logic (why), not the how (the process), is what will ultimately govern automated innovation (Cropley et al., 2023; Wingström et al., 2023). No matter how advanced AI technology gets, humans will always be needed to define problems and validate solutions because that is what makes us creative. Furthermore, as de-routine, de-algorithmic, de-automatable activities and activities become increasingly prevalent in the workforce of the future, the value of training people in creativity grows.

4. **Disrupting Workplace Culture:** Due to changes in their duties and responsibilities, employees may feel uncertain, undervalued, or detached, which could cause the integration of AI to destabilize the current organizational culture. Workers speculated that wellbeing sensing technology may have cascading effects on their daily life, interpersonal interactions with coworkers, and organizational culture as a whole. Beliefs about how societal shapes and associations may form the implications and utilization of these tech-driven tools, ambiguous and dealignment surrounding ideas of "employee wellness," implying technological constraints to organizational sensitivity, and these damages were all expected by the participants (Kawakami et al., 2023; Merhi, 2023).

VII. ADDRESSING THE CHALLENGES OF EMPLOYEE REPLACEMENT

1. **Retraining and Re-Skilling the Employees:** Recruitment and applicant selection are two crucial regions of human resource management AI has significance (Chaudhuri et al., 2020). Existing tools for the purpose of recruitment and selecting candidates are effectively modified for the same. Bots using AI assess the potentiality of applicants through the data that is trained and screening is performed to check for the suitable characteristics. Hence, companies must spend resources on reskilling and upskilling initiatives to provide workers the abilities they need to work productively with AI technologies and move into new roles.

2. **Deploying AI with Regard to Ethical Policies:** Deploying AI responsibly and ethically is crucial. Businesses must make sure AI-driven judgments are transparent, responsible, and free of prejudices that can have unexpected repercussions. AI4People's code of ethics for AI is an example for ethical principles for using AI at workplace. Its five principles

are providing benefits, causing no harm, independence and justified actions (Floridi et al., 2018). Beneficence, or the positive effects AI can have on enhancing human welfare and upholding human dignity in a way that is environmentally sustainable. The goal of non-maleficence is to prevent AI from harming mankind, which includes preserving the privacy of individuals and the safety of tech-driven tools. Providing people, the ability to control the functions of AI is what autonomy is all about. Justice entails equally sharing the advantages and disadvantages of AI use while preserving societal cohesiveness and unity. Last but not least, explicability refers to ensuring that AI behaves in a way that is understandable and accountable, so that we may demand that someone be held accountable for its activities. The mentioned codes of conduct prompt one to concentrate on the advantages and disadvantages of AI that may have for employees in work meaningfulness context, including how it may affect their tasks, skills, and social relationships, how it may manage employees' autonomy, how the advantages and disadvantages of AI are distributed, and the level of responsibility and comprehension in organizational deployments of AI (Bankins & Formosa, 2023).

3. **Enhancing Opportunities for Collaboration:** Organizations can encourage a collaborative atmosphere where people and AI systems complement each other's capabilities rather than seeing AI as a substitute. Employing AI for efficiency while preserving the human touch required for empathy, creativity, and sophisticated problem-solving is a delicate balance that organizations should strike. The personal characteristics of working using tech-driven tools are being addressed by recent developments in AI, which also emphasize transparency of AI and comprehension, ethical codes of AI, as well as online transition through education and awareness of AI (Fui-Hoon Nah et al., 2023; Krzywdzinski et al., 2023). AI help alters job design by stepping up interactions between staff members and more important clients. Greater-talented employees may now be capable of producing innovative and productive outcomes and might experience happiness at workplace. Lesser- talented employees when compared, may perform minor modifications in work using AI and face ad experiences at organization. (Jia et al., 2023; To`th et al., 2023). Hence, when employees are trained to work with AI-driven tools, it improves their productiveness at organization.

In conclusion, the use of AI to replace human workers in organizations is a difficult shift that necessitates thorough consideration of ethical, social, and strategic issues. A commitment to upholding human dignity, promoting workforce development, and establishing a future in which human and AI capabilities coexist in harmony must underpin the use of AI, even while it presents great prospects for efficiency and creativity.

VIII. STRATEGIES TO COLLABORATE HUMAN-CENTRIC AND AI-CENTRIC DECISION MAKING AT WORKPLACE

A unique opportunity to leverage the benefits of both human expertise and AI capabilities is presented by the incorporation of AI into organizational decision-making processes. To fully utilize these technologies while preserving the human touch and ethical considerations, a balance must be struck between human-centric and AI-centric decision-making methodologies. The following techniques can be used in businesses to effectively combine human- and AI-centered decision-making:

1. **Clarifying Boundaries of Role and Responsibility:** Establish roles and responsibilities for AI and people in the decision-making process (Wessel et al., 2018; Gutelius et al., 2019). Recognize the activities which may be automatically performed by tech-driven tools that might need individual discretion, imagination, empathy, and strategic thinking (Hosseini et al., 2023). Clarity promotes teamwork and prevents duplication.

2. **Educate Employees and Leaders:** Employees and management should receive training to better comprehend the potential and constraints of AI. As a result, they are better equipped to make decisions that are consistent with the objectives and core values of the organization and effectively utilize insights given by AI (Abdullah & Fakieh, 2020).

3. **Encourage Employee Collaboration:** Encourage cooperation amongst various departments and teams. Cross-functional teams can successfully combine human domain expertise with AI insights to create well-rounded judgments that take a variety of viewpoints into account (Choudhury et al., 2022; Sowa et al., 2021).

4. **Fostering transparency in AI:** Maintain openness in AI models and algorithms. For employees to accept and comprehend insights produced by AI, explainable AI is crucial. Transparent algorithms encourage accountability and assist in preventing biases from influencing judgments (Chan, 2023). Encourage open dialogue between workers and AI professionals. Make the decision-making process visible by outlining the sources of AI-generated insights and how they affect the choices made (Rudiyanto et al., 2023).

5. **Human Supervision:** Apply human oversight to important choices involving insights generated by AI (De Cramer& Kasporov, 2021). While AI can offer data-driven recommendations, human specialists should analyze and validate these proposals before making a final choice.

   A strategic strategy that values both human expertise and AI capabilities is necessary for the successful collaboration between human-centric and AI-centric decision-making. Organizations may utilize the advantages of AI while retaining human creativity, empathy, and critical thinking in the decision-making processes by defining roles, encouraging a collaborative culture, emphasizing ethics, and promoting openness.

IX. CONCLUSION

There are significant benefits to incorporating AI algorithms and ML into management and decision-making processes in the workplace. Organizations may boost their levels of efficiency, strategic agility, and employee happiness by utilizing the power of data-driven insights, predictive analytics, and automation. To fully utilize AI's potential and achieve a harmonious synergy between technical innovation and human brilliance, however, a conscious approach to ethics and bias mitigation is essential.

REFERENCES

[1] Abdullah, R., & Fakieh, B. (2020). Health care employees' perceptions of the use of artificial intelligence applications: survey study. Journal of medical Internet research, 22(5), e17620.
[2] Ågerfalk, P. J. (2020). Artificial intelligence as digital agency. European Journal of Information Systems, 29(1), 1-8.

[3] Bankins, S., & Formosa, P. (2023). The ethical implications of artificial intelligence (AI) for meaningful work. Journal of Business Ethics, 1-16.
[4] Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., ... & Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. Human Resource Management Journal.
[5] Bughin, J., Hazan, E., Lund, S., Dahlström, P., Wiesinger, A., & Subramaniam, A. (2018). Skill shift: Automation and the future of the workforce. McKinsey Global Institute, 1, 3-84.
[6] Butler, D. (2016). A world where everyone has a robot: why 2040 could blow your mind. Nature, 530(7591), 398-401.
[7] Calisto, F. M., Santiago, C., Nunes, N., & Nascimento, J. C. (2021). Introduction of human-centric AI assistant to aid radiologists for multimodal breast image classification. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 150, 102607.
[8] Calisto, F. M., Santiago, C., Nunes, N., & Nascimento, J. C. (2022). BreastScreening-AI: Evaluating medical intelligent agents for human-AI interactions. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 127, 102285.
[9] Cappelli, P., Tambe, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2020). Can data science change human resources? The future of management in an AI world: Redefining purpose and strategy in the fourth industrial revolution, 93-115.
[10] Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20(1), 1-25.
[11] Chaudhuri, K., Varma, A., & Malik, A. (2020). Artificial Intelligence as an antidote for managing people in organizations: How realistic? Paper presented at the British Academy of Management Conference 2020.
[12] Chowdhury, S., Budhwar, P., Dey, P. K., Joel-Edgar, S., & Abadie, A. (2022). AI-employee collaboration and business performance: Integrating knowledge-based view, socio-technical systems and organisational socialisation framework. Journal of Business Research, 144, 31-49.
[13] Cropley, D. H., Medeiros, K. E., & Damadzic, A. (2023). The intersection of human and artificial creativity. In Creative provocations: Speculations on the future of creativity, technology & learning (pp. 19-34). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
[14] Daugherty, P. R., Wilson, H. J., & Michelman, P. (2019). Revisiting the jobs artificial intelligence will create. MIT Sloan Management Review, 60(4), 0_1-0_8.
[15] Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Just how smart are smart machines? MIT Sloan Management Review, 57(3), 21–25.
[16] De Cremer, D., & Kasparov, G. (2021). AI should augment human intelligence, not replace it. Harvard Business Review, 18, 1.
[17] Einola, K., & Khoreva, V. (2023). Best friend or broken tool? Exploring the co-existence of humans and artificial intelligence in the workplace ecosystem. Human Resource Management, 62(1), 117-135.
[18] Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Rossi, F. (2018). AI4People—An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations, Atomium. European Institute for Science, Media and Democracy: Brussels, Belgium.
[19] Fui-Hoon Nah, F., Zheng, R., Cai, J., Siau, K., & Chen, L. (2023). Generative AI and ChatGPT: Applications, challenges, and AI-human collaboration. Journal of Information Technology Case and Application Research, 1-28.
[20] Gutelius, B., & Theodore, N. (2019). The future of warehouse work: Technological change in the US logistics industry, 57, 289.
[21] Hosseini, Z., Nyholm, S., Le Blanc, P. M., Preenen, P. T., & Demerouti, E. (2023). Assessing the artificially intelligent workplace: an ethical framework for evaluating experimental technologies in workplace settings. AI and Ethics, 1-13.
[22] Ivanov, S. H., & Umbrello, S. (2021). The ethics of artificial intelligence and robotisation in tourism and hospitality–a conceptual framework and research agenda. Journal of Smart Tourism, 1(4), 9-18.
[23] Jaiswal, A., Arun, C. J., & Varma, A. (2022). Rebooting employees: Upskilling for artificial intelligence in multinational corporations. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 33(6), 1179-1208.
[24] Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. Business horizons, 61(4), 577-586.
[25] Jia, N., Luo, X., Fang, Z., & Liao, C. (2023). When and how artificial intelligence augments employee creativity. Academy of Management Journal, (ja).
[26] Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. Business horizons, 62(1), 15-25.

[27] Kawakami, A., Chowdhary, S., Iqbal, S. T., Liao, Q. V., Olteanu, A., Suh, J., & Saha, K. (2023). Sensing Wellbeing in the Workplace, Why and For Whom? Envisioning Impacts with Organizational Stakeholders. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06794.
[28] Kazim, E., Denny, D.M.T., & Koshiyama, A. (2021). AI auditing and impact assessment: according to the UK information commissioner's office. AI Ethics (in press).
[29] Kleinberg, J., Lakkaraju, H., Leskovec, J., Ludwig, J., & Mullainathan, S. (2018). Human decisions and machine predictions. The quarterly journal of economics, 133(1), 237-293.
[30] Krzywdzinski, M., Gerst, D., & Butollo, F. (2023). Promoting human-centred AI in the workplace. Trade unions and their strategies for regulating the use of AI in Germany. Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research, 29(1), 53-70.
[31] Li, C., Xu, Y., Zheng, H., Wang, Z., Han, H., & Zeng, L. (2023). Artificial intelligence, resource reallocation, and corporate innovation efficiency: Evidence from China's listed companies. Resources Policy, 81, 103324.
[32] Madras, D., Pitassi, T., & Zemel, R. (2018). Predict responsibly: improving fairness and accuracy by learning to defer. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31.
[33] Malik, A., Budhwar, P., Patel, C., & Srikanth, N. R. (2022). May the bots be with you! Delivering HR cost-effectiveness and individualised employee experiences in an MNE. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 33(6), 1148-1178.
[34] Merhi, M. I. (2023). An evaluation of the critical success factors impacting artificial intelligence implementation. International Journal of Information Management, 69, 102545.
[35] Nilsson, N. J. (1971). Problem-solving methods in. Artificial Intelligence, 5.
[36] Pan, Y., Froese, F., Liu, N., Hu, Y., & Ye, M. (2022). The adoption of artificial intelligence in employee recruitment: The influence of contextual factors. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 33(6), 1125-1147.
[37] Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. Academy of management review, 46(1), 192-210.
[38] Rudiyanto, T., Kunda, H., Dunn, A., Shenderovskiy, S., & Gibson, R. (2023). Ethical and Legal Concerns of Artificial Intelligence in the Workplace: Examining Current Legislations in the United States. Lex Publica, 10(1), 84-100.
[39] Schleicher, A., & Paris, O. E. C. D. (2016). Better skills, better jobs, better lives. AED–Adult Education and Development Editorial Mint tea in the garden, 10.
[40] Sowa, K., Przegalinska, A., & Ciechanowski, L. (2021). Cobots in knowledge work: Human–AI collaboration in managerial professions. Journal of Business Research, 125, 135-142.
[41] Sullivan, Y., de Bourmont, M., & Dunaway, M. (2022). Appraisals of harms and injustice trigger an eerie feeling that decreases trust in artificial intelligence systems. Annals of Operations Research, 308, 525-548.
[42] Suseno, Y., Chang, C., Hudik, M., & Fang, E. S. (2022). Beliefs, anxiety and change readiness for artificial intelligence adoption among human resource managers: the moderating role of high-performance work systems. The International Journal of human resource management, 33(6), 1209-1236.
[43] Tóth, A., Nagy, L., Kennedy, R., Bohuš, B., Abonyi, J., & Ruppert, T. (2023). The human-centric Industry 5.0 collaboration architecture. MethodsX, 102260.
[44] Tschang, F. T., & Almirall, E. (2021). Artificial intelligence as augmenting automation: Implications for employment. Academy of Management Perspectives, 35(4), 642-659.
[45] Wang, P. (2019). On defining artificial intelligence. Journal of Artificial General Intelligence, 10(2), 1–37.
[46] Wessel, G., Altendorf, E., Schreck, C., Canpolat, Y., & Flemisch, F. (2019). Cooperation and the role of autonomy in automated driving. Control Strategies for Advanced Driver Assistance Systems and Autonomous Driving Functions: Development, Testing and Verification, 1-27.
[47] Wilson, H. J., Daugherty, P., & Bianzino, N. (2017). The jobs that artificial intelligence will create. MIT Sloan Management Review, 58(4), 14.
[48] Wingström, R., Hautala, J., & Lundman, R. (2023). Redefining creativity in the era of AI? Perspectives of computer scientists and new media artists. Creativity Research Journal, 1-17.
[49] Woodruff, A., Fox, S. E., Rousso-Schindler, S., & Warshaw, J. (2018, April). A qualitative exploration of perceptions of algorithmic fairness. In Proceedings of the 2018 chi conference on human factors in computing systems (pp. 1-14)
[50] .Zhang, A., Walker, O., Nguyen, K., Dai, J., Chen, A., & Lee, M. K. (2023). Deliberating with AI: Improving Decision-Making for the Future through Participatory AI Design and Stakeholder Deliberation. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 7(CSCW1), 1-32.

