

MANAGEMENT OF FRUIT FLIES: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH

Abstract

Fruit flies are the most notorious pests of horticultural commodities throughout the world. Being ubiquitous in distribution, these flies are reported from almost all agroclimatic conditions of the world and are regarded as pests of international significance. The high reproductive potential, polyphagous nature and ability to adapt to varied climatic conditions has made fruit flies a very difficult pest to manage. A single management tactic does not provide effective control of the pest, hence different methods need to be integrated to achieve desired level of control. The present chapter provides information on different management options available along with the level of control achieved with each option.

Fruit flies belong to one of the largest family Tephritidae of the order Diptera. The fruit flies are ubiquitous in distribution occupying regions from tropical, subtropical to temperate regions of the world. There are nearly 4500 species of fruit flies under the family Tephritidae reported throughout the world with 400 species of fruit flies under the genus *Bactrocera* (David and Ramani, 2011). The tephritids are identified by the three costal breaks in the wing at the humeral subcostal and costal vein. The size of the fruit flies differs and is affected by the host (Sharma and Gupta 2018b). Fruit flies belonging to the genus *Bactrocera* are the most devastating pests of fruit and agricultural commodities throughout the world. The change in the climatic conditions has provided adaptive advantage to these fruit flies resulting in frequent outbreaks in various fruit crops (Sultana et al., 2017). Fruit flies affect the quality and quantity of the fruits thereby affecting their production and market potential and leading to about 40- 80 percent crop losses (Kibira et al 2015).

Keywords: Fruit flies integrated approach

Authors

Isha Sharma

Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry
Regional Horticultural Research & Training Station,
Mashobra, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India

Sangita Sharma

Dr Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry
Regional Horticultural Research & Training Station
Mashobra, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India
sangitasharmaento@gmail.com

Vinod Kumar Dubey

Department of Entomology
Post Graduate College of Agriculture
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University
Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.

Fruit flies are responsible for more restrictions on produce movement than any other pest. These are most widely distributed, there are a number of different species that raise quarantine concerns. Since they can be easily transported across the nations through contaminated fruit commodities, countries harbouring these pests invest great amounts to control the pest and also has trade sanctions imposed (Sharma and Thakur, 2007; Sharma et al, 2009).

The European union and US has imposed restriction on the import of fresh fruits from fruit fly reported countries and fruits from such countries can only be imported after nuclear irradiation and strict quarantine inspection (Dhami et al 2016; Rastogi and Deodhar 2008; Reddy et al 2010). The fruit flies are a very difficult pest to manage as the damaging stages remain hidden in the fruit and the full grown larvae fall to the ground for pupation thus escaping the insecticide contact (Heve et al, 2017). Moreover most of the countries have imposed ban on the earlier effective broads spectrum insecticides used for fruit fly management. (Bockmann et al, 2014). The increasing concern among the people and environmentalists for pesticide free food has compelled the scientists and researchers to search for alternative fruit fly management options (Navarro-Ilopis et al, 2011).

The high adaptation potential, polyphagous nature and higher reproductive potential makes it a very difficult pest to manage and cannot be dependent on a single option but requires the integration of various management options. Therefore the chapter highlights the important integrated fruit fly management options for reducing the menace of fruit flies.

I. BIOLOGY OF THE PEST AND CORRECT TAXONOMIC IDENTIFICATION

The effective management of fruit flies require the proper knowledge pertaining to the biology of the pest so that the weak links in the life cycle of the pest can be targeted. The fruit flies makes puncture in the fruit with the help of its ovipositor and deposits the eggs in the fruits. The maggots after completion of the incubation hatch from the eggs and start feeding. The maggots during the first instar are delicate and with the help of the mouth hooks feed on the fruit pulp. After completion of the second instar the maggots moult into the third instar, which is the major feeding stage of the pest. Once these third instar maggots becomes fully feed they leave the rotting fruit and fall to the ground for pupation. The larval skin of the third instar becomes hard to form the puparium in which the maggots pupates. The adult flies emerge from the puparium by cutting a hole in it. Several generations are completed in a year depending on the host availability and favourable conditions (Sharma, 2018). Identification of the fruit fly species is an important aspect of fruit fly management as the fruit flies infesting the vegetables are attracted to cue lure whereas those found in fruits are generally trapped using methyl eugenol. The monitoring and identification are most important aspect in the management of fruit flies (Aluja, 1999). Monitoring of fruit fly population helps us to determine the population of fruit flies present and evaluate effectiveness of different management strategies (Eliopoulos, 2007).

The different management strategies for fruit fly control are mentioned as under :

- 1. Cultural Control:** Managing of fallen fruits is the first and foremost step for controlling the fruit fly infestation. The fallen fruits should be collected and dumped in a pit 50 cm deep and should be covered with soil to ensure cleanliness. The fallen fruits on the ground

severe as a breeding site for the multiplication of the fruit flies. These fallen fruits can also be placed in plastic bags and exposed to sunlight (Reddy, 2020). Higher density of *B. invadens* was found on fallen fruits than from the fruits on the tree, which highlights the importance of orchard sanitation practises for effective fruit fly management (Rwomushana, 2008). The use of black plastic bags has also shown much importance in mangemet of fruit flies. The fallen fruits were placed in black plastic bags and were hung in sun until the fruits rot and the maggots dies. This method was found effective for the mangement of *B. zonata* in Egypt (Mohamed and El-wakkad, 2003). The varying temperature regimes and internal conditions were studied in mango crop, it was observed that the damaged mango fruits in the shade favoured better internal conditons for the growth of the larvae than the mangoes which were present in the sun (Jenkins et al, 2008).

Since fruit flies prefer semi-ripened fruits for egg laying, early harvesting of fruits can also help in lowering the pest population. The harvesting of fruits like banana, mango and oranges should be done at an early stage to avoid damage caused by fruit flies (Badii et al 2015; Sidique et al, 2017). The selection of variety can also have impact in reduction of fruit infestation. Since the maximum infestation by fruit flies was recorded in late summer and autumn maturing varieties hence selection of early maturing varieties can help to manage the attack (Sidique et al, 2017). Further a well managed orchard has less infestation in comparison to an unmanged ones as the intercultural operations can be easily carried out. When effect of pruning from May to Sptember in guava was conducted and was compared with orchards with no pruning, it was observed that minimum fruit infestation was observed in trees pruned in September (9.94%), being at par with infestation in trees pruned in August (11.87 %). Whereas, the maximum infestation was observed in unpruned tress (48.11 %) (Choudhary et al ,2022).

- 2. Mechanical Control:** The mechnaical methods to prevent fruit infestation by the flies includes the use of protective covers such as screens and nets to avoid contact of fruit flies with the fruit. The method of wrapping of the fruits using protective coverings is more effective but wrapping of the fruits is a labour inntensive method and require more cost. Wrapping is usually carried out 1 month earlier to prevent the female fruit flies from laying eggs (Badii et al, 2015).

Behavioural Control: Behavioural control involves the methods which interfere with the normal behavioural aspects of the pest. This inclues use of Pheromones and Sterile insect Technique.

- **MAT:** The pheomones are used to attract the males of the fruit flies. The Male annihilation technique (MAT) is mostly used which is a strategy to kill the male flies by luring them with help of a chemical and killing the attracted males, thereby reducing the availability of the male to mate with the females. When combined with other control strategies MAT can result in eradication of the fruit flies (Dominiak and Nicol, 2012;Dominiak, 2019).

Traps and lures have been used for the mangemnet of fruit flies from over a decade. The first attractant developed for the male fruit flies was Methyl Eugenol by Howlett in 1912 for attracting the males of *Bactrocera zonata* (Verghese et al, 2013). There after different lures were developed by various workers such as kerosene,

Angelica seed oil and trimmed lure for Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* management. Studies for attractiveness of Cuelure for the mangement of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* was demonstrated by Beroza and Green (1963).

The MAT blocks are made up of materials such as plastic or wood (Lloyd et al, 1998, Thakur and Gupta, 2013). The tephritid fruit flies have been managed with the use of MAT for decades in various parts of the world. The eradication of *B. papayae* was carried out using Methyl eugenol based traps in Rota, Okinawa Islands and North Queensland (Steiner et al 1965; Koyama et al, 1984 and Lloyd et al, 1998). The peach fruit fly *B. zonata* was eradicated from Egypt (Ghanim et al, 2010) and *B. dorsalis* in Kenya (Ndlela et al, 2020) and the *Zeugodacus cucurbitae* from Hawaii (Spafford et al, 2018).

The MAT blocks are impregnated with the mixture consisting of alcohol, lure and insecticide. These blocks are then placed in a bottle of any container so that the flies attracted and killed are collected in the container. The chemical lures used to attract the males are Culure (CL) and Methyl eugenol (ME) (Bateman et al., 1966), whereas for a number of years Malathion has been used as a toxicant due to its long activity under field conditions (Lloyd et al 1998 and Thomas and Meats 1999), however due to its toxicity and restricted use is being replaced by spinosad (Sharma, 2018 and Abrol et al, 2019). The effectiveness of the MAT is affected severely by decline in the effectiveness of lure or toxicant (Lloyd et al, 1998; Vargas et al 2015; Manoukis et al, 2019). MAT fails to provide complete control of the fruit flies due to the lack of community participation and unwillingness among the farmers for installation of traps. Often the MAT is combined with other techniques such as the sterile insect release and protein baits where eradication is the main objective.

As the pheromones are unstable in nature and undergo auto-oxidation, photo-oxidation and isomerization and volatility (Cok, 2004), the pheromones when used under field conditions need to be stable. One answer to this problem can be the use of nanogel immobilized pheromones. It was observed that the nanogel were quite effective in the control of fruit flies with higher residual activity and also were found effective during the unfavourable conditions (Bhagat et al., 2013).

- **SIT:** Sterile Insect Technique (SIT), according to the International Plant Protection Convention (FAO, 2005) is defined as a method of pest control using area-wide inundative releases of sterile insects to reduce fertility of field population of the same species. The technique involves the mass rearing of sterile insects and releasing the same in the environment for mating with the females in the wild. This will result in failure of production of offspring by the females. The SIT was successfully used for the first time by Knippling in 1955 (Knippling, 1955) for the eradication of a cattle pest screwworm, *Cochliomyia hominivorax* Coquerel from North and Central America (Klassen and Curtis, 2005). Since then SIT has been used for the eradication of a number of agriculturally and veterinary pests from around the world. Since the 1950's this technique has been used for control of fruit flies from different parts of the world such as *Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett from Japan (Kuba et al 1996) Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tyroni* Froggatt from Western Australia (Sproule et al, 1992), Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* from California and Florida, USA

(Dowell et al 2000; Barry et al, 2004), Hawaii (Steiner et al, 1970), Mexico (Hendrichs et al, 1983) and Chile (Gonzalez and Troncoso 2007).

SIT is a scientifically sound management technique which is used for the control of pests and can also be used to establish fruit fly free zones (Reddy et al 2016). With the advancement in technology, Artificial intelligence is now being used for identification of the treated flies from the normal flies (Gonzalez-Lopez et al, 2022).

The favourable effect of the SIT program estimated in terms of the benefit cost ratio achieved was 150 in Mexico and US and as high as 1600 and 1900 : 1 in Chile and South California respectively (Lindquist and Enkerlin, 2000). It was only after the eradication of the melon fruit fly from Japan that it was able to export horticultural products in the international market (Kakazu, 2002). SIT is the only technique which provides high economic returns.

II. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL

Parasitoids among the biological control strategy are mostly exploited for fruit fly management (Vargas et al 2012; Dias et al, 2018). The parasitoids in the Braconidae family includes *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata*, *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* and *Psytallia* spp. Such as *P. concolor*, *P. fletcheri* and *P. ponerophaga* (Mohamed et al 2008; Montoya et al 2016; Ovruski and Schliserman, 2012). The parasitoid was also reported from the mango growing regions of Himachal Pradesh, India (Sharma, 2018). The ability of *D. longicaudata* to settle in diverse semiarid and tropical environments allowed the management of the pestiferous *Anastrepha* sp. in Brazil (Garcia et al, 2013). The parasitoid, *D. longicaudata* has also been used for the augmentative location-extensive release in various Mexican states (Montoya et al 2007; Montoya et al, 2020). *D. longicaudata* was also used in Peru for the control of *C. capitata* resulting in more than 50 per cent parasitism in 2 years (Gracia et al, 2020). Apart from these the egg- larval parasitoid *Fopius arisanus*, larval parasitoid *D. Tyroni*, *D. fullawayi* and the pupal parasitoid *Coptera silvestri* was also used for the management of fruit flies, especially *C capitata* (Clausen, 1978).

The entomopathogenic fungi have also been used for the management of fruit flies resulting in stimulating effects. *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Isaria fumosorosea* resulted in 90-100 per cent mortality of *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Daniel and Wyss, 2009). The foliar application of *B. bassiana* reduced the fruit fly species by 65 per cent. (Daniel and Wyss, 2010). The entomopathogenic species provided promising results for the management of *C. capitata*, *Bactrocera oleae* and *Z. cucurbitae* (Castillo et al, 200; Yousef et al, 2013; Toledo et al., 2017; Yousef et al., 2014; Sookar et al 2017). Recently, autoinoculative devices and sterile male vectors were tested using three strains of *B. bassiana* for the control of *C. capitata* in coffee (Toledo et al, 2017). The release of the male vectors was a better transmitting agent of the conidial spores.

EPNs have also been used under laboratory conditions like *Steinernema carpocapsae* Weiser (*Neoaplectana carpocapsae*) was found to cause significant mortality in melon fruit fly after 6 days of exposure at 5000–5,000,000 nematodes/cup, and an average of 87.1% mortality in the field when applied at 500 infective juveniles/cm² of soil (Lindegren, 1990).

Table 1 summarizes the natural enemies of fruit flies and the stages that are affected. The two main species used for the management of fruit flies include *Heterorhabditis* spp. (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) and *Steinernema* spp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). The entomopathogenic nematodes have been successfully used for the management of *A. fraterculus*, *A. ludens*, *A. suspensa*, *Dacus ciliatus* and *R. cerasi* (Kamali et al 2013; Foelkel et al 2017; Have et al ,2016; Torrini et al, 2017). These workers suggested the mortality of fruit flies with EPNs ranged from 14-96 per cent and were also influenced by a number of factors.

III. CHEMICAL CONTROL OF FRUIT FLIES

The management of fruit flies with chemicals has been an age old practice and the most commonly followed practice by the farmers. The first chemical to be used for the fruit fly management was DDT and was slowly replaced by organophosphate insecticides Gupta and verma (1978).

In Bait Application technique (BAT), sprays consisting of mixture of Bait and insecticide are applied in the form of spot application. Baits such as protein solutions, fermented sugar solutions, vinegar and fruit juices have been used since 1918 for the capture of females (IAEA 2003).

The bait sprays are applied as spot application which is known to reduce the fruit fly population upto 90 per cent with a cost benefit ratio of 1:9.1 (Ekesi, 2016). This method is an advantageous alternative to the chemical application of insecticide cover sprays (Jenkins and Sheldy 1959; Allwood, 1989). The mixture of water, bait (protein hydrolysate) and insecticide are used in the ratio of 98.6, 0.7: 0.7. this technique is relatively save in comparison to the application of the insecticide alone and is less harmful to the non-target organisms. The combination of MAT and BAT provides added advantage for the mangement of fruit flies (Patel and Mondal, 2011). Both MAT and BAT cannot control the damage caused by fruit flies, they can only be effective when used with other control methods. The MAT when used with Sterile insect Technique has been found effective in irradiation of fruit flies from various islands.

The mangement of fruti flies using either baits or as cover spray both can result in the development of resitance. The development of resitnce in insect can result either from mutations of the tatget site due to the detoxification of the insecticide molecule. *B. cucurbitae* and *B. dorsalis* were reported to show resitance to DDT nad methoxy chlor from Hawaii. Additionally, *B. oleae*, *B. dorsalis* and *C. capitata* have shown resistance to organophosphate insecticides (Nigg et al 2008; Vontas 2018; Hsu et al, 2008). Nigg et al (2008) also reported the resistance of *A. suspensa* to malathion from Taiwan, similarly *C. capitata* was also reported to be resistance to malathion from Spain (Magana et al, 2008).

Quarantine Treatments

- 1. Cold Storage:** Cold storage is commonly used as a quarantine treatment for fruit crops against fruit flies. Temperatures under 3°C are required for 2 weeks or more. This limits use on chilling sensitive vegetables.

2. **Heat Treatments:** Heat treatments are used as a quarantine treatment against fruit flies in chilling sensitive fruit crops.
3. **Fumigation:** There are a number of different fumigants, of which methyl bromide is still widely accepted. It is cheap to apply, fast and can be used as a generic treatment against a range of pests.
4. **Irradiation:** it is fast, accepted as a generic treatment for fruit fly and leaves no residue.

IV. NEW INSECTICIDES

The high degree of resistance to popularly used insecticides has compelled the researchers to search for alternatives. Spinosad has provided effective control of the fruit flies in mango (Sharma and Gupta 2018a, Sharma 2018). Rahman et al (2019) also reported the effectiveness of spinosad and abamectin in fruit fly management. In addition, botanical based pesticides not only provide effective control of the fruit flies (Singh 2003; Silvia et al 2013) but can also be integrated with other parasitoids (Alvarenga et al, 2012). The utilization of spinosad in bait sprays has also provided good control of fruit flies (Michaud, 2003; Flores et al, 2011). Alam and Khan (2021) suggested the efficacy of spinosad in management of cucurbit fruit fly in bottle gourd. El-Gendy et al (2021) suggested the efficacy of abamectin as soil treatment for the control of peach fruit fly, *B. zonata*. Sharma and Gupta (2018) reported better efficacy of spinosad than the popularly used insecticide malathion and azadirachtin in management of *B. dorsalis* and *B. zonata*. Jagarat et al (2023) also reported the efficacy of spinosad in management of fruit flies in bitter gourd.

V. CONCLUSION

Studies on fruit flies which started from as early as 1950's even continue till date as management of this pest is not only difficult but the threat of its introduction to new regions is a cause of concern. The dependence on a sole management tactic cannot control the pest hence integration of different methods needs to be done. Moreover, the scientist will keep searching for alternatives and integration of different management options until the management of the female fruit flies is achieved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abrol, D., Gupta, D., & Sharma, I. (2019). Evaluation of insecticides, biopesticides and clay for the management of fruit fly, *Bactrocera* spp. infesting bottle gourd. *J Entomol Zool Stud*, 7, 311-314.
- [2] Alam, R., & Khan, M. R. (2021). Efficacy of some biopesticides for the management of cucurbit fruit fly (*Bactrocera cucurbitae* Coquillett) infesting bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) in Barind tract of Bangladesh. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud*, 9(6), 203-207.
- [3] Aluja, M. (1999). Fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) research in Latin America: myths, realities and dreams. *Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil*, 28, 565-594.
- [4] Alvarenga, C. D., França, W. M., Giustolin, T. A., Paranhos, B. A. J., Lopes, G. N., Cruz, P. L., & Barbosa, P. R. R. (2012). Toxicity of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed cake to larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae), and its parasitoid, *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Florida Entomologist*, 95(1), 57-62.
- [5] Badii, K. B., Billah, M. K., Afreh-Nuamah, K., Obeng-Ofori, D., & Nyarko, G. (2015). Review of the pest status, economic impact and management of fruit-infesting flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa.

- [6] Barry, J. D., Blessinger, T., & Morse, J. G. (2004). Recapture of sterile Mediterranean fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California's preventative release program. *Journal of economic entomology*, 97(5), 1554-1562.
- [7] Bateman, M. A., Friend, A. H., & Hampshire, F. (1966). Population suppression in the Queensland fruit fly, *Dacus (Strumeta) tryoni*. II. Experiments on isolated populations in western New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 17(5), 699-718.
- [8] Beroza, M. and Green, N. (1963). Synthetic chemicals as insect attractants. *Advanced Chemistry Series*, 41:11
- [9] Bhagat, D., Samanta, S. K., & Bhattacharya, S. (2013). Pheromone nanogels for efficient management of fruit flies.
- [10] Böckmann, E., Köppler, K., Hummel, E., & Vogt, H. (2014). Bait spray for control of European cherry fruit fly: an appraisal based on semi-field and field studies. *Pest management science*, 70(3), 502-509.
- [11] Castillo, M. A., Moya, P., Hernández, E., & Primo-Yufer, E. (2000). Susceptibility of *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae) to entomopathogenic fungi and their extracts. *Biological control*, 19(3), 274-282.
- [12] Choudhary, S. M., Musmade, A. M., Datkhile, R. V., Bodkhe, V. A., & Guru, P. N. (2022). Effect of pruning time on fruit fly infestation in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *The Journal of Phytopharmacology*, 11(1), 47-50.
- [13] Daniel, C., & Wyss, E. (2009). Migration and spread of cherry fruit flies within orchards-the possibility of biological soil treatment. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für allgemeine und angewandte Entomologie*, 17, 247-248.
- [14] Daniel, C., & Wyss, E. (2010). Field applications of *Beauveria bassiana* to control the European cherry fruit fly *Rhagoletis cerasi*. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 134(9-10), 675-681.
- [15] David, K. J., & Ramani, S. (2011). An illustrated key to fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Peninsular India and the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Zootaxa*, 3021(1), 1-31.
- [16] Dhama, M. K., Gunawardana, D. N., Voice, D., & Kumarasinghe, L. (2016). A real-time PCR toolbox for accurate identification of invasive fruit fly species. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 140(7), 536-552.
- [17] Dias, N. P., Nava, D. E., Smaniotto, G., Garcia, M. S., & Valgas, R. A. (2018). Rearing two fruit flies pests on artificial diet with variable pH. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 79, 104-110.
- [18] Dominiak, B. C. (2019). Components of a systems approach for the management of Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) in a post dimethoate fenthion era. *Crop Protection*, 116, 56-67.
- [19] Dominiak, B. C., & Nicol, H. I. (2012). Chemical analysis of male annihilation blocks used in the control of Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) in New South Wales. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, 27(1), 31-35.
- [20] Ekesi, S., De Meyer, M., Mohamed, S. A., Virgilio, M., & Borgemeister, C. (2016). Taxonomy, ecology, and management of native and exotic fruit fly species in Africa. *Annual review of entomology*, 61, 219-238.
- [21] El-Gendy, I. R., El-Banobi, M. I., & Villanueva-Jimenez, J. A. (2021). Bio-pesticides alternative diazinon to control peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders)(Diptera: Tephritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31, 1-8.
- [22] Eliopoulos, P. A. (2007). Evaluation of commercial traps of various designs for capturing the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *International Journal of Pest Management*, 53(3), 245-252.
- [23] Enkerlin, W. R. (2021). Impact of fruit fly control programmes using the sterile insect technique. In *Sterile insect technique* (pp. 979-1006). CRC Press.
- [24] Flores, S., Rivera, J. P., Hernandez, E., & Montoya, P. (2011). The effect of ginger oil on the sexual performance of *Anastrepha* males (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Florida Entomologist*, 94(4), 916-922.
- [25] Garcia, F. R., Ovruski, S. M., Suárez, L., Cancino, J., & Liburd, O. E. (2020). Biological control of tephritid fruit flies in the Americas and Hawaii: A review of the use of parasitoids and predators. *Insects*, 11(10), 662.
- [26] González, P. I., Montoya, P., Perez-Lachaud, G., Cancino, J., & Liedo, P. (2007). Superparasitism in mass reared *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead)(Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biological Control*, 40(3), 320-326.
- [27] González-López, G. I., Valenzuela-Carrasco, G., Toledo-Mesa, E., Juárez-Durán, M., Tapia-McClung, H., & Pérez-Staples, D. (2022). Determination of the physiological age in two tephritid fruit fly species using artificial intelligence. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 115(5), 1513-1520.
- [28] Gupta, J. N., & Verma, A. N. (1978). Screening of different cucurbit crops for the attack of the melon fruit fly, *Dacus cucurbitae* Coquillet (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 7(1/2), 78-82.

- [29] Hendrichs, J., Ortiz, G., Liedo, P., & Schwarz, A. (1983). Six years of successful medfly program in Mexico and Guatemala. *Fruit Flies of Economic Importance. AA Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands*, 353, 365.
- [30] Heve, W. K., El-Borai, F. E., Carrillo, D., & Duncan, L. W. (2017). Biological control potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for management of Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrepha suspensa* Loew (Tephritidae). *Pest Management Science*, 73(6), 1220-1228.
- [31] Howlett, F. M. (1915). Chemical reactions of fruit-flies. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 6(3), 297-305.
- [32] Hsu, J. C., Wu, W. J., Haymer, D. S., Liao, H. Y., & Feng, H. T. (2008). Alterations of the acetylcholinesterase enzyme in the oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* are correlated with resistance to the organophosphate insecticide fenitrothion. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 38(2), 146-154.
- [33] Jagrat, V., & Sharma, D. G. I. (2023). Evaluation of different combinations of insecticides and biopesticides for the management of fruit flies infesting bitter gourd.
- [34] Jenkins, C. F. H., & Shedley, D. G. (1959). Insect pest and their control-The Mediterranean fruit fly. *Journal of the Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, Series 3*, 8(5), 531-544.
- [35] Kakazu, H. (2006). A new frontier of Okinawa's agriculture: An economic evaluation of the melon fly eradication project. *Journal of agricultural development studies*, 17(1), 21-29.
- [36] Kamali, S., Karimi, J., Hosseini, M., Campos-Herrera, R., & Duncan, L. W. (2013). Biocontrol potential of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on cucurbit fly, *Dacus ciliatus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 23(11), 1307-1323.
- [37] Kibira, M., Affognon, H., Njehia, B., Muriithi, B., Mohamed, S., & Ekesi, S. (2015). Economic evaluation of integrated management of fruit fly in mango production in Embu County, Kenya. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 10(311-2016-5642), 343-353.
- [38] Klassen, W., Curtis, C. F., & Hendrichs, J. (2021). History of the sterile insect technique. In *Sterile insect technique* (pp. 1-44). CRC Press.
- [39] Knippling, E. F. (1955). Possibilities of insect control or eradication through the use of sexually sterile males. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 48(4), 459-462.
- [40] Koyama, J., Teruya, T., & Tanaka, K. (1984). Eradication of the oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) from the Okinawa Islands by a male annihilation method. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 77(2), 468-472.
- [41] Kuba, H., Kohama, T., Kakinohana, H., Yasmagishi, M., Kinjo, K., Sokei, Y., ... & Nakamoto, Y. (1996). The successful eradication programs of the melon fly in Okinawa. In *Fruit fly pests a world assessment of their biology and management*.
- [42] Lindegren, J. E., Wong, T. T., & McInnis, D. O. (1990). Response of Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) to the entomogenous nematode *Steinernema feltiae* in field tests in Hawaii. *Environmental Entomology*, 19(2), 383-386.
- [43] Lloyd, A., Leach, P., & Kopittke, R. (1998). Effects of exposure on chemical content and efficacy of male annihilation blocks used in the eradication of *Bactrocera papayae* in north Queensland. *General and Applied Entomology: The Journal of the Entomological Society of New South Wales*, 28, 2-8.
- [44] Magaña, C., Hernández-Crespo, P., Brun-Barale, A., Couso-Ferrer, F., Bride, J. M., Castañera, P., ... & Ortego, F. (2008). Mechanisms of resistance to malathion in the medfly *Ceratitidis capitata*. *Insect biochemistry and molecular biology*, 38(8), 756-762.
- [45] Manoukis, N. C., Vargas, R. I., Carvalho, L., Fezza, T., Wilson, S., Collier, T., & Shelly, T. E. (2019). A field test on the effectiveness of male annihilation technique against *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) at varying application densities. *PLoS One*, 14(3), e0213337.
- [46] Michaud, J. P. (2003). Toxicity of fruit fly baits to beneficial insects in citrus. *Journal of Insect Science*, 3(1), 8.
- [47] Mohamed, A. H., & Ali, A. E. (2008). Evaluation of para-pheromones and a three-component food bait for mass trapping of fruit flies in fruit trees. In *Proceedings: The 78th meeting of the national pests and diseases committee., Agricultural Research Corporation Conference Hall, Wad Medani (Sudan), June 2008*. Agricultural Research Corporation, Wad Medani (Sudan).
- [48] Navarro-Llopis, V., Vacas, S., Sanchis, J., Primo, J., & Alfaro, C. (2011). Chemosterilant bait stations coupled with sterile insect technique: an integrated strategy to control the Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of economic entomology*, 104(5), 1647-1655.
- [49] Ndelela, S., Mohamed, S. A., Azrag, A. G., Ndegwa, P. N., Ong'amo, G. O., & Ekesi, S. (2020). Interactions between two parasitoids of tephritidae: *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (ashmead) and *Psytalia cosyrae* (wilkinson)(Hymenoptera: Braconidae), under laboratory conditions. *Insects*, 11(10), 671.

- [50] Nigg, H. N., Schumann, R. A., Rouseff, R. L., Smoot, J. M., & Fraser, S. (2008). Malathion bait consumption and mortality of *Anastrepha suspensa* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 101(2), 418-429.
- [51] Ovruski, S. M., & Schliserman, P. (2012). Biological control of tephritid fruit flies in Argentina: historical review, current status, and future trends for developing a parasitoid mass-release program. *Insects*, 3(3), 870-888.
- [52] Reddy, K. V., Devi, Y. K., & Komala, G. (2020). Management Strategies For Fruit Flies in Fruitcrops—A Review. *JETIR*, 7(12), 1472-1480.
- [53] Reddy, P. V., & Rashmi, M. A. (2016). Sterile Insect Technique (SIT) as a component of area-wide integrated management of fruit flies: Status and scope. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems*, 22(1), 1-11.
- [54] Rwomushana, I., Ekesi, S., Gordon, I., & Ogot, C. K. (2008). Host plants and host plant preference studies for *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Kenya, a new invasive fruit fly species in Africa. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 101(2), 331-340.
- [55] Sharma, S. & Thakur, M. 2007. Role of plant quarantine in the management of pest organisms- A Review. *Agricultural Reviews*, 28 (4),235-244.
- [56] Sharma, S., Bhardwaj, S.P. & Bhardwaj, S. 2009. Quarantine and locust control operations in India. *Journal of Insect Science*, 22(4), 329-342.
- [57] Sharma, I. (2018). Fertility table studies on fruit flies [*Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) and *B. zonata* (Saunders)] on different fruit hosts and their management. PhD. Thesis. Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, Solan, H.P.
- [58] Sharma, I., & Gupta, D. (2018a). Management of fruit flies (*Bactrocera* spp.) in mango using biopesticides and clay. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 80(4), 1478-1481.
- [59] Sharma, I., & Gupta, D. (2018b). Morphometry of *Bactrocera dorsalis* and *B. zonata* on mango (*Mangifera indica*), guava (*Psidium guajava*) and peach (*Prunus persica*). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 6(4), 395-397.
- [60] Siddiqui, M. R., AlOthman, Z. A., & Rahman, N. (2017). Analytical techniques in pharmaceutical analysis: A review. *Arabian Journal of chemistry*, 10, S1409-S1421.
- [61] Singh, S. (2003). Effects of aqueous extract of neem seed kernel and azadirachtin on the fecundity, fertility and post-embryonic development of the melonfly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 127(9-10), 540-547.
- [62] Sookar, P., Patel, N., & Ramkalawon, P. (2021). *Bactrocera dorsalis*, an invasive fruit fly species in Mauritius. *Fruits*, 76, 269-275.
- [63] Spafford, H., Chou, M. Y., Mau, R. F., & Vargas, R. I. (2018). Suppression of female melon fly, *Z. eugodacus cucurbitae*, with cue-lure and fipronil bait stations through horizontal insecticide transfer. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 166(2), 94-101.
- [64] Steiner, L. F., Hart, W. G., Harris, E. J., Cunningham, R. T., Ohinata, K., & Kamakahi, D. C. (1970). Eradication of the oriental fruit fly from the Mariana Islands by the methods of male annihilation and sterile insect release. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 63(1), 131-135.
- [65] Steiner, L. F., Mitchell, W. C., Harris, E. J., Kozuma, T. T., & Fujimoto, M. S. (1965). Oriental fruit fly eradication by male annihilation. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 58(5), 961-964.
- [66] Sultana, S., Baumgartner, J. B., Dominiak, B. C., Royer, J. E., & Beaumont, L. J. (2017). Potential impacts of climate change on habitat suitability for the Queensland fruit fly. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 13025.
- [67] Thakur, M., & Gupta, D. (2013). Efficacy of different food attractants for control of fruit flies *Bactrocera tau* and *B. cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *International Journal of Agricultural and Statistical Sciences*, 9(2), 575-580.
- [68] Thomas, D. B., Worley, J. N., Mangan, R. L., Vlasik, R. A., & Davidson, J. L. (1999). Mexican fruit fly population suppression with the sterile insect technique. *Subtrop Plant Sci*, 51, 61-71.
- [69] Toledo, J., Flores, S., Campos, S., Villaseñor, A., Enkerlin, W., Liedo, P., ... & Montoya, P. (2017). Pathogenicity of three formulations of *B. cauveria bassiana* and efficacy of autoinoculation devices and sterile fruit fly males for dissemination of conidia for the control of *C. eratitis capitata*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 164(3), 340-349.
- [70] Torrini, G., Mazza, G., Benvenuti, C., & Rovarsi, P. F. (2017). Susceptibility of olive fruit fly, *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae) pupae to entomopathogenic nematodes. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 57(3).
- [71] Vargas, R. I., Leblanc, L., Harris, E. J., & Manoukis, N. C. (2012). Regional suppression of *Bactrocera* fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in the Pacific through biological control and prospects for future introductions into other areas of the world. *Insects*, 3(3), 727-742.

- [72] Vargas, R. I., Piñero, J. C., & Leblanc, L. (2015). An overview of pest species of Bactrocera fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) and the integration of biopesticides with other biological approaches for their management with a focus on the Pacific region. *Insects*, 6(2), 297-318.
- [73] Verghese, A., Shivananda, T. N., Jayanthi, P. D. K., and Sreedevi, K. (2013). Frank Milburn Howlett (1877-1920): discoverer of the Pied Piper lure for the fruit flies (Tephritidae: Diptera). *Current Science*, 105: 260-262.
- [74] Yousef, M., Garrido-Jurado, I., & Quesada-Moraga, E. (2014). One *Metarhizium brunneum* strain, two uses to control *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 107(5), 1736-1744.
- [75] Yousef, M., Lozano-Tovar, M. D., Garrido-Jurado, I., & Quesada-Moraga, E. (2013). Biocontrol of *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae) with *Metarhizium brunneum* and its extracts. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 106(3), 1118-1125.