A STUDY ON GENERALIZED RICCI SOLITONS ON LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Abstract

Author

The LP-Sasakian manifold was investigated in this chapter. At first we introduced historical background of the concern manifold. Next some rudimentary facts and related properties of LP-Sasakian manifold are discussed. After that LP-Sasakian manifold concerning generalized Ricci soliton is studied and investigate main result in the form of theorem that is LP-Sasakian manifold of odd dimension satisfying the generalized Ricci soliton equation is an Einstein manifolds.

M.S.C. (2010): 53D12, 53C15, 53D10, 53C25, 53A30.

Keywords: LP-Sasakian manifold, Lorentzian para-Sasakian Manifold, Lorentzian Metric, Riemannian manifold, Ricci Soliton, Einstein Manifold.

Vinod Chandra

Department of Mathematics Dhanauri P. G. College Dhanauri Haridwar, Uttarakhand, INDIA. chandravinod8126@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

An developing area of contemporary mathematics is the geometry of contact manifolds. The mathematical formalisation of classical mechanics has given way to the concept of contact geometry [7]. K- contact manifolds and sasakian manifolds are two significant kinds of contact manifolds [1], [20]. There are various researchers that have analyzed K-contact and Sasakian manifolds ([21], [3], [4], [11], [19], [23]) and many others.

The concept of the LP-Sasakian manifold was initially introduced by Matsumoto [13]. Mihai and Rosca defined the same notion independently in [16]. This type of manifold is also discussed in ([14, [22]). A complete regular contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} carries a K-contact structure (φ, ξ, η, g) , which is described in terms of almost kaehler structure (J, G) of the base manifold's M^{2n+1} . If the base manifold (M^{2n+1}, J, G) in this case is Kaehlerian, the K-contact structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is Sasakian. If (M^{2n+1}, J, G) is only almost Kaehler then (φ, ξ, η, g) is only K-contact [1]. Recent research in [12] has demonstrated the existence of K-contact manifolds that are not Sasakian. Even yet, Sasakian and contact structures are intermediated by K-contact structures. Numerous writers, including [3, [4], [9], [19], [21], [23], have researched K-contact manifolds.

Let us consider function f on M, then

 $(1.1) \quad g(grad f, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}f,$

(1.2) $(Hess f)(X, \Upsilon) = g(\nabla_X grad f, \Upsilon),$

for all smooth vector fields X, Υ . For a smooth vector field X, we have ([15],[18])

(1.3) $X^{b}(\Upsilon) = g(X, \Upsilon)$. The generalized Ricci soliton equation in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is described by [18]

(1.4) $l_X g = -2c_1 X^b \cdot X^b + 2c_2 S + 2\lambda g$, where $l_X g$ is the lie derivative of X, defined by

(1.5) $(1_{X}g)(\Upsilon, Z) = g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X, Z) + g(\nabla_{Z}X, \Upsilon),$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z and $c_1, c_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For different values of equation (1.4) is a generalization of killing equation $(c_1 = c_2 = \lambda = 0)$, for soliton $(c_1 = 0, c_2 = -1)$, homotheties $(c_1 = c_2 = 0)$, vaccum near-horizon geometry equation $(c_1 = 1, c_2 = \frac{1}{2})$ etc. We suggest the reader for further information ([2], [5], [6], [10], [18]).

If X = grad f, then the equation for the generalized Ricci soliton is [8]

(1.6) Hess $f = -c_1 df \cdot df + c_2 S + \lambda g$.

The work in present Chapter motivated by [8], for the fact that relationship between LP-Sasakian and K-contact manifold, so we studied (2n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold over generalized Ricci soliton.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A (2n+1)-dimension differentiable manifold will be LP-Sasakian manifold [13] [16], if it aquire the (1,1) tensor field φ , vector field ξ , η is a 1 form on M , lorentzian metric g, accept [14],[17]

 $\begin{array}{ll} (2.1) & \varphi^2 = I + \eta(X)\xi, \, \eta(\xi) = -1, \, g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ (2.2) & \varphi(\xi) = 0, \, \eta(\varphi X) = 0, \, g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \, g(\varphi X, Y) = -g(X,\varphi Y), \\ (2.3) & \nabla_X \xi = \varphi X, \\ (2.4) & g(R(\xi, X)Y,\xi) = \eta(R(\xi, X)Y) = -g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ (2.5) & R(\xi, X)\xi = X + \eta(X)\xi, \\ (2.6) & S(X,\xi) = (n-1)\eta(X), \\ (2.7) & (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = [g(X,Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)]\xi + [X + \eta(X)\xi]\eta(Y), \end{array}$

as any vector fields, X, Υ on $\chi(M)$.

Additionally, If a manifold's Ricci tensor has the following form given below, it becomes an Einstein manifold:

(2.8) $S(X, \Upsilon) = ag(X, \Upsilon),$ for vector fields X, Υ .

Substituting $X = \Upsilon = \xi$ in (2.6) and then (2.4) and (2.2), we get (2.9) a = (n-1), Take in account (2.9), we have from (2.8) (2.10) $S(X, \Upsilon) = (n-1)g(X, \Upsilon)$, similarly from (2.10) we infer (2.11) QX = (n-1)X,

III. GENERALIZED RICCI SOLITON ON LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

Theorem 3.1. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a LP-Sasakian manifold then (3.1) $(l_{\xi}(l_Xg))(\Upsilon, \xi) = -g(X, \Upsilon) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X, \Upsilon) + \Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}X, \xi),$ for smooth vector fields X, Υ with Υ orthogonal to ξ .

Proof: It is known that (3.2) $(l_{\xi}(l_{X}g))(\Upsilon,\xi) = \xi((l_{X}g)(\Upsilon,\xi)) - (l_{X}g)(l_{\xi}\Upsilon,\xi),$ using (1.5) in (3.2) yields

$$(1_{\xi}(1_{X}g))(\Upsilon,\xi) = \xi(g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon) - g(\nabla_{[\xi,\Upsilon]}X,\xi) - g(\nabla_{\xi}X,[\xi,\Upsilon]) = g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\xi) + g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\chi) - g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\chi) - g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\chi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\gamma}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\gamma}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\chi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\chi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\gamma}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\gamma}\xi),$$

$$(3.3)$$

by definition of Riemannian curvature tensor, from (3.3) it follows that

(3.4) $(1_{\xi}(1_Xg))(\Upsilon,\xi) = g(R(\xi,\Upsilon)X,\xi) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)(1_Xg) + \Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\xi),$ using (2.4) in (3.4) and with Υ orthogonal to ξ , we get (3.5) $g(R(\xi,\Upsilon)X,\xi) = -g(X,\Upsilon),$ so, (3.4) may be expressed as (3.6) $(1_{\xi}(1_Xg))(\Upsilon,\xi) = -g(X,\Upsilon) + g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon) + \Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\xi),$

Lemma 3.2: Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold and let *f* be a smooth function. Then [15] (3.7) $(1_{\xi}(df \cdot df))(\Upsilon, \xi) = \Upsilon(\xi(f))\xi(f) + \Upsilon(f)\xi(\xi(f)),$ for every vector field Υ .

Theorem 3.2: Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a LP-Sasakian manifold which accept the generalized Ricci soliton equation. Then (3.8) $\nabla_{\xi} grad f = (\lambda + (n-1)c_2n)\xi - c_1\xi(f)grad f.$

Proof: Using (2.6) we have (3.9) $\lambda \eta(\Upsilon) + c_2 S(\xi, \Upsilon) = [\lambda + (n-1)]\eta(\Upsilon).$

Making use of (1.6) and (3.9) implies (3.10) $(Hess f)(\xi, \Upsilon) = -c_1\xi(f)g(grad, \Upsilon) + [\lambda + (n-1)]\eta(\Upsilon).$

The lemma thus follows from (3.5) and (1.6), which gives the Hessian definition. Next, Suppose that is Υ orthogonal to ξ . From Lemma 3.1, and taking X = grad f, we get (3.11) $2(1_{\xi}(Hess f)(\Upsilon, \xi) = \Upsilon(f) + g(\nabla_{\xi} \nabla_{\xi} grad f, \Upsilon) + \Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi} grad f, \xi),$ by Lemma (3.2) and above equation, we obtain

(3.12)
$$2(1_{\xi}(Hess f)(\Upsilon,\xi) = \Upsilon(f) + (\lambda + (n-1)c_2)g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi,\Upsilon) - c_1g(\nabla_{\xi}(\xi(f)grad f),\Upsilon) + (\lambda + (n-1)c_2))\Upsilon g(\xi,\xi) - c_1\Upsilon(\xi(f)^2),$$

since and from equation (2.10), we obtain (3.13)

$$2(1_{\xi}(Hess f)(\Upsilon,\xi) = \Upsilon(f) - c_1\xi(\xi(f)\Upsilon(f) - c_1\xi(f)g(\nabla_{\xi}(grad f,\Upsilon) - 2c_1(\xi(f)\Upsilon(\xi(f)), \xi(f)))))$$

Note that, from equation (2.3), we have $l_{\xi}g = 0$ it implies. Applying the Lie derivative to the generalised Ricci soliton equation (1.6) and the aforementioned fact:

(3.14) $2(1_{\xi}(Hess f)(\Upsilon, \xi) = -2c_1(1_{\xi}(df \ odf))(\Upsilon, \xi).$ Using (3.13), (3.14) and Lemma (3.2) we infer that (3.15) $\Upsilon(f)[1+c_1\xi\xi(f)+c_1\xi(f^2)]=0.$

According to Lemma 3.2 we have

(3.16)
$$c_{1}\xi(\xi(f)) = c_{1}\xi g(\xi, grad f)$$
$$= c_{1}g(\xi, \nabla_{\xi} grad f)$$
$$= c_{1}(\lambda + (n-1)c_{2}) - c_{1}^{2}\xi(f)^{2},$$

by equation (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

(3.17) $\Upsilon(f)[1+c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2)]=0.$ Which implies $\Rightarrow \Upsilon(f)0.$

Provided $1+c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2 \neq 0)$. Therefore grad f is parallel to ξ . Hence grad f as $d = \ker \eta$ is nowhere integrable, that is, f is a constant function. Thus the manifold is an Einstein one follows from (1.6), so we concluded that

Theorem 3.3: If $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a odd-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold that satisfies the generalized Ricci soliton equation with $c_1(\lambda + (n-1)c_2 \neq -1)$. Then *f* has a constant value.

Additionally, manifold is an Einstein manifold if $c_2 \neq 0$. The lemma thus follows from (3.5) and (1.6), which gives the Hessian definition.

REFERENCES

- [1] Blair, D.E., Contact manifolds in Reimannian geometry, Lecture notes in Math. 509, Springer-Verlag., 1976.
- [2] Chrusciel, P.T., Reall, H.S., Tod, P.: On non-existence of static vacuum black holes with degenerate components of the event horizon. Classical Quantum Gravity, 23, 549-554 (2006).
- [3] De, U.C. and Biswas, S., On K-contact η-Einstein manifolds. Bull. Soc. Math. 16 (1990), 23-28.
- [4] De, U.C., De, A., On some curvature properties of K-contact manifolds. Extracta Math. 27 (2012), 125-134.
- [5] Deshmukh, S., Aloden, H.: A note on Ricci soliton. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 16, 48-55 (2011).
- [6] Deshmukh, S.: Jacobi-type vector fields on Ricci solitons. Bull. Mathematique de la Societe des Sciences Mathematiques de Roumanie Nouvelle Series. 103, 41-50 (2012).
- [7] Geiges, H., A brief history of contact geometry and topology. Expo. Math. 19 (2001), 25-53.
- [8] Gopal Ghosh and Uday Chand De, Generalized Ricci solitons on K-contact manifolds, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS E-NOTES, 8 (2) 165-169 (2020) c MSAEN, HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.36753/MATHENOT.683478.
- [9] Guha, N., De, U.C.: On K-contact manifolds. Serdica-Bulgaricae Math. Publ. 19, 267-272 (1993).
- [10] Jezierski, J.: On the existance of Kundts metrics and degenerate (or extremal) Killing horizones. Classical Quantum Gravity, 26, 035011, 11pp (2009).

- [11] Jun, J-B., Kim, U.K., On 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 34 (1994), 293-301.
- [12] Koufogiorgos, T.: Contact metric manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 11, 25-34 (1993).
- [13] Matsumoto, K. On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds. Bull. of Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci., 1989, 12, 151–156.
- [14] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I. On a certain transformation in a LP-Sasakian manifold. Tensor, N. S., 1988, 47, 189–197.
- [15] Mekki, M.E., Cherif, A.M.: Generalised Ricci solitons on Sasakian manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 57 677-682 (2017).
- [16] Mihai, I. and Rosca, R. On Lorentzian P-Sasakian Manifolds, Classical Analysis. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, 155–169.
- [17] Mihai, I. A.A. Shaikh and Uday Chand De, On LP-Sasakian Manifolds.
- [18] Nurowski. P., Randall, M.: Generalised Ricci solitons. J. Geom. Anal. 26, 1280-1345 (2016).
- [19] Prasad, R., Srivastava, V., On ø-symmetric K-contact manifolds. IJRRAS 16 (2013), 104-110.
- [20] Tanno, S., Isometric immersions of Sasakian manofolds in spheres. K⁻odai Math. Sem. Rep. 21 (1969), 448-458.
- [21] Tarafdar, D. and De, U.C., On K-contact manifolds. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math de Roumanie 37 (1993), 207-215.
- [22] Tripathi, M. M. and De, U. C. Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds and their submanifolds. J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math., 2001, 8, 101–105.
- [23] Yildiz, A., Ata, E.: On a type of K-contact manifolds. Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. 41, 567-571 (2012).