

# MIGRATION AND QUALITY OF LIFE: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND COMPLEXITIES

## Abstract

This chapter explores the multifaceted concept of quality of life (QoL), delving into its historical roots, evolving interpretations, and complex linkages with migration. Quality of life encompasses personal experience and living conditions, fostering diverse interpretations. The evolution of quality of life studies, driven by post-World War II societal awareness, led to a holistic approach that considers subjective well-being. Migration, while promising economic and cultural benefits, presents challenges impacting migrants' well-being. Research by Chaurasia and Shukul (2005) shed light on migration's impact on QoL. Comprehensive studies on migration and QoL are essential for informed policy-making and societal development, requiring a future-oriented perspective to address emerging trends.

**Keywords:** Migration; quality of life (key words)

## Authors

### **Dr. Reema Chaurasia**

Assistant professor  
Department of Resource Management  
and Design Application  
Institute of Home Economics  
University of Delhi  
India.  
reema.chaurasia@ihe.du.ac.in

### **Prof (Dr). Maneesha Shukul**

Retd from Family and Community  
Resource Management  
Faculty of Family and Community Science  
The M.S.University of Baroda  
Vadodara, India.  
maneesha.shukul@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quality of life (QoL) is a fundamental and all-encompassing concept that resonates with every individual's desire for a fulfilling and contented existence. This concept has gained widespread popularity and has become a trendy and overused buzzword in various spheres. Its widespread appeal makes it a dream topic for those in the media and marketing industry, as it resonates with the desires and aspirations of individuals in modern society.

Amidst its popularity, there is a need to rediscover the essence and depth of this notion, appreciating its true meaning beyond the superficial usage, and examining its profound implications on the well-being and happiness of people worldwide. This term 'quality of life' inherently carries ambiguity, encompassing two distinct aspects: the individual's personal experience of their life and the living conditions they encounter. As a result, what constitutes a high quality of life is deeply subjective and varies greatly from person to person. While some may equate it with material wealth or overall life satisfaction, others may define it based on their capabilities and opportunities. This subjectivity underscores the complexity of measuring and understanding quality of life, as it transcends simple metrics and necessitates a more nuanced and individualized approach. Appreciating the diverse perspectives on quality of life allows us to embrace its multifaceted nature, acknowledging that there is no one-size-fits-all definition and that each person's perception and interpretation play a significant role in shaping their overall well-being.

According to UNESCO (1977), quality of life covers all aspects of living including material satisfaction of vital needs as well as more transcendental aspects of life such as personal development, self-realization and a healthy ecosystem whereas WHOQOL-100 (2015) defined this term as individual's perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns.

In a model of quality of life used in the research project carried out by the Centre for Health Promotion, University of Toronto 'Quality of Life' suggests that the degree to which a person enjoys the important possibilities of his or her life results from the opportunities and limitations each person has in his or her life and reflect the interaction of personal and environmental factors.

Since the early 1940s, there has been an increasing interest in the assessment of quality of life (Gross, 1966) surged in the aftermath of World War II, driven by a growing awareness of the prevailing social inequalities. This newfound consciousness served as a catalyst for the emergence of social indicators research, which sought to quantitatively measure and understand societal disparities. Subsequently, researchers directed their attention towards exploring subjective well-being and quality of life, delving into the individual experiences and perceptions that contribute to overall life satisfaction. This evolving academic interest not only shed light on the multifaceted nature of well-being but also paved the way for a more holistic and comprehensive approach to study human flourishing and societal progress.

Sullivan (1992) stated that quality of life first gained political recognition in the United States during the mid-1950s and in Europe during the 1960s. However, it was not until the late 1970s that it transformed into a scientific concern, marked by a substantial

increase in publications on the topic. This significant growth in the literature on quality of life encompassed a diverse array of disciplines, such as psychology, medicine, and sociology. As researchers and scholars explored this multidimensional subject more profoundly, the understanding of well-being and its determinants evolved, fostering a more comprehensive and interdisciplinary approach. The upswing in scientific interest in quality of life reflected its increasing significance in shaping policies, improving societal well-being, and contributing to a broader understanding of human flourishing (Karlsson, 1992).

The impetus for studying the quality of life in the general population was rooted in the social indicators movement (Evans et al., 1985). By the late 1960s, it became evident that relying solely on gross economic indicators like the gross national product for comparing countries was insufficient (Chubon, 1987). Instead, the concept of social indicators emerged as an alternative, aiming to encompass not only economic development but also the social progress of a nation (Palys and Little, 1980; Johnson, 1988).

However, it became clear that while social indicators provided valuable information about cultural units such as towns, states, and countries, they offered limited insights into the quality of life experienced by individuals within those units. This realization sparked interest in assessing the subjective perceived quality of life within population samples in regions like the United States, Europe, and Australia (Andrews, 1991; Davis and Fine Davis, 1991; Heady and Wearing, 1991). The focus on subjective indicators intensified as researchers struggled to establish consistent relationships between objective social indicators and subjective measures (Costa and McCrea, 1980; Davis and Fine Davis, 1991).

The central focus of the social indicators movement remains the comparison between cultural units or the assessment of changes within these units over time, regardless of whether objective or subjective measures of quality of life are employed. (Andrew, 1991; Davis and Fine Davis, 1991). This ongoing pursuit of understanding and evaluating quality of life continues to shape research and policy discussions, shedding light on the complex interplay between objective conditions and individual perceptions of well-being.

## **II. MIGRATION AND QUALITY OF LIFE**

Migration can significantly impact the quality of life of individuals and communities involved. When people move from one place to another, whether within a country or internationally, various factors come into play, influencing their well-being and overall satisfaction.

On the one hand, migration can offer opportunities for individuals to improve their quality of life. People may choose to migrate in pursuit of better economic prospects, access to education and healthcare, improved living conditions, or escape from political instability or conflicts. For some, migration provides a chance to escape poverty and enhance their standard of living. Additionally, exposure to new cultures and experiences can enrich their lives, broadening their perspectives and fostering personal growth.

Conversely, migration can also present challenges that impact quality of life. Migrants may face difficulties in adapting to new environments, language barriers, and discrimination, which can affect their mental and emotional well-being. Separation from family and support networks can lead to feelings of isolation and loneliness. Moreover, migrants often encounter

precarious living and working conditions, which may adversely affect their physical health and financial stability.

The quality of life of migrants is closely linked to their social integration and the support systems available to them in the host country. Policies that facilitate integration, access to education, healthcare, and social services can play a crucial role in enhancing the well-being of migrants. Creating inclusive societies that celebrate diversity and promote social cohesion can help migrants feel a sense of belonging and contribute positively to their overall quality of life.

Migration is often an endeavour to enhance one's quality of life. While this might seem promising, especially when moving to more affluent countries, the link between migration and happiness isn't straightforward. A rise in income doesn't necessarily equate to greater happiness, potentially leading to disappointment. Migrants to wealthier nations can encounter economic setbacks that impact happiness (Bartram, 2015).

For the communities receiving migrants, the impact on quality of life can also be multifaceted. While migration can bring cultural diversity, economic growth, and a skilled workforce, it can also strain resources, lead to social tensions, and create challenges in providing services to an increasing population.

According to Jeangross *et al* (2022), their study revealed intriguing findings concerning the impact of migration on the quality of life among rural adults with rare diseases. For this specific group, migration exhibited a direct positive effect on physical and environmental aspects of their quality of life. Additionally, migration was found to have positive indirect effects on physical and social quality of life, attributed to increased individual income. However, there was a notable negative indirect effect on environmental quality of life due to reduced tangible support.

Surprisingly, the results differed significantly for the urban participants. In this group, neither direct nor indirect associations of migration with quality of life were found to be statistically significant. This contrast suggests that the effects of migration on quality of life vary depending on the rural or urban context and the specific circumstances and challenges faced by individuals with rare diseases in these settings. Further research is required to explore the underlying factors that contribute to these distinct findings, ultimately enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the complex relationship between migration and quality of life among individuals with rare diseases in different environments.

A research was conducted by Chaurasia and Shukul (2005) with the objective to analyse the quality of life of in-migrants of Delhi as perceived by them within the previous 3-4 years of the study. The perceived quality of life was defined as the in-migrants' perception to their overall situation before and after migration. It was assessed in terms of financial security food, clothing, house and housing condition, health and health facilities, communication facilities, community facilities, leisure and recreation, psychological & social aspects in terms of family support, belongingness, neighbourhood, community, communication and environmental condition.

Interview schedule was selected as a tool to collect data. A scale was developed which had multiple choice questions in relation to respondents' quality of life at the place of

origin (before migration) and at the time of data collection (at the place of migration). It covered various aspects such as financial security, food (frequency and quality), clothing (quality, quantity and adequacy), house and housing condition, health and health facilities, communication facilities, community facilities, leisure and recreation, psychological and social aspects in terms of family support, belongingness, neighbourhood, community and communication environmental conditions.

To measure the perceived quality of life, each option was ascribed a score according to the serial number. The scores were summated and possible range of score was divided equally into three categories which depicted the respondents' perceived extent of low moderate or good quality of life before migration and at the time of data collection. Higher scores indicated the good quality of life whereas lower scores indicated the low quality of life. These scores helped in computing the weighted means for each parameter that ranged between one to six.

Kalliath (1997) inventory was used to find out the social economic status of the respondent. To assess the perceived quality of life, a scale was developed for which validity and reliability was already established. The validity was established by giving it to the different experts from the different fields. Reliability was calculated through test-retest method which was found to be 0.81. Systematic random technique was used to select the sample of the study and homemaker was selected as unit of enquiry. Data was calculated and tabulated by using analysis of variance and coefficient of correlation.

The data showed that in-migrants perceived the parameters-communication facilities, community facilities, food (quality and adequacy), housing, latrines and drainage, psychological & social aspects and environment conditions-caused their poor quality of life. Parameters health and health facilities, clothing (quantity quality and adequacy) and financial security and leisure & recreations were found to be the cause for the moderate quality of life of the in migrants families residing in Delhi.

The study concluded that the perception of respondents was found to be positively related with by the socio-economic status of the respondents and negatively with the extent of problems faced by the in-migrant families' immediately after migration and at the time of data collection. The positive relationship was found with the extent of contact at the place of origin, perceived benefits and extent of coping strategies by these families. The perception of quality of life varied with the variables education of the respondents, occupation of the head of the household, family income, problems faced and coping strategies adapted by the in-migrants.

### **III. MIGRATION DYNAMICS AND QUALITY OF LIFE: INSIGHTS, IMPLICATIONS, AND FUTURE TRENDS**

As the landscape of quality of life continually evolves, conducting comprehensive studies becomes imperative to navigate the complexities of migration patterns and their impact on various aspects of human well-being. One such avenue of investigation is the migration patterns of individuals moving to Delhi from different states and countries. Additionally, a comparative analysis could shed light on migration trends among India's major metropolitan cities, providing valuable insights into potential economic and social losses linked to the movement of intellectual and skilled individuals.

In this context, a crucial endeavour would involve assessing the perceived and observed quality of life of these migrants. By undertaking longitudinal studies, researchers could delve deep into the challenges faced by migrants over time and the coping strategies they employ. Such investigations would offer a holistic perspective on the transformative journey of these individuals, encompassing both the struggles and triumphs that contribute to shaping their quality of life.

The urgency for conducting comprehensive studies in the realm of immigrant quality of life becomes evident considering its implications for governmental policies. Through an in-depth analysis of migrants' experiences, researchers could provide critical insights to aid in the formulation of policies that optimize the well-being of those transitioning from their places of origin to Delhi or even across international borders. This, in turn, could foster an environment where migrants can thrive and elevate their quality of life.

One vital aspect that the research could emphasize is the link between migration and income generation activities. The ability to maintain a stable economic condition during the migration process plays a pivotal role in overall well-being. Understanding how migrants' economic prospects impact their quality of life could lead to tailored policies aimed at providing enhanced economic opportunities and improved social economic status.

Furthermore, it's essential to merge these research efforts with the futuristic trends that are shaping migration and quality of life. The advent of technology-enabled mobility, climate induced migration, and the unique challenges posed by an aging population all have the potential to significantly alter migration dynamics. By juxtaposing current migration patterns with these emerging trends, researchers can anticipate challenges and opportunities that lie ahead.

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, the examination of quality of life (QoL) in the context of migration reveals a dynamic interplay between individual perceptions, socio-economic factors, and environmental conditions. This study underscores the complexity of the concept, emphasizing that quality of life is not only influenced by material well-being but also by psychological, social, and environmental dimensions. The diverse motives for migration, whether for economic betterment, access to education and healthcare, or escape from adversity, highlight the intricate relationship between mobility and quality of life improvement.

Migration brings both opportunities and challenges to individuals and communities. The positive impact of migration on QoL can manifest in enhanced economic prospects, access to better living conditions, and exposure to new cultural experiences. However, the challenges of adapting to new environments, linguistic barriers, and discrimination can adversely affect mental and emotional well-being. Support systems and integration policies play a pivotal role in shaping the quality of life of migrants, emphasizing the importance of fostering inclusive societies.

The research by Chaurasia and Shukul (2005) highlighted that the perceived quality of life of in-migrants is intricately linked to their socio-economic status, coping strategies, and support networks. The findings indicate that migration can lead to improvements in the perceived quality of life, especially when individuals experience enhanced socio-economic

opportunities and effective coping mechanisms. This emphasizes the necessity of not only economic advancement but also psychosocial well-being in assessing quality of life.

In conclusion, understanding the intricate relationship between migration and quality of life necessitates a comprehensive consideration of socio-economic factors, coping mechanisms, cultural adaptation, and the broader environmental context. This chapter sheds light on the multidimensional nature of quality of life in the context of migration, encouraging future research and policy efforts to adopt a holistic approach in addressing the well-being of migrants and their host communities.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Andrew, F. M. (1991). Stability and Change in levels and structure of subjective well-being: USA 1972 and 1988. *Social Indicators Research*. Vol 25.
- [2] Bartram, D. (2015). Migration and Quality of Life in the Global Context. In: Glatzer, W., Camfield, L., Møller, V., Rojas, M. (eds) *Global Handbook of Quality of Life*. International Handbooks of Quality-of-Life. Dordrecht: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9178-6\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9178-6_21)
- [3] Chaurasia, R. Shukul, M. (2005). In-migrant Families in Delhi: An Analysis of their Quality of Life. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis. Home Management. The M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodara.
- [4] Chubon, R. A. (1987) Development of a Quality of Life rating scale for Use in Health Care Evaluation. *Evaluation and Health Professions*. Vol 10.
- [5] Costa, P.T. Mc Crea. Zonderman, A. B. (1987). Environmental and Dispositional Influences on Well-being: Longitudinal follow-up of an American national sample. *British Journal of Psychology*. Vol 78.
- [6] Davis, E.E. and Fine-Davis (1991). Social Indicators of Living Conditions in Ireland with European Comparison. *Social Indicators Research*. Vol 25.
- [7] Gross, B.M. (1966). Structural Elements of Material Well-being: An Empirical test among People on Social Security. *Social Indicators Research*. Vol 22.
- [8] Heady, B. Wearing, A. (1989). Personality, Life Events and Subjective Well-being: Towards a dynamic equilibrium model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. Vol 57.
- [9] Jeangross, C.B. Duvoisin, A. Consoli, L. Fakhoury, J. Jackson, Y. (November 2022). Association of migration status with quality of life among rural and urban adults with rare diseases: A cross-sectional study from China. *Sec. Public Health Policy*. Volume 10.
- [10] Johnson, D. F. (1988). Towards a Comprehensive Quality of Life indeed. *Social Indicators Research*. Vol 20.
- [11] <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1030828>
- [12] Karlsson, G. (1992). The Health Economist's point of view concerning Quality of Life. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*. Vol 46.
- [13] Mugenda, O. M. Hira, T. K. Fanslow, A. M. (1990). Assessing the causal relationship among communication, money management practices, satisfaction with financial status, and satisfaction with quality of life. *Lifestyles*. Vol 11. Pp343–360.
- [14] Palys, T. S. Little, B. R. (1980). Social Indicators and the Quality of Life. *Canadian Psychology*. Vol 21.
- [15] Sullivan, M. (1992). Quality of Assessment in Medicine: Concepts, definitions, purposes and basic tools. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*. Vol 46.
- [16] WHOQOL-100 (2015). Geneva: Division of Mental Health World Health Organization. [http://sites.utoronto.ca/qol/qol\\_model.htm](http://sites.utoronto.ca/qol/qol_model.htm)