

PREPARATION METHODS, CHARACTERISTICS, AND PROSPECTS OF A-Fe₂O₃/GRAPHENE SUPERCAPACITOR ELECTRODES IN A GREEN CHEMISTRY PERSPECTIVE

Abstract

Supercapacitors are high-efficiency green energy storage devices featuring long cycle and shelf life. The attainment of high- power density in combination with promising energy density is one of the main targets of developing hybrid supercapacitor electrode materials. Among the different electrical double-layer capacitance materials, graphene has an outstanding role due to its high surface area and conductivity. In addition, it can act as an excellent matrix for the dispersion of a variety of nanomaterials. Among the various pseudocapacitor metal oxides, α -Fe₂O₃ has the auspicious features of cost-effectiveness, environment friendliness, and natural abundance together with its high theoretical capacitance. This review target to explore the preparation methods and the features of α -Fe₂O₃/graphene. A glance at the greener methods of graphene and its nanocomposite preparation is given in the review. The future of these materials and the directions for further studies are also briefly mentioned at the end.

Keywords: Supercapacitor electrode; α -Fe₂O₃/graphene; Eco-friendly preparation

Authors

Vijayasree Haridas

Department of Chemistry University of Calicut, Kerala, India. Inter University Centre for Nanomaterials and Devices (IUCND) Cochin University of Science and Technology, India
Department of Chemistry
Sree Neelakanta Government Sanskrit College
(Affiliated to University of Calicut),
Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala, India.

Sumisha Surendran

Department of Chemistry
University of Calicut
Kerala, India.

Honey John

Inter University Centre for Nanomaterials and Devices (IUCND)
Cochin University of Science and Technology, India.

Zahira Yaakob

Department of Chemical and Process Engineering
Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment University Kebangsaan Malaysia, UKM Bangi, Selangor Malaysia.

Binitha N. Narayanan

Department of Chemistry
University of Calicut, Kerala, India.
binithann@yahoo.co.in

I. INTRODUCTION TO SUPERCAPACITORS

One of the biggest problems the energy sector faces is the creation of effective energy storage technologies. Supercapacitors are energy storage devices that can meet today's energy demands. The limits of batteries and traditional capacitors are solved by supercapacitors or ultracapacitors. Supercapacitors possess exceptional electrochemical characteristics such as high cycle stability, shelf life, energy density, power density, quick charge-discharge rate, etc. Even though supercapacitors have a lower specific power than normal capacitors, they possess a higher energy density than conventional electrolytic capacitors[1–3]. Figure 1 depicts these features in the Ragone plot[4].




Figure 1: Ragone Plot Illustrating Different Energy Storage Devices.

Many charge-discharge cycles are possible with supercapacitors. The method for storing charges can lengthen the cycle life. In contrast to batteries, here the charge-storage process also utilises physical charge storage at the electrical double layer without the need for any chemical reactions[3,5]. Because of their polarisation resistance, batteries' cycle life is shortened[3,6]. An ion-permeable separator dipped in an electrolyte and two electrodes make up the cell of a typical supercapacitor[7]. The electrodes in symmetric supercapacitors are formed of the same material combination, whereas they are different in asymmetric supercapacitors[8]. While using the aqueous electrolytes in supercapacitors, the separators can be polymer/paper separators, while organic electrolytes performs better using fiber/glass separators[9,10]. Aqueous electrolytes typically operate at lower operating voltages, up to 1.2 V, while organic electrolytes run at potential windows as high as 3 V[3].

Electrochemical double-layer capacitors (EDLC), pseudocapacitors, and hybrid capacitors are the three categories of supercapacitors according to their charge storage (Figure 2). Non-Faradaic, Faradaic, and a combination of the two are the respective

mechanisms of charge storage. The typical components of an EDLC are carbon-based electrodes with a large surface area[11,12]. Electrostatic electrical double layer formation is the storage mechanism in EDLC[1]. Here, when potential is supplied, charges are accumulated on the electrode surfaces, and then the electrolyte ions are transferred to electrodes having opposing charges[1,6,13]. Carbon nanostructures are the electrode materials for EDLC's, such as graphene, carbon nanotubes, carbon aerogels, etc., but activated carbon was also widely used for this purpose[2,3]. Between the electrode and the electrolyte in pseudocapacitors, the Faradaic charge is stored through electrosorption, redox processes, under potential deposition intercalation, etc[14,15]. Even though the pseudocapacitor has a higher capacitance and energy density than EDLC, the power density is much lower because of the slower Faradaic processes[16]. Metal oxides and conducting polymers, showing pseudocapacitive nature displayed poor cyclic stability and low mechanical stability[3].

The drawbacks brought on by individual EDLCs and pseudocapacitors are overcome by hybrid capacitors with electrodes displaying both electric double layer capacitance and pseudocapacitance. Good power density, energy density, shelf life, etc. are all displayed by a hybrid capacitor without degrading the cyclic stability[1,8,17]. A hybrid supercapacitor works by using composite electrodes, battery-like electrodes, and asymmetric electrodes[1]. The various charge-storage mechanisms used by EDLCs, pseudocapacitors, and hybrid capacitors are depicted in Figure 2.




Figure 2: The Charge Storage Principles of (A) An EDLC, (B) A Pseudocapacitor, and (C) A Hybrid Capacitor. Reprinted with Permission From https://www.greentechee.com/Classification-and-Energy-Storage-Principle-of-Supercapacitors_N54[18].

The review here describes how graphene and Fe₂O₃ work as supercapacitor electrode materials. Graphene is now a widely used material for supercapacitor electrodes due to its high conductivity, EDLC nature, high surface area, and capacity to incorporate a variety of materials, whereas Fe₂O₃'s main merits are abundant nature, good capacitance behaviour, low cost, and capacity to form non-covalent bonds with graphene. The review also describes the ease of making Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposites and their characteristics, particularly those that are relevant for electrode materials. The Fe₂O₃/graphene supercapacitor performance is outlined here, and the final section includes a well-written description of the Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposite's future prospects.

II. GRAPHENE

Graphene, the most well-known EDLC nanomaterial, exhibits a theoretical capacitance of 550 F/g[19]. Graphene stands apart from conventional electrode materials due to its special characteristics, including high surface area, conductivity, electrical mobility, mechanical strength, and chemical stability. Here, the structure, background, characteristics, and production techniques of graphene are covered.

The extended π -conjugative network of graphene, the one-atom-thick planar sheet of sp² hybridised carbon atoms, describes the majority of its features. Carbon atoms are arranged in a hexagonal structure with alternating single and double bonds[20]. The idealised structure of graphene is shown in Figure 3. Graphene may be wrapped into 0D fullerenes, rolled into 1D CNTs, and stacked into 3D graphite. It is the essential component of all other graphitic materials[21]. Wallace, McClure, and Semenoff conducted theoretical investigations on graphene in 1947, 1956, and 1984, respectively[20,22,23]. Eizenberg and Blakely intercalated carbon to Ni surfaces to generate a monolayer of carbon in 1979[24]. In order to obtain FLG, highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) was mechanically exfoliated in 1999. FLG was then detected using an atomic force microscope (AFM)[25]. Later, Zang and colleagues created FLG with a size of 10 nm[26]. Affoune et al. produced nano-sized graphene on HOPG in 2001 by thermally treating nanodiamonds at 1600 °C[27]. In a vital experiment conducted at the University of Manchester in 2004, Andre Geim and Konstantin Novoselov isolated a single layer of graphene using a technique exfoliation using a scotch tape called micromechanical cleavage[28]. Both of them received the 2010 Nobel Prize in Physics for the rediscovery of the extraordinary 2D substance graphene.

Single-layered graphene (SLG) is a single, isolated 2D hexagonal sheet of carbon atoms, whereas bi-layered and few-layered graphene (FLG) include two and up to ten layers of carbon atoms, respectively.




Figure 3: Idealized Structure of a Single Graphene Sheet.

- 1. Properties of Graphene:** The electronic, thermal, optical, mechanical, and chemical properties of graphene are particularly exciting. Graphene is a leading option for energy storage applications and other technological developments due to its futuristic traits[29]. Since the properties of graphene is widely revealed a thorough analysis is not included here. In a nutshell it can be described as follows.

Graphene is a zero bandgap semiconductor[30]–[32], where the valence band and conduction bands meet at K and K₀ points, the so-called Dirac/charge neutrality points[33].The graphene band structure is depicted in Figure 4. The valence band is filled, while conduction band is empty in undoped graphene. The production of massless quasi-particles is caused by the transmission of electrons via the conjugative π -network of graphene. At normal temperature, the pure graphene's electron mobility is $15000\text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ [28].

Graphene has distinct electron and hole mobility between 10K and 100 K. At normal temperatures, the mobility is slightly constrained by the graphene's dominant scattering mechanism by acoustic phonons[34]–[36].The special electronic structure and thus derived outstanding conductivity make graphene suitable for a wide variety of applications including its use as a supercapacitor electrode material [37].




Figure 4: Graphene Band Structure. Reprinted with permission (Biro et al[38])
Copyright (2012) Royal Society of Chemistry.

One of the best materials for heat conductivity is graphene, making it useful for microelectronic applications. In comparison to pyrolytic graphite at room temperature, graphene has a substantially greater theoretical heat conductivity of $5300\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ [36].The thermal conductivity additionally increases with the graphene flake size[39-41].CVD graphene possesses thermal conductivities from $1500 - 2500\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$ [42,43]. A layer of isolated graphene transmits 97.7% of the light that strikes it, and only 0.1% of the light is reflected off of the graphene. The optical transparency reduces with the number of layers[32,44,45].

Graphene has an intrinsic tensile strength of 130 GPa and a Young's modulus of roughly 1 TPa and is incredibly lightweight, flexible, and mechanically durable[46,47]. Even after being heated at 200 °C for four hours, graphene is highly resilient to chemical reactions, and it defends against hydrogen peroxide and prevents the oxidation of metal surfaces[48]. Graphene can interact via weak Van der Waal forces of attraction, which can further promote functionalization, in addition to covalent bonding[49]. By adding potassium ions to the surface of graphene, which is produced through epitaxial growth, Ohta et al. were able to demonstrate the n-type doping of graphene[50]. When an electron-accepting molecule is deposited on the surface of graphene, Chen et al. were able to demonstrate the p-type behaviour of the SiC-derived graphene. Graphene is a stand-alone substance as a result of all these peculiar qualities.

2. **Preparation of Graphene:** For the Preparation of graphene, various top-down and bottom-up techniques were used. Many reviews detail about them[51–60]. The various preparation techniques for graphene are represented in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Various Graphene Preparation Methods[51–60].

III. GRAPHENE NANOCOMPOSITES

Due to its fascinating features, graphene nanocomposites have a wide range of optical, thermal, electrical, and mechanical properties[21]. Pure graphene's use is constrained in several cases due to the lack of functional groups in it[61]. By adding functions via composite or hybrid creation, graphene sheets can be transformed into nanomaterials with a variety of uses in sensors, energy storage devices, photocatalysis, heterogeneous catalysis, solar cells, etc[62–65]. Hydrothermal/solvothermal processes, electrochemical deposition, physical deposition, photochemical reaction, ultrasonication, mechanical mixing, Chemical vapour deposition, electrophoresis deposition, and other processes are used to prepare graphene nanocomposites. They can also be made through the non-covalent interaction of certain organic and inorganic molecules with graphene[65]. Polymers, molecules, and nanomaterials are widely used to functionalize graphene[63,66]. The schematic representation of graphene with the majority of above mentioned combinations are shown in Figure 6[65]. The use of polymers improves graphene's ability to

disperse and results in outstanding conductivity and transparency[66]. The electrical and optical properties are additionally enhanced by the functionalization with nanomaterials. The development of bio-medical qualities including optical and electrical aspects occurs when graphene is coupled with molecules like DNA, RNA, proteins, etc[65]. The functional groups in graphene oxide(GO) enable further functionalization[67].




Figure 6: Pictorial Depiction of Graphene Functionalized with Various Nanomaterials.

Consequently, graphene nanocomposites are prospective candidates in a variety of industries. With an improvement in their attributes, composite preparation enhances each application's total performance[68]. For better performance, graphene can also be combined with other metal oxides. Additionally, dispersed metal oxides can prevent graphene from restacking of the layers. Fe₂O₃ exhibits exceptional behaviour when compared to the other metal oxides that. The characteristics of Fe₂O₃, as well as Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposites, are described in the sections that follow.

IV. IRON (III) OXIDE

Among other iron oxides, such as iron (II) oxide (FeO) and iron (II, III) oxide (Fe₃O₄), Fe₂O₃ or iron (III) oxide is the most relevant iron oxide[69]. Natural ferromagnetism exists in Fe₂O₃[70]. The structure is made up of several crystalline phases, including the α , β , γ , and ϵ phases, the most prevalent of which is the α -phase[71].

- 1. Properties of Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃):** Hematite is a non-toxic, readily available, stable, environmentally benign, and corrosion-resistant n-type semiconductor (bandgap: 2-1 – 2.3 eV) substance with a variety of uses[69,71,72]. The reddish-brown-colored odorless solid is amphoteric with a rhombohedral crystal system having lattice parameters, $a = 5.036 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 5.036 \text{ \AA}$, and $c = 13.749 \text{ \AA}$ [73,74]. The crystal structure of α -Fe₂O₃ is represented in the Figure 7.




Figure 7: α -Fe₂O₃ cell[74].

Below its spin-flop transition temperature of 250 K, α -Fe₂O₃ exhibits antiferromagnetic behaviour, and it exhibits a weakly ferromagnetic nature both below and above its Neel temperature[75]. It possesses an extremely small 0.002 μ B magnetic moment. [69,74].

2. Different Methods of Preparation of Hematite



Figure 8: Various Hematite Preparation Processes [51,76–80].

Hematite is often synthesised using the standard methods for preparing metal oxides, such as electrochemical deposition, the sol-gel process, solvothermal/hydrothermal treatment, etc[51,76–80]. Figure 8 shows various hematite preparation techniques.

V. Fe₂O₃/GRAPHENE NANOCOMPOSITES

Due to their complementary features, Fe₂O₃ in combination with graphene exhibit a conductive nature and redox behaviour that makes highly stable composites suitable for a wide range of applications[81,82]. Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles are prevented from aggregating by the graphene sheets, and Fe₂O₃ limits the ability to restack graphene layers[81,82]. Due to their simplicity of synthesis and exceptional activity, Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposites are used in the manufacture of supercapacitor anodes, rechargeable batteries, gas sensors, fuel cells, electrochemical sensors, catalysts, and adsorbents, etc[81]. Effective approaches of the composite comprise hydrothermal/solvothermal treatment, the sol-gel method, thermal pyrolysis, electrodeposition, exfoliation of iron intercalated graphite (Fe-GIC), etc[76,83,84]. Normally, all synthetic techniques for Fe₂O₃ can also be employed to produce the composite in a way that includes adding the Fe₂O₃ precursor to the graphene dispersion. In the production of composites, some innovative Fe-GIC methods are also used, and here, the Fe precursor also serves as an exfoliant of graphite[85,86]. The FeCl₃-GIC method of making graphene nanolayers is depicted in Figure 9. The exfoliation of graphene sheets is improved by the intercalation of foreign species. Intercalant iron particles additionally decrease the interaction between the graphite sheets[83]. Anhydrous FeCl₃ was used as the precursor in a non-oxidation procedure by Qi et al. to create FeCl₃-GIC[87]. The interlayer gap between the graphene sheets gets wider with the intercalation process. Fe₂O₃/graphene was produced by high-pressure homogenization of Fe-GIC using the thermal annealing process, according to Qi and colleagues. They have prepared sandwich-structured α -Fe₂O₃/graphene[83]. This process typically demands inert, strict conditions for the growth of hematite nanoparticles. We have designed a simple substitute for Fe-GIC-assisted Fe₂O₃/graphene and thus derived graphene synthesis[88,89].




Figure 9: (a) High-Pressure Homogenization Procedure Illustrated in a Diagram (b) Graphene Preparation by FeCl₃-GIC Exfoliation. Reprinted with Permission (Qi et al[83]). Copyright (2017) American Chemical Society.

Song et al. synthesized Fe₂O₃-rGO aerogel using a hydrothermal process for supercapacitor applications[90]. By in-situ precipitation followed by chemical reduction, Xia and colleagues prepared rGO/Fe₂O₃/SnO₂ for lithium-ion batteries[91]. Thermal casting was used by Feng et al. to develop mesoporous Fe₂O₃/graphene nanosheets, which were used in Li-O₂ batteries[Figure 10][92]. Likewise, many investigations looked into the use of Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposite.




Figure 10: Thermal casting of Fe₂O₃/graphene nanosheets. Reprinted with permission[92]. Copyright (2013) American Chemical Society.

For use in photocatalytic applications to degrade Congo red, Kumar et al. produced a hydrothermal Fe₂O₃-graphene oxide nanocomposite at 102 °C[93]. Jedrzejewska conducted a study in ethanol to compare two pressure methods: microwave solvothermal reactor preparation and autoclaved solvothermal synthesis[94]. The developed Fe₂O₃/graphene composites' characteristics were examined, and it was found that they were similar. Thin films of Fe₂O₃/GO and powder Fe₂O₃/GO, which were produced using the electrodeposition method, were used to perform rhodamine B (RhB) degradation. For the generation of powder catalyst, the impregnation method using drying of GO-FeCl₃ dispersion was used[95]. Wang et al. established a photo Fenton catalyst that is highly effective at degrading dye pollutants using a self-assembly approach for γ -Fe₂O₃ produced on Fe plates along with GO[96]. High-performing rGO/Fe₂O₃ composite was employed by Zhu et al. as an anode for lithium-ion batteries[97]. Urea and hydrazine were utilised as precipitating and reducing agents, respectively, while microwave irradiation was used to produce the composite. Excellent supercapacitor performance was achieved using the solvothermal approach to generate the Fe₂O₃/graphene gel, where the electrode was made over nickel foam[98]. Mokhtarifar et al. employed rGO and γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles together to enhance the self-cleaning capabilities of TiO₂ which is shown in Figure 11[99]. From the studies, they found suitable amounts of rGO and γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles in the TiO₂ composite for maximum performance.




Figure 11: Preparation of a γ -Fe₂O₃ - rGO - TiO₂ Composite Film through the Sol Gel Technique (Mokhtarifar et al[99]).

Utilizing sodium alginate and chitosan or carrageenan as graphene precursors (by pyrolysis in an environment of argon), α -Fe₂O₃/graphene composites were made hydrothermally, and the systems worked well as photocatalysts for the breakdown of RhB[100]. The researchers prepared the graphene using a more environmentally friendly technique than GO-based methods, and they came to the conclusion that alternatively metal oxide/graphene-based systems may be developed in a more environmentally friendly manner. Figure 12 displays a few relevant uses for Fe₂O₃/graphene[81].




Figure 12: Various Applications of Fe₂O₃/Graphene where Electron Mobility is Important. Reprinted with Permission (Lu et al[81]). Copyright (2019) John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

VI. GRAPHENE AS A SUPERCAPACITOR ELECTRODE

Graphene, the most well-known EDLC nanomaterial, has a theoretical capacitance of 550 F/g[19]. Graphene stands apart from conventional electrode materials due to its special characteristics, including high surface area, conductivity, electron mobility, mechanical

strength, and chemical stability. However, due to the decreased conductivity, layer agglomeration, and re-stacking, practically bare graphene exhibits a lower capacitance than the theoretical value[19]. In an ionic liquid electrolyte, graphene was shown to have a specific capacitance value of 75 F/g, according to Vivekchand et al[101]. Chemically altered graphene was developed by Stoller and his team, and it displayed a capacitance value of 135 F/g in KOH and 99 F/g in TEABF₄/AN[102]. Re-stacking lowers the energy density and Coulombic efficiency, whereas metal oxides prevent these issues[3,93]. The electrochemical performance of graphene was much improved by the synergistic features obtained by combining with various metal oxides and other nanomaterials[103]. The well-distributed metal oxides additionally utilise graphene as a conducting network[104]. For supercapacitor investigations, Liu et al. developed cauliflower-like Co₃O₄/3D graphene that attained a specific capacitance value of 675 F/g[105]. Similar to these, a number of researchers reported several methods displaying the use of graphene in supercapacitors[106,107].

VII. Fe₂O₃/GRAPHENE SUPERCAPACITOR ELECTRODE MATERIALS

The most crucial component of a high-performance supercapacitor is the electrode material. A hybrid supercapacitor that couples an EDLC and a pseudocapacitor could be a candidate for high performance[108]. The advantages of both work together to make energy storage highly effective. The supercapacitor's electrochemical stability and performance can be improved by graphene's EDLC behaviour and Fe₂O₃'s pseudocapacitance, which can increase its specific power, specific energy, shelf life, conductivity, cyclic stability, etc[109]. Fe₂O₃ has a relatively high theoretical capacitance of 3625 F/g, however because of its weaker electrical conductivity, it performs electrochemically less well[107–110]. To solve the issue, an effective dispersion of Fe₂O₃ on a conductive matrix is required. Thus, the electrochemical characteristics of Fe₂O₃ can be strengthened by the conductive graphene network. Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles can also be used in graphene sheets, to hinder the re-stacking of graphene layers[113]. Additionally, the combination may allow for quick electrolyte ion transport towards the electrode, which allows high rate capability and cyclic stability overall[114]. Fe₂O₃/graphene can therefore function as a worthy competitor electrode, displaying extremely high specific capacitance and noteworthy electrochemical performance. Colloidal electrostatic self-assembly followed by hydrothermal reduction enabled the synthesis of a Fe₂O₃/reduced graphene oxide composite with high specific capacitances of 908 Fg⁻¹ and good cyclic stability retention of 69% and 714 Fg⁻¹ @2Ag⁻¹ having 42.6% capacitance even at 30 A/g[113,114]. With a very high cyclic stability, a microwave treatment produced a specific capacitance of 577.5 Fg⁻¹ at 2 A/g [Figure 13][117].

PREPARATION METHODS, CHARACTERISTICS, AND PROSPECTS OF A-Fe₂O₃/GRAPHENE SUPERCAPACITOR ELECTRODES IN A GREEN CHEMISTRY PERSPECTIVE




Figure 13: Microwave Method for Synthesising Fe₂O₃/Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite. Reproduced. from ref[117] with Permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry. Fe₂O₃ nanoplates were integrated into 3-dimensional porous GO, which displayed an areal capacitance value of 572 mF cm⁻² at 1 mA cm⁻²[117]. Supercapacitors with Fe₂O₃ nanosheet films, nanotube arrays, etc. as electrode materials, with the addition of graphene resulted in an enhancement in their capacitive behaviour[Figure 14-15][115–118].




Figure 14: The Asymmetric Supercapacitor Combination of Ni-graphene-Fe₂O₃ and Ni-graphene-CoMoO₄ is Depicted Schematically. Reprinted with Permission (Chi et al[118]). Copyright (2017) American Chemical Society




Figure 15: Fe₂O₃/rGO Production through Solvothermal Method with Improved Specific Capacitance is Depicted Schematically. Reprinted with Permission (Ma et al[121]). Copyright (2014) American Chemical Society.

Phytic acid was utilised as the reductant in Gupta et al.'s environmentally friendly approach of GO reduction. They developed a composite using Fe₂O₃, GO, and polyaniline, and the development of a two-electrode device proved the composite's potential performance as a supercapacitor electrode (Figure 16)[122].




Figure 16: Fe₂O₃/Graphene/Polyaniline Composite and Two-Electrode Manufacturing Aided by Phytic Acid. Reprinted with Permission (Gupta et al[122]). Copyright (2020) American Chemical Society.

It is evident from the aforementioned literature search that the majority of investigations used the hydrothermal technique of preparation for the Fe₂O₃/graphene

composite synthesis, with GO serving as the graphene source. Asymmetric supercapacitor construction was described by Tian et al. to examine the supercapacitor behaviour of individual electrodes in combination and to increase the potential window utilising an aqueous electrolyte[Figure 17][123].




Figure 17: Asymmetric Supercapacitor Combination of Fe₂O₃/Graphene/Carbon Nanotube Composite Showing Promising Capacitance Behaviour. Reprinted with Permission (Tian et al[123]). Copyright (2019) American Chemical Society.

Table 1 gives the specific capacitance values at different current densities/scan rates of various Fe₂O₃/graphene-based nanocomposites in supercapacitor applications.

Table 1: Literature Data on the Electrochemical Performance of Fe₂O₃/Graphene-Based Systems

Electrode material	Specific capacitance (F/g)	current density	Electrolyte	References
Fe ₂ O ₃ @C-rGO	211.4 F/g	0.5 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[124]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanotube/rGO	215 F/g	2.5mV/s	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[125]
N-doped graphene/ Fe ₂ O ₃	698 F/g	1 A/g	1 M KOH	[126]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /N-rGO	618 F/g	0.5 A/g	1 M KOH	[121]
N-rGO/ Fe ₂ O ₃	268.4 F/g	2 A/g	1 M KOH	[127]

PREPARATION METHODS, CHARACTERISTICS, AND PROSPECTS OF A-Fe₂O₃/GRAPHENE
SUPERCAPACITOR ELECTRODES IN A GREEN CHEMISTRY PERSPECTIVE

Fe ₂ O ₃ -graphene	226 F/g	1 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[128]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /GNs/CNTs	675.7 F/g	1 A/g	6 M KOH	[129]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	306.9 F/g	3 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[130]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	504 F/g	2 mA/cm ²	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[131]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	151.8 F/g	1 A/g	2 M KOH	[132]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	224 F/g	25 mV/s	1 M Na ₂ SO ₃	[133]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanodots@NG	274 F/g	1 A/g	2 M KOH	[134]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /rGO	903 F/g	1 A/g	1 M KOH	[135]
Polyaniline/Graphene/Fe ₂ O ₃	1124 F/g	0.25 A/g	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	[122]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /rGO	255 F/g	0.5 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[136]
N-graphene/Fe ₂ O ₃	260.1 F/g	2 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[137]
rGO/Fe ₂ O ₃	577.5 F/g	2 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[117]
GNS/Fe ₂ O ₃	320 mF/cm ²	10 mA/cm ²	6 M KOH	[138]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	343.7 F/g	3 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[139]
Flower-like Fe ₂ O ₃ @multiple graphene aerogel	1119 F/g	1 A/g	3 M KOH	[140]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanodots/graphene	347.4 F/g	1A/g	2 M KOH	[141]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	551.5 F/g	1 A/g	6 M KOH	[142]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /rGO	894 F g ⁻¹	0.5 A g ⁻¹	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	[143]
Fe ₂ O ₃ -graphene	226 F/g	1 A/g	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	[144]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /RGO/Fe ₃ O ₄	337.5 mF/cm ²	20 mA/cm ²	2 M KOH	[123]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /GNs/CNTs	675.7 F g ⁻¹	1 A g ⁻¹	6 M KOH	[145]
3D-Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	264 F/g	2.5 A/g	2 M KOH	[146]
RGO/Fe ₂ O ₃	50 F/g	0.1 V/s	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	[147]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /rGO	1083 F/g	2 F/g	1 M KOH	[148]
Fe ₂ O ₃ - graphene oxide/polypyrrole	442 F/g	1 A/g	1 M KCl	[149]
Iron oxide/RGO	406.5 mF/cm ²	10 mV/s	5 M LiCl	[150]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /Graphene	788.6 F/g	1 A/g	3 M KOH	[151]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /r-GO/GCN*	810 F/g	1 A/g	6 M KOH	[152]
rGO/su-GC@Fe ₂ O ₃ ^s	1978 F/g	1 A/g	2M Na ₂ SO ₄	[153]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /SnO ₂ /rGO	821 F/g	1 A/g	6 M KOH	[154]
G/Fe ₂ O ₃ [@]	378.7	1.5 A/g	1 M KOH	[155]
MoS ₂ /Fe ₂ O ₃ /G [#]	98.2 mAh/g	1 A/g	2 M KOH	[156]
rGO/Fe ₂ O ₃	703.91 F/g	1 A/g	3 M KOH	[157]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /graphene	815 mF/cm ²	0.5 mA/cm ²	2 M KOH	[88]

*α-Fe₂O₃/r-GO/Graphitic carbon nitride

^s2D carbon embedded in Fe₂O₃ decorated with reduced graphene oxide

[@]Graphene/Fe₂O₃ [#]MoS₂/Fe₂O₃/Graphene

It is clear from the data in Table 1 that the researchers used diverse types of graphene, including GO, reduced graphene oxide (rGO), graphene aerogel, and less-

defective graphene. The most extensively studied electrolytes for Fe₂O₃/graphene supercapacitors are KOH and Na₂SO₄. Due to the fact that graphene amounts frequently differ from the initial amounts of graphite used in preparation, only a small number of publications discuss the ratio of Fe₂O₃ to graphene[86,106,158]. Fe₂O₃/graphene have been mainly used as anode materials in asymmetric supercapacitors.

VIII. PREPARATION METHODS OF GRAPHENE IN A GREEN CHEMISTRY AND ECONOMICAL PERSPECTIVE

As can be seen from the data in Table 1, the majority of the reported graphene/Fe₂O₃ systems function well as supercapacitor electrode materials. Graphene can be prepared using a variety of techniques, however most of the experiments included in the table used graphene that was obtained from GO. This is a result of the low cost, high yield, scalability, and regular practice of using established well used technologies or processes. GO/rGO contains functionalities that make it easier to bind with metal oxides than pure graphene does. GO is also hydrophilic. These characteristics allow stable graphene-metal oxide composite materials to be developed. As a result, GO is used to manufacture 99.9% of metal oxide/graphene composites.

Modern chemists adhere to green chemistry principles while developing materials since they are more concerned with sustainable development. When graphite oxide (GrO) is made from graphite, dangerous oxidizing combinations like concentrated H₂SO₄, KMnO₄, HNO₃, etc. are used, creating extreme oxidizing conditions that result in the massive generation of toxic gases. For best outcomes, the GO preparation should be closely checked at all times and the temperature should be managed. Due to the integration of oxygen functions, the resulting GrO became aqueously processable, and during sonication, became exfoliated to GO. Hydrazine hydrate is a frequently utilized reductant for GO, which is also toxic. As a result, the entire process is risky, and alternatives are always favoured from an environmental standpoint. Even if many GO reductants today are safe for the environment and even derived from plants, the manufacture of GrO is still dangerous[159]–[162]. Although rGO's functionalities and defects make it useful in a variety of applications, including membranes and catalysis, they can be problematic for those in which the aromatic conjugative π -network of graphene plays a significant role.

For purposes like supercapacitor electrodes, graphene should therefore be prepared under milder conditions. Some of those technologies, like CVD and epitaxial growth, are challenging to implement because of their low yield and high cost. However, there are effective eco-friendly, low-cost methods of exfoliating graphite that have a high yield of graphene, including surfactant-assisted liquid phase exfoliation, electrochemical techniques, ball-mill assisted techniques, and interlayer catalytic exfoliation of Fe-GIC, among others[52,54,58]. Although the aromatic π -conjugative network is intact in those, assuring good conductance, the lack of active sites for interaction between the components prevents these graphenes from forming composites without defects or functions. Here, there will be the least amount of interaction with foreign species, including electrolyte ions. Thus, it is recommended to functionalize these graphene sheets without affecting the aromatic π -conjugative network when using them as electrodes. The introduction of edge functionalities to graphene by ball-mill aided graphite exfoliation enables the covalent binding of metal oxides at the edges to generate useful hybrid materials.

The other approaches' extension of producing composites to achieve synergistic qualities is constrained since, in the absence of functional groups, covalent functionalization with graphene is not possible. However, Fe can combine strongly with aromatic moieties to yield stable compounds like ferrocene. Since similarly less defective/defect-free graphene sheets can form stable complexes with α -Fe₂O₃, the combination can function as superior supercapacitor electrodes while keeping the conductivity of graphene. Additionally, the hydrophilic Fe₂O₃ can conduct redox reactions and interact strongly with electrolyte ions, which facilitates pseudocapacitance. Thus, these composites can exhibit exceptional specific capacitance and metallic conductance[88]. Recent studies using XPS analysis show the Fe-C interaction in α -Fe₂O₃/graphene[88]. Raman spectrum analysis provides clear evidence of those graphene samples' less defective character.[86,163–165].

IX. FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN THE FABRICATION AND USE OF A- Fe₂O₃/ GRAPHENE NANOCOMPOSITES

The search for more economical and environmentally friendly ways to prepare graphene for composite production is now more important than ever for the successful fabrication of graphene-based supercapacitor electrodes. Electron mobility and conductivity play a significant part in the uses of these materials, which can also be employed for other graphene applications. The introduction of Fe₂O₃, which is amphoteric and has redox characteristics for greater performance in addition to its affinity with aromatic species, can overcome the low affinity of less-defective graphene towards foreign species. Less defective graphene and Fe₂O₃ can be combined for developing a hybrid material that exhibits EDLC and pseudocapacitance. This can further be extended for the incorporation of other metal oxides to this combination.

High specific capacitance, power density, and energy density—three characteristics that are essential for supercapacitor materials—can result from this combination. The lightweight and flexibility of graphene-based composites, which can be investigated in the development of portable electronics and flexible devices, are an additional benefit of using them in supercapacitor formulations[88, 166, 167]. These devices can also be employed in health monitoring and other applications because even performance is unaffected by bending and twisting. Since Fe₂O₃/graphene supercapacitor electrodes combine high performance (in terms of specific capacitance, power density, energy density, rate capability, conductivity, etc.), low-cost methodology, and environmentally friendly preparation of a highly stable nanohybrid electrode material, upgrading them to devices and immediately exploring them in practical applications could change the face of graphene supercapacitor technology. Figure 18 depicts a pictorial summary of various preparation methods for graphene, as well as the performance characteristics of an electrode made of Fe₂O₃/graphene that is suitable for supercapacitor applications and the difficulties that Fe₂O₃/graphene resolves.




Figure 18: A Diagram Summarizing the Fe₂O₃/graphene Synthesis Processes, Supercapacitor Performance Metrics, and Commercialization Aspects.

X. CONCLUSIONS

This review focused on supercapacitors, which are promising energy storage devices with an electrode composition mostly composed of Fe₂O₃/graphene. Also briefly discussed the significance of graphene-based composite materials. It also emphasized Fe₂O₃, its value as a material for pseudocapacitors, and its role in the synthesis of composites with graphene. The review provided a clear explanation of the necessity for an economical, low-cost, and high-yielding method of producing graphene. At the conclusion of the review, the perspectives on the graphene preparation processes and the significance of Fe₂O₃ in the formation of hybrid nanomaterial are well-explained.

XI. DECLARATIONS

- 1. Availability of Data and Materials:** All details associated with the review manuscript will be made available to the readers and reviewers upon demand.
- 2. Conflict of Interests:** No conflict of interests is available associated with the present work.
- 3. Acknowledgements:** Vijayasree Haridas acknowledges UGC, New Delhi, India for UGC-SRF and Kerala State Higher Education Council (KSHEC) for Chief Minister's Navakerala Post doctoral fellowship (CMNPF). KSCSTE, Thiruvananthapuram is acknowledged for SRS Project (Council (P) Order No331/2021/KSCSTE). The authors thank Sree Neelakanta Govt. Sanskrit College, Pattambi, and the University of Calicut for providing the facilities for carrying out the research review work.

REFERENCES

- [1] "MS halper super capacitors a brief review.pdf."
- [2] S. Najib and E. Erdem, "Current progress achieved in novel materials for supercapacitor electrodes: mini review," *Nanoscale Adv.*, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 2817–2827, 2019, doi: 10.1039/C9NA00345B.
- [3] A. González, E. Goikolea, J. A. Barrena, and R. Mysyk, "Review on supercapacitors: Technologies and materials," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 58, pp. 1189–1206, May 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.249.
- [4] "Lele - Supercapacitors A Comparative Analysis.pdf."
- [5] A. G. Pandolfo and A. F. Hollenkamp, "Carbon properties and their role in supercapacitors," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 157, no. 1, pp. 11–27, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.02.065.
- [6] R. Kötz and M. Carlen, "Principles and applications of electrochemical capacitors," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 45, no. 15–16, pp. 2483–2498, May 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0013-4686(00)00354-6.
- [7] "'Supercapacitor,' Wikipedia. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Supercapacitor&oldid=1035867808>
- [8] J. Cherusseri, D. Pandey, and J. Thomas, "Symmetric, Asymmetric, and Battery-Type Supercapacitors Using Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials and Composites," *Batter. Supercaps*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 860–875, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1002/batt.201900230.
- [9] K. D. Verma, P. Sinha, S. Banerjee, K. K. Kar, and M. K. Ghori, "Characteristics of Separator Materials for Supercapacitors," in *Handbook of Nanocomposite Supercapacitor Materials I*, K. K. Kar, Ed., in Springer Series in Materials Science, vol. 300. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 315–326. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-43009-2_11.
- [10] B. Szubzda, A. Szmaja, M. Ozimek, and S. Mazurkiewicz, "Polymer membranes as separators for supercapacitors," *Appl. Phys. A*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 1801–1809, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s00339-014-8674-y.
- [11] E. G. Bushueva et al., "Double layer supercapacitor properties of onion-like carbon materials," *Phys. Status Solidi B*, vol. 245, no. 10, pp. 2296–2299, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1002/pssb.200879608.
- [12] C. Lekakou, O. Moudam, F. Markoulidis, T. Andrews, J. F. Watts, and G. T. Reed, "Carbon-Based Fibrous EDLC Capacitors and Supercapacitors," *J. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 2011, pp. 1–8, 2011, doi: 10.1155/2011/409382.
- [13] B. E. Conway, *Electrochemical Supercapacitors*. Boston, MA: Springer US, 1999. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-3058-6.
- [14] B. E. Conway and W. G. Pell, "Double-layer and pseudocapacitance types of electrochemical capacitors and their applications to the development of hybrid devices," *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 637–644, Sep. 2003, doi: 10.1007/s10008-003-0395-7.
- [15] B. E. Conway, V. Birss, and J. Wojtowicz, "The role and utilization of pseudocapacitance for energy storage by supercapacitors," *J. Power Sources*, vol. 66, no. 1–2, pp. 1–14, May 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0378-7753(96)02474-3.
- [16] Bharti et al., "Theories and models of supercapacitors with recent advancements: impact and interpretations," *Nano Express*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 022004, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1088/2632-959X/abf8c2.
- [17] A. Muzaffar, M. B. Ahamed, K. Deshmukh, and J. Thirumalai, "A review on recent advances in hybrid supercapacitors: Design, fabrication and applications," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 101, pp. 123–145, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.10.026.
- [18] "Classification and Energy Storage Principle of Supercapacitors." Available: <https://www.greentechee.com/classification-and-energy-storage-principle-of-supercapacitors>
- [19] Q. Ke and J. Wang, "Graphene-based materials for supercapacitor electrodes – A review," *J. Materiomics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 37–54, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jmat.2016.01.001.
- [20] P. R. Wallace, "The Band Theory of Graphite," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 622–634, May 1947, doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.71.622.
- [21] A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, "The rise of graphene," *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 183–191, Mar. 2007, doi: 10.1038/nmat1849.
- [22] J. W. McClure, "Diamagnetism of Graphite," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 666–671, Nov. 1956, doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.104.666.
- [23] G. W. Semenov, "Condensed-Matter Simulation of a Three-Dimensional Anomaly," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 53, no. 26, pp. 2449–2452, Dec. 1984, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.2449.
- [24] M. Eizenberg and J. M. Blakely, "Carbon interaction with nickel surfaces: Monolayer formation and

- structural stability,” *J. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 71, no. 8, pp. 3467–3477, Oct. 1979, doi: 10.1063/1.438736.
- [25] X. Lu, M. Yu, H. Huang, and R. S. Ruoff, “Tailoring graphite with the goal of achieving single sheets,” *Nanotechnology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 269–272, Sep. 1999, doi: 10.1088/0957-4484/10/3/308.
- [26] Y. Zhang, J. P. Small, W. V. Pontius, and P. Kim, “Fabrication and electric-field-dependent transport measurements of mesoscopic graphite devices,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 86, no. 7, p. 073104, 2005, doi: 10.1063/1.1862334.
- [27] A. M. Affoune, B. L. V. Prasad, H. Sato, T. Enoki, Y. Kaburagi, and Y. Hishiyama, “Experimental evidence of a single nano-graphene,” *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 348, no. 1–2, pp. 17–20, Nov. 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0009-2614(01)01066-1.
- [28] K. S. Novoselov et al., “Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films,” *Science*, vol. 306, no. 5696, pp. 666–669, Oct. 2004, doi: 10.1126/science.1102896.
- [29] Z. Lin, Z. Wang, X. Zhang, and D. Diao, “Superhydrophobic, photo-sterilize, and reusable mask based on graphene nanosheet-embedded carbon (GNEC) film,” *Nano Res.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1110–1115, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12274-020-3158-1.
- [30] “A. Lanzara, ‘Graphene Gets a Good Gap,’ *Physics*, vol. 8, Sep. 2015, Accessed: Jul. 17, 2021.” Available: <https://physics.aps.org/articles/v8/91>
- [31] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, “The electronic properties of graphene,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 109–162, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.81.109.
- [32] R. R. Nair et al., “Fine Structure Constant Defines Visual Transparency of Graphene,” *Science*, vol. 320, no. 5881, pp. 1308–1308, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1126/science.1156965.
- [33] A. V. Rozhkov, A. O. Sboychakov, A. L. Rakhmanov, and F. Nori, “Electronic properties of graphene-based bilayer systems,” *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 648, pp. 1–104, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2016.07.003.
- [34] K. S. Novoselov et al., “Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene,” *Nature*, vol. 438, no. 7065, pp. 197–200, Nov. 2005, doi: 10.1038/nature04233.
- [35] J.-H. Chen, C. Jang, S. Xiao, M. Ishigami, and M. S. Fuhrer, “Intrinsic and extrinsic performance limits of graphene devices on SiO₂,” *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 206–209, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1038/nnano.2008.58.
- [36] A. Akturk and N. Goldsman, “Electron transport and full-band electron-phonon interactions in graphene,” *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 103, no. 5, p. 053702, Mar. 2008, doi: 10.1063/1.2890147.
- [37] B. H. Nguyen and V. H. Nguyen, “Promising applications of graphene and graphene-based nanostructures,” *Adv. Nat. Sci. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 023002, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1088/2043-6262/7/2/023002.
- [38] L. P. Biró, P. Nemes-Incze, and P. Lambin, “Graphene: nanoscale processing and recent applications,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1824–1839, 2012, doi: 10.1039/C1NR11067E.
- [39] Y. Fu et al., “Graphene related materials for thermal management,” *2D Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 012001, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1088/2053-1583/ab48d9.
- [40] A. A. Balandin et al., “Superior Thermal Conductivity of Single-Layer Graphene,” *Nano Lett.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 902–907, Mar. 2008, doi: 10.1021/nl0731872.
- [41] A. A. Balandin, “Thermal properties of graphene and nanostructured carbon materials,” *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 569–581, Aug. 2011, doi: 10.1038/nmat3064.
- [42] W. Jang, Z. Chen, W. Bao, C. N. Lau, and C. Dames, “Thickness-Dependent Thermal Conductivity of Encased Graphene and Ultrathin Graphite,” *Nano Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 3909–3913, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1021/nl101613u.
- [43] G. Ruhl, S. Wittmann, M. Koenig, and D. Neumaier, “The integration of graphene into microelectronic devices,” *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 8, pp. 1056–1064, May 2017, doi: 10.3762/bjnano.8.107.
- [44] S.-E. Zhu, S. Yuan, and G. C. A. M. Janssen, “Optical transmittance of multilayer graphene,” *EPL Europhys. Lett.*, vol. 108, no. 1, p. 17007, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/108/17007.
- [45] A. B. Kuzmenko, E. van Heumen, F. Carbone, and D. van der Marel, “Universal Optical Conductance of Graphite,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 100, no. 11, p. 117401, Mar. 2008, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.117401.
- [46] C. Lee, X. Wei, J. W. Kysar, and J. Hone, “Measurement of the Elastic Properties and Intrinsic Strength of Monolayer Graphene,” *Science*, vol. 321, no. 5887, pp. 385–388, Jul. 2008, doi: 10.1126/science.1157996.
- [47] K. Cao et al., “Elastic straining of free-standing monolayer graphene,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 284, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14130-0.
- [48] S. Chen et al., “Oxidation Resistance of Graphene-Coated Cu and Cu/Ni Alloy,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 5, no.

- 2, pp. 1321–1327, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1021/mn103028d.
- [49] V. Georgakilas et al., “Functionalization of Graphene: Covalent and Non-Covalent Approaches, Derivatives and Applications,” *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 112, no. 11, pp. 6156–6214, Nov. 2012, doi: 10.1021/cr3000412.
- [50] T. Ohta, A. Bostwick, T. Seyller, K. Horn, and E. Rotenberg, “Controlling the Electronic Structure of Bilayer Graphene,” *Science*, vol. 313, no. 5789, pp. 951–954, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1126/science.1130681.
- [51] S.-I. Park et al., “A review on fabrication processes for electrochromic devices,” *Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.-Green Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 397–421, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40684-016-0049-8.
- [52] A. Ciesielski and P. Samorì, “Grapheneviasonication assisted liquid-phase exfoliation,” *Chem Soc Rev*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 381–398, 2014, doi: 10.1039/C3CS60217F.
- [53] M. H. Wahid, E. Eroglu, X. Chen, S. M. Smith, and C. L. Raston, “Functional multi-layer graphene–algae hybrid material formed using vortex fluidics,” *Green Chem.*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 650, 2013, doi: 10.1039/c2gc36892g.
- [54] P. Yu, S. E. Lowe, G. P. Simon, and Y. L. Zhong, “Electrochemical exfoliation of graphite and production of functional graphene,” *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 5–6, pp. 329–338, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.cocis.2015.10.007.
- [55] Y. Yan et al., “Carbon nanotube catalysts: recent advances in synthesis, characterization and applications,” *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 3295–3346, 2015, doi: 10.1039/C4CS00492B.
- [56] I. Janowska et al., “Catalytic unzipping of carbon nanotubes to few-layer graphene sheets under microwaves irradiation,” *Appl. Catal. Gen.*, vol. 371, no. 1–2, pp. 22–30, Dec. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.apcata.2009.09.013.
- [57] H. Yu, B. Zhang, C. Bulin, R. Li, and R. Xing, “High-efficient Synthesis of Graphene Oxide Based on Improved Hummers Method,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 36143, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1038/srep36143.
- [58] S. Balasubramanyan, S. Sasidharan, R. Poovathinodiyil, R. M. Ramakrishnan, and B. N. Narayanan, “Sucrose-mediated mechanical exfoliation of graphite: a green method for the large scale production of graphene and its application in catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol,” *New J. Chem.*, vol. 41, no. 20, pp. 11969–11978, 2017, doi: 10.1039/C7NJ01900A.
- [59] D.-G. Thomas, E. Kavak, N. Hashemi, R. Montazami, and N. Hashemi, “Synthesis of Graphene Nanosheets through Spontaneous Sodiation Process,” *C*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 42, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.3390/c4030042.
- [60] R. Negishi, H. Hirano, Y. Ohno, K. Haehashi, K. Matsumoto, and Y. Kobayashi, “Thickness Control of Graphene Overlayer via Layer-by-Layer Growth on Graphene Templates by Chemical Vapor Deposition,” *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 50, no. 6S, p. 06GE04, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1143/JJAP.50.06GE04.
- [61] G. Csányi, P. B. Littlewood, A. H. Nevidomskyy, C. J. Pickard, and B. D. Simons, “The role of the interlayer state in the electronic structure of superconducting graphite intercalated compounds,” *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 42–45, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1038/nphys119.
- [62] A. K. Mishra and S. Ramaprabhu, “Functionalized Graphene-Based Nanocomposites for Supercapacitor Application,” *J. Phys. Chem. C*, vol. 115, no. 29, pp. 14006–14013, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1021/jp201673e.
- [63] S. Hazra and S. Basu, “Graphene-Oxide Nano Composites for Chemical Sensor Applications,” *C*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 12, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.3390/c2020012.
- [64] X. Yang, X. Dou, A. Rouhanipour, L. Zhi, H. J. Räder, and K. Müllen, “Two-Dimensional Graphene Nanoribbons,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 130, no. 13, pp. 4216–4217, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1021/ja710234t.
- [65] H. Chang and H. Wu, “Graphene-based nanocomposites: preparation, functionalization, and energy and environmental applications,” *Energy Environ. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 12, p. 3483, 2013, doi: 10.1039/c3ee42518e.
- [66] W. K. Chee, H. N. Lim, N. M. Huang, and I. Harrison, “Nanocomposites of graphene/polymers: a review,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 5, no. 83, pp. 68014–68051, 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5RA07989F.
- [67] B. Gupta, N. Kumar, K. Panda, V. Kanan, S. Joshi, and I. Visoly-Fisher, “Role of oxygen functional groups in reduced graphene oxide for lubrication,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 45030, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1038/srep45030.
- [68] V. Dhand, K. Y. Rhee, H. Ju Kim, and D. Ho Jung, “A Comprehensive Review of Graphene Nanocomposites: Research Status and Trends,” *J. Nanomater.*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–14, 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/763953.
- [69] “Iron(III) oxide,” Wikipedia. Available [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Iron\(III\)_oxide&oldid=1028593833](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Iron(III)_oxide&oldid=1028593833)
- [70] Y. Xue and Y. Wang, “A review of the α -Fe₂O₃ (hematite) nanotube structure: recent advances in

- synthesis, characterization, and applications,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 12, no. 20, pp. 10912–10932, 2020, doi: 10.1039/D0NR02705G.
- [71] S.-S. Li et al., “Iron Oxide with Different Crystal Phases (α - and γ -Fe₂O₃) in Electroanalysis and Ultrasensitive and Selective Detection of Lead(II): An Advancing Approach Using XPS and EXAFS,” *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 906–914, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03570.
- [72] E. Grabowska, M. Marchelek, M. Paszkiewicz-Gawron, and A. Zaleska-Medynska, “Metal oxide photocatalysts,” in *Metal Oxide-Based Photocatalysis*, Elsevier, 2018, pp. 51–209. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-811634-0.00003-2.
- [73] M. F. Al-Hakkani, G. A. Gouda, and S. H. A. Hassan, “A review of green methods for phyto-fabrication of hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles and their characterization, properties, and applications,” *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. e05806, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05806.
- [74] F. Kraushofer et al., “Atomic-Scale Structure of the Hematite α -Fe₂O₃ (1 $\bar{1}$ 02) ‘R-Cut’ Surface,” *J. Phys. Chem. C*, vol. 122, no. 3, pp. 1657–1669, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b10515.
- [75] L. Machala, J. Tuček, and R. Zbořil, “Polymorphous Transformations of Nanometric Iron(III) Oxide: A Review,” *Chem. Mater.*, vol. 23, no. 14, pp. 3255–3272, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1021/cm200397g.
- [76] Q. Meng, Z. Wang, X. Chai, Z. Weng, R. Ding, and L. Dong, “Fabrication of hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles using electrochemical deposition,” *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol. 368, pp. 303–308, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.02.007.
- [77] S. Thiagarajan, A. Sanmugam, and D. Vikraman, “Facile Methodology of Sol-Gel Synthesis for Metal Oxide Nanostructures,” in *Recent Applications in Sol-Gel Synthesis*, U. Chandra, Ed., InTech, 2017. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.68708.
- [78] M. Su, C. He, and K. Shih, “Facile synthesis of morphology and size-controlled α -Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ nano- and microstructures by hydrothermal/solvothermal process: The roles of reaction medium and urea dose,” *Ceram. Int.*, vol. 42, no. 13, pp. 14793–14804, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2016.06.111.
- [79] P. Das, B. Mondal, and K. Mukherjee, “Facile synthesis of pseudo-peanut shaped hematite iron oxide nano-particles and their promising ethanol and formaldehyde sensing characteristics,” *RSC Adv*, vol. 4, no. 60, pp. 31879–31886, 2014, doi: 10.1039/C4RA03098B.
- [80] L. A. Harris, “Polymer Stabilized Magnetite Nanoparticles and Poly(propylene oxide) Modified Styrene-Dimethacrylate Networks”.
- [81] W. Lu et al., “Synthesis and Applications of Graphene/Iron(III) Oxide Composites,” *ChemElectroChem*, vol. 6, no. 19, pp. 4922–4948, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1002/celec.201901006.
- [82] L. Zhang et al., “Facile synthesis of iron oxides/reduced graphene oxide composites: application for electromagnetic wave absorption at high temperature,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 9298, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1038/srep09298.
- [83] X. Qi et al., “Highly Efficient High-Pressure Homogenization Approach for Scalable Production of High-Quality Graphene Sheets and Sandwich-Structured α -Fe₂O₃/Graphene Hybrids for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 11025–11034, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1021/acsami.7b00808.
- [84] L. Li, G. Zhou, Z. Weng, X.-Y. Shan, F. Li, and H.-M. Cheng, “Monolithic Fe₂O₃/graphene hybrid for highly efficient lithium storage and arsenic removal,” *Carbon*, vol. 67, pp. 500–507, Feb. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2013.10.022.
- [85] Y.-T. Zhuang, T.-T. Zhu, M. Ruan, Y.-L. Yu, and J.-H. Wang, “A 2D porous Fe₂O₃/graphitic-C₃N₄/graphene ternary nanocomposite with multifunctions of catalytic hydrogenation, chromium(VI) adsorption and detoxification,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 3447–3455, 2017, doi: 10.1039/C6TA09774J.
- [86] K. Zhang, X. Shi, J. K. Kim, J. S. Lee, and J. H. Park, “Inverse opal structured α -Fe₂O₃ on graphene thin films: enhanced photo-assisted water splitting,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 5, no. 5, p. 1939, 2013, doi: 10.1039/c2nr33036a.
- [87] X. Qi et al., “FeCl₃ intercalated few-layer graphene for high lithium-ion storage performance,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 3, no. 30, pp. 15498–15504, 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5TA03087K.
- [88] V. Haridas, A. Sukhanazerin, B. Pullithadathil, and B. N. Narayanan, “Ultrahigh specific capacitance of α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods-incorporated defect-free graphene nanolayers,” *Energy*, vol. 221, p. 119743, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2020.119743.
- [89] V. Haridas, Z. Yaakob, S. Sugunan, and B. N. Narayanan, “Novel cost-effective synthesis of non-doped turbostratic graphene from a graphite intercalation compound: development of a durable and stable

- electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction,” *New J. Chem.*, vol. 45, no. 39, pp. 18669–18681, 2021, doi: 10.1039/D1NJ03106F.
- [90] Z. Song, W. Liu, P. Xiao, Z. Zhao, G. Liu, and J. Qiu, “Nano-iron oxide (Fe₂O₃)/three-dimensional graphene aerogel composite as supercapacitor electrode materials with extremely wide working potential window,” *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 145, pp. 44–47, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2015.01.040.
- [91] G. Xia et al., “Graphene/Fe₂O₃/SnO₂ Ternary Nanocomposites as a High-Performance Anode for Lithium Ion Batteries,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 5, no. 17, pp. 8607–8614, Sep. 2013, doi: 10.1021/am402124r.
- [92] N. Feng et al., “A multi-layered Fe₂O₃/graphene composite with mesopores as a catalyst for rechargeable aprotic lithium–oxygen batteries,” *Nanotechnology*, vol. 27, no. 36, p. 365402, Sep. 2016, doi: 10.1088/0957-4484/27/36/365402.
- [93] A. Singh and N. Kumar, “Synthesis of Fe₂O₃-Grapheneoxide Nanocomposite and Its Application in the Photodegradation of Congo Red,” *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3301825.
- [94] A. Jędrzejewska, D. Sibera, U. Narkiewicz, R. Pelech, and R. Jędrzejewski, “Effect of Synthesis Parameters of Graphene/Fe₂O₃ Nanocomposites on Their Structural and Electrical Conductivity Properties,” *Acta Phys. Pol. A*, vol. 132, no. 4, pp. 1424–1429, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.12693/APhysPolA.132.1424.
- [95] M. Khoshnam et al., “ α -Fe₂O₃/graphene oxide powder and thin film nanocomposites as peculiar photocatalysts for dye removal from wastewater,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 20378, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-99849-x.
- [96] F. Wang et al., “Facile self-assembly synthesis of γ -Fe₂O₃/graphene oxide for enhanced photo-Fenton reaction,” *Environ. Pollut.*, vol. 248, pp. 229–237, May 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.01.018.
- [97] X. Zhu, Y. Zhu, S. Murali, M. D. Stoller, and R. S. Ruoff, “Nanostructured Reduced Graphene Oxide/Fe₂O₃ Composite As a High-Performance Anode Material for Lithium Ion Batteries,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 3333–3338, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.1021/nn200493r.
- [98] H. Zhang et al., “Solvothermally induced α -Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposites with ultrahigh capacitance and excellent rate capability for supercapacitors,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 3, no. 44, pp. 22005–22011, 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5TA06668A.
- [99] M. Mokhtarifar, R. Kaveh, M. Ormellese, M. Bagherzadeh, M. Diamanti, and M. Pedferri, “On the Role of γ -Fe₂O₃ Nanoparticles and Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanosheets in Enhancing Self-Cleaning Properties of Composite TiO₂ for Cultural Heritage Protection,” *Coatings*, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 933, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.3390/coatings10100933.
- [100] S. Frindy and M. Sillanpää, “Synthesis and application of novel α -Fe₂O₃/graphene for visible-light enhanced photocatalytic degradation of RhB,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 188, p. 108461, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108461.
- [101] S. R. C. Vivekchand, C. S. Rout, K. S. Subrahmanyam, A. Govindaraj, and C. N. R. Rao, “Graphene-based electrochemical supercapacitors,” *J. Chem. Sci.*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 9–13, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1007/s12039-008-0002-7.
- [102] M. D. Stoller, S. Park, Y. Zhu, J. An, and R. S. Ruoff, “Graphene-Based Ultracapacitors,” *Nano Lett.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 3498–3502, Oct. 2008, doi: 10.1021/nl802558y.
- [103] Z.-S. Wu, G. Zhou, L.-C. Yin, W. Ren, F. Li, and H.-M. Cheng, “Graphene/metal oxide composite electrode materials for energy storage,” *Nano Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 107–131, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2011.11.001.
- [104] M. Khan et al., “Graphene based metal and metal oxide nanocomposites: synthesis, properties and their applications,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 3, no. 37, pp. 18753–18808, 2015, doi: 10.1039/C5TA02240A.
- [105] H. Liu, X. Gou, Y. Wang, X. Du, C. Quan, and T. Qi, “Cauliflower-Like Co₃O₄/Three-Dimensional Graphene Composite for High Performance Supercapacitor Applications,” *J. Nanomater.*, vol. 2015, pp. 1–9, 2015, doi: 10.1155/2015/874245.
- [106] A. Imbrogno et al., “Laser-Induced Graphene Supercapacitors by Direct Laser Writing of Cork Natural Substrates,” *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1541–1551, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1021/acsaelm.1c01202.
- [107] G. F. Hawes, P. Verma, M. Uceda, G. Karimi, B. S. Noremberg, and M. A. Pope, “Salt-Induced Doping and Templating of Laser-Induced Graphene Supercapacitors,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 10570–10584, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1021/acsaami.2c17476.
- [108] Z. Wang, C. Ma, H. Wang, Z. Liu, and Z. Hao, “Facilely synthesized Fe₂O₃-graphene nanocomposite as

- novel electrode materials for supercapacitors with high performance,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 552, pp. 486–491, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.11.071.
- [109] L. Chen, D. Liu, and P. Yang, “Preparation of α -Fe₂O₃/rGO composites toward supercapacitor applications,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 9, no. 23, pp. 12793–12800, 2019, doi: 10.1039/C9RA01928F.
- [110] Y. Ding, S. Tang, R. Han, S. Zhang, G. Pan, and X. Meng, “Iron oxides nanobelt arrays rooted in nanoporous surface of carbon tube textile as stretchable and robust electrodes for flexible supercapacitors with ultrahigh areal energy density and remarkable cycling-stability,” *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 11023, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68032-z.
- [111] X. Chen, K. Chen, H. Wang, and D. Xue, “Composition Design Upon Iron Element Toward Supercapacitor Electrode Materials,” *Mater. Focus*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 78–80, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1166/mat.2015.1213.
- [112] L. Geng, Z. Gao, and Q. Deng, “Electrochemical Performance of Iron Oxide Nanoflakes on Carbon Cloth under an External Magnetic Field,” *Metals*, vol. 8, no. 11, p. 939, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.3390/met8110939.
- [113] P. J. Sephra, P. Baraneedharan, M. Sivakumar, T. D. Thangadurai, and K. Nehru, “In situ growth of hexagonal-shaped α -Fe₂O₃ nanostructures over few layered graphene by hydrothermal method and their electrochemical performance,” *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 6898–6908, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10854-018-8676-1.
- [114] L. Xiao et al., “Self-Assembled Fe₂O₃/Graphene Aerogel with High Lithium Storage Performance,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 3764–3769, May 2013, doi: 10.1021/am400387t.
- [115] H. Wang, Z. Xu, H. Yi, H. Wei, Z. Guo, and X. Wang, “One-step preparation of single-crystalline Fe₂O₃ particles/graphene composite hydrogels as high performance anode materials for supercapacitors,” *Nano Energy*, vol. 7, pp. 86–96, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2014.04.009.
- [116] H. Zhang, C. Lu, C. Chen, L. Xie, P. Zhou, and Q. Kong, “2D Layered α -Fe₂O₃/rGO Flexible Electrode Prepared through Colloidal Electrostatic Self-Assembly,” *ChemElectroChem*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 1990–1996, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1002/celec.201700253.
- [117] M. Saraf, K. Natarajan, and S. M. Mobin, “Microwave assisted fabrication of a nanostructured reduced graphene oxide (rGO)/Fe₂O₃ composite as a promising next generation energy storage material,” *RSC Adv.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 309–317, 2017, doi: 10.1039/C6RA24766K.
- [118] K. Chi et al., “Well-Ordered Oxygen-Deficient CoMoO₄ and Fe₂O₃ Nanoplate Arrays on 3D Graphene Foam: Toward Flexible Asymmetric Supercapacitors with Enhanced Capacitive Properties,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 6044–6053, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1021/acsami.6b14810.
- [119] M.-S. Wu, R.-H. Lee, J.-J. Jow, W.-D. Yang, C.-Y. Hsieh, and B.-J. Weng, “Nanostructured Iron Oxide Films Prepared by Electrochemical Method for Electrochemical Capacitors,” *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. A1, 2009, doi: 10.1149/1.2998547.
- [120] K. Xie et al., “Highly ordered iron oxide nanotube arrays as electrodes for electrochemical energy storage,” *Electrochem. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 657–660, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.elecom.2011.03.040.
- [121] Z. Ma, X. Huang, S. Dou, J. Wu, and S. Wang, “One-Pot Synthesis of Fe₂O₃ Nanoparticles on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene as Advanced Supercapacitor Electrode Materials,” *J. Phys. Chem. C*, vol. 118, no. 31, pp. 17231–17239, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1021/jp502226j.
- [122] A. Gupta et al., “Nanostructured Polyaniline/Graphene/Fe₂O₃ Composites Hydrogel as a High-Performance Flexible Supercapacitor Electrode Material,” *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 6434–6446, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1021/acsaem.0c00684.
- [123] Y. Tian, X. Hu, Y. Wang, C. Li, and X. Wu, “Fe₂O₃ Nanoparticles Decorated on Graphene-Carbon Nanotubes Conductive Networks for Boosting the Energy Density of All-Solid-State Asymmetric Supercapacitor,” *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 9211–9219, May 2019, doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b06857.
- [124] M. Zhang et al., “Three-dimensional graphene anchored Fe₂O₃@C core-shell nanoparticles as supercapacitor electrodes,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 696, pp. 956–963, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.12.058.
- [125] K. K. Lee et al., “ α -Fe₂O₃ nanotubes-reduced graphene oxide composites as synergistic electrochemical capacitor materials,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 4, no. 9, pp. 2958–2961, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1039/C2NR11902A.
- [126] N.-W. Pu, “Hydrothermal Synthesis of N-Doped Graphene/Fe₂O₃ Nanocomposite for Supercapacitors,” *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, pp. 6812–6823, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.20964/2018.07.16.
- [127] H. D. Liu, J. L. Zhang, D. D. Xu, L. H. Huang, S. Z. Tan, and W. J. Mai, “Easy one-step hydrothermal synthesis of nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide/iron oxide hybrid as efficient supercapacitor

- material,” *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 135–144, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s10008-014-2580-2.
- [128] Z. Wang, C. Ma, H. Wang, Z. Liu, and Z. Hao, “Facilely synthesized Fe₂O₃–graphene nanocomposite as novel electrode materials for supercapacitors with high performance,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 552, pp. 486–491, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.11.071.
- [129] Y. Tian, X. Hu, Y. Wang, C. Li, and X. Wu, “Fe₂O₃ Nanoparticles Decorated on Graphene-Carbon Nanotubes Conductive Networks for Boosting the Energy Density of All-Solid-State Asymmetric Supercapacitor,” *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 9211–9219, May 2019, doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b06857.
- [130] S. Yang, X. Song, P. Zhang, J. Sun, and L. Gao, “Self-Assembled α -Fe₂O₃ mesocrystals/graphene nanohybrid for enhanced electrochemical capacitors,” *Small Weinh. Bergstr. Ger.*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 2270–2279, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1002/smll.201303922.
- [131] Q. X. Low and G. W. Ho, “Facile structural tuning and compositing of iron oxide-graphene anode towards enhanced supercapacitive performance,” *Nano Energy*, vol. 5, pp. 28–35, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2014.01.002.
- [132] D. Wang, Y. Li, Q. Wang, and T. Wang, “Nanostructured Fe₂O₃–graphene composite as a novel electrode material for supercapacitors,” *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, vol. 6, no. 16, pp. 2095–2102, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10008-011-1620-4.
- [133] H.-C. Chen, C.-C. Wang, and S.-Y. Lu, “ γ -Fe₂O₃/graphene nanocomposites as a stable high performance anode material for neutral aqueous supercapacitors,” *J. Mater. Chem. A*, vol. 2, no. 40, pp. 16955–16962, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.1039/C4TA03574G.
- [134] L. Liu, J. Lang, P. Zhang, B. Hu, and X. Yan, “Facile Synthesis of Fe₂O₃ Nano-Dots@Nitrogen-Doped Graphene for Supercapacitor Electrode with Ultralong Cycle Life in KOH Electrolyte,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 8, no. 14, pp. 9335–9344, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1021/acsaami.6b00225.
- [135] H. Quan, B. Cheng, Y. Xiao, and S. Lei, “One-pot synthesis of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoplates-reduced graphene oxide composites for supercapacitor application,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 286, pp. 165–173, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2015.10.068.
- [136] Y. Zhu et al., “Construction and Performance Characterization of α -Fe₂O₃/rGO Composite for Long-Cycling-Life Supercapacitor Anode,” *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 5067–5074, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b00445.
- [137] P. Zhao et al., “Facile hydrothermal fabrication of nitrogen-doped graphene/Fe₂O₃ composites as high performance electrode materials for supercapacitor,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 604, pp. 87–93, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2014.03.106.
- [138] W. Yang, Z. Gao, J. Wang, B. Wang, and L. Liu, “Hydrothermal synthesis of reduced graphene sheets/Fe₂O₃ nanorods composites and their enhanced electrochemical performance for supercapacitors,” *Solid State Sci.*, vol. 20, pp. 46–53, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2013.03.011.
- [139] S. Yang, X. Song, P. Zhang, and L. Gao, “Heating-Rate-Induced Porous α -Fe₂O₃ with Controllable Pore Size and Crystallinity Grown on Graphene for Supercapacitors,” *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 75–79, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1021/am507910f.
- [140] H. Wang, R. Li, M. Li, and Z. Li, “Flower-like Fe₂O₃@multiple graphene aerogel for high-performance supercapacitors,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 742, pp. 759–768, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2018.01.187.
- [141] C. Wu et al., “Rational design of novel ultra-small amorphous Fe₂O₃ nanodots/graphene heterostructures for all-solid-state asymmetric supercapacitors,” *Nano Res.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 953–960, Apr. 2021, doi:10.1007/s12274-020-3131-z.
- [142] Y. Liu, D. Guo, K. Wu, J. Guo, and Z. Li, “Simultaneous enhanced electrochemical and photoelectrochemical properties of α -Fe₂O₃/graphene by hydrogen annealing,” *Mater. Res. Express*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 025032, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1088/2053-1591/ab6c8c.
- [143] P. J. Sefhra, P. Baraneedharan, M. Sivakumar, T. D. Thangadurai, and K. Nehru, “In situ growth of hexagonal-shaped α -Fe₂O₃ nanostructures over few layered graphene by hydrothermal method and their electrochemical performance,” *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 6898–6908, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10854-018-8676-1
- [144] Z. Wang, C. Ma, H. Wang, Z. Liu, and Z. Hao, “Facilely synthesized Fe₂O₃–graphene nanocomposite as novel electrode materials for supercapacitors with high performance,” *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 552, pp. 486–491, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2012.11.071.

- [145] Y. Tian, X. Hu, Y. Wang, C. Li, and X. Wu, "Fe₂O₃ Nanoparticles Decorated on Graphene-Carbon Nanotubes Conductive Networks for Boosting the Energy Density of All-Solid-State Asymmetric Supercapacitor," *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 9211–9219, May 2019, doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b06857.
- [146] J. Wu, A. Zhou, Z. Huang, L. Li, and H. Bai, "A Facile Method to Prepare Three-Dimensional Fe₂O₃/Graphene Composites as the Electrode Materials for Supercapacitors," *Chin. J. Chem.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 2016, doi: 10.1002/cjoc.201500700.
- [147] R. Bhujel, S. Rai, U. Deka, and B. P. Swain, "Electrochemical, bonding network and electrical properties of reduced graphene oxide-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite for supercapacitor electrodes applications," *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 792, pp. 250–259, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.04.004.
- [148] Y. Gao et al., "One-step solvothermal synthesis of quasi-hexagonal Fe₂O₃ nanoplates/graphene composite as high performance electrode material for supercapacitor," *Electrochimica Acta*, vol. 191, pp. 275–283, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.electacta.2016.01.072.
- [149] J. Vigneshwaran, S. Abraham, B. Muniyandi, T. Prasankumar, J.-T. Li, and S. Jose, "Fe₂O₃ decorated graphene oxide/polypyrrole matrix for high energy density flexible supercapacitor," *Surf. Interfaces*, vol. 27, p. 101572, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.surf.2021.101572.
- [150] F. Wang et al., "Three-dimensional iron oxyhydroxide/reduced graphene oxide composites as advanced electrode for electrochemical energy storage," *Carbon*, vol. C, no. 103, pp. 56–62, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2016.02.088.
- [151] W. Zhang, X. Zhao, H. Wang, S. Fan, and S. Sun, "Three-Dimensional α -Fe₂O₃/Graphene Hydrogel Composites as Anode Materials for High-Performance Asymmetric Supercapacitors," *J. Electron. Mater.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 925–938, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s11664-022-10125-8.
- [152] G. Mummoothi et al., "High-performance solid-state asymmetric Supercapacitor based on α -Fe₂O₃/rGO/GCN composite electrode material for energy storage application," *Surf. Interfaces*, vol. 41, p. 103166, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.surf.2023.103166.
- [153] A. B. Appiagyei et al., "Sucrose-directed porous carbon interfaced α -Fe₂O₃-rGO for supercapacitors," *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, vol. 936, p. 117383, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jelechem.2023.117383.
- [154] M. Geerthana, S. Prabhu, S. Harish, M. Navaneethan, R. Ramesh, and M. Selvaraj, "Design and preparation of ternary α -Fe₂O₃/SnO₂/rGO nanocomposite as an electrode material for supercapacitor," *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 8327–8343, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10854-021-06128-6.
- [155] W. Gao et al., "Design and Preparation of Graphene/Fe₂O₃ Nanocomposite as Negative Material for Supercapacitor," *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1097–1104, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s40242-022-1442-1.
- [156] R. Palanisamy et al., "High-performance asymmetric supercapacitor fabricated with a novel MoS₂/Fe₂O₃/Graphene composite electrode," *Colloid Interface Sci. Commun.*, vol. 46, p. 100573, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.colcom.2021.100573.
- [157] X. Zhang et al., "Soft fully-printed rGO/Fe₂O₃-based supercapacitors for wearable electronics," *J. Energy Storage*, vol. 56, p. 106136, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.est.2022.106136.
- [158] P. M. Kulal, D. P. Dubal, C. D. Lokhande, and V. J. Fulari, "Chemical synthesis of Fe₂O₃ thin films for supercapacitor application," *J. Alloys Compd.*, vol. 509, no. 5, pp. 2567–2571, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.11.091.
- [159] M. Gijare et al., "Facile Green Preparation of Reduced Graphene Oxide Using Citrus Limetta-Decorated rGO/TiO₂ Nanostructures for Glucose Sensing," *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 294, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/electronics12020294.
- [160] P. Rani et al., "Hydrothermal-assisted green synthesis of reduced graphene oxide nanosheets (rGO) using lemon (Citrus Limon) peel extract," *Mater. Today Proc.*, p. S2214785323023209, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2023.04.419
- [161] R. F. S. Muktar Ahmed et al., "Sucrose assisted chemical-free synthesis of rGO for triboelectric nanogenerator: Green energy source for smart-water dispenser," *Nano Energy*, vol. 106, p. 108085, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.108085.
- [162] W. Sun et al., "Biogenic synthesis of reduced graphene oxide decorated with silver nanoparticles (rGO/Ag NPs) using table olive (olea europaea) for efficient and rapid catalytic reduction of organic pollutants," *Chemosphere*, vol. 310, p. 136759, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.136759.
- [163] V. Haridas, S. Sugunan, and B. N. Narayanan, "One-pot low-temperature green synthesis of magnetic graphene nanocomposite for the selective reduction of nitrobenzene," *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 262, pp.

- 287–293, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2018.03.037.
- [164] V. Haridas, Z. Yaakob, R. N. K., S. Sugunan, and B. N. Narayanan, “Selective electrochemical determination of paracetamol using hematite/graphene nanocomposite modified electrode prepared in a green chemical route,” *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 263, p. 124379, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.matchemphys.2021.124379.
- [165] S. Liu, L. Kang, J. Zhang, E. Jung, S. Lee, and S. C. Jun, “Structural engineering and surface modification of MOF-derived cobalt-based hybrid nanosheets for flexible solid-state supercapacitors,” *Energy Storage Mater.*, vol. 32, pp. 167–177, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ensm.2020.07.017.
- [166] S. Liu et al., “Realizing Superior Redox Kinetics of Hollow Bimetallic Sulfide Nanoarchitectures by Defect-Induced Manipulation toward Flexible Solid-State Supercapacitors,” *Small*, vol. 18, no. 5, p. 2104507, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1002/sml.202104507
- [167] V. V. Khedekar, S. Mohammed Zaeem, and S. Das, “Graphene-metal oxide nanocomposites for supercapacitors: A perspective review,” *Adv. Mater. Lett.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 2–19, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.5185/amlett.2018.1932.