

NANO M_J OPEN MAP IN NANO TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Abstract

In this paper we are going to establishing new class of function in Nano topological spaces named as Nano M_J -open map. The properties of Nano M_J -open map are explained. Also introduce Nano $-M_J$ closed map and discussed their properties. Finally introduce Nano M_J -homeomorphism and analyze their properties with examples.

Keywords: Nano M_J open sets; Nano M_J Closed Sets; Nano M_J -open map; Nano M_J -closed map ; Nano M_J -homeomorphism.

Authors

Jackson. S

Assistant Professor
P.G. and Research
Department of Mathematics
V. O. Chidambaram College
Manonmaniam Sundaranar University
Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

GnanaSelvam Jude. I

Research Scholar
P.G. and Research
Department of Mathematics
V. O. Chidambaram College
Manonmaniam Sundaranar University
Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the year 2013 a new branch of topology called Nano topology established by Lellis Thivagar[1]. Nano topology became the important branch in topology that uses in data analysing and many real life situation. He also defined some weaker form of Nano-open sets[2] such as Nano α -open sets, Nano semi-open sets and Nano pre-open sets. He also defined Nano-continuity[3] and Nano-homeomorphism. Jackson and Gnanaselvam jude defined Nano M_J open set using the operators $Nscl$ and $Nint$. In this paper a new class of function named as Nano M_J -open map established and their properties are discussed.

II. PRELIMNERIES

On this paper, W_1, W_2 and W_3 are non empty ,finite universes; $P \subseteq W_1, Q \subseteq W_2$ and $S \subseteq W_3$; $W_1/R, W_2/R'$ and W_3/R'' are the families of equivalence relations R, R' and R'' respectively on W_1, W_2 and W_3 . $(W_1, \tau_R(P)), (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ And $(W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$ are the *NTS* with respect to P, Q and S respectively. *Nano $M_J O(W_1, P)$, Nano $M_J O(W_2, Q)$ and Nano $M_J O(W_3, S)$ are the Nano M_J open with respect to P, Q and S respectively. Nano $M_J C(W_1, P)$, Nano $M_J C(W_2, Q)$ and Nano $M_J C(W_3, S)$ are the Nano M_J closed with respect to P, Q and S respectively.*

Definition 2.1 [2]: Take the universe W_1 be a nonempty finite set object and R is known as the indiscernibility relation and is an equivalence relation on W_1 . It is known that elements of the same equivalence class are indistinguishable from one another. The approximation space is the pair (W_1, R) . Let $P \subseteq W_1$

1. The Lower approximation of P with respect to R is defined by

$$L_R(P) = \bigcup_{G \in U} \{R(P) : R(P) \subseteq P\}$$
2. The upper approximation of P with respect to R is defined by

$$U_R(P) = \bigcup_{G \in U} \{R(P) : R(P) \cap P \neq \emptyset\}$$
3. The boundary region of X with respect to R classified by

$$B_R(P) = U_R(P) - L_R(P).$$

Proposition 2.2: [2]

As (W_1, R) is an approximation space and $J_1, J_2 \subseteq W_1$,

$$L_R(J_1) \subseteq J_1 \subseteq U_R(J_1)$$

$$L_R(\emptyset) = U_R(\emptyset) = \emptyset \text{ and } L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$$

$$U_R(J_1 \cup J_2) = U_R(J_1) \cup U_R(J_2)$$

$$U_R(J_1 \cap J_2) \subseteq U_R(J_1) \cap U_R(J_2)$$

$$L_R(J_1 \cup J_2) \supseteq L_R(J_1) \cup L_R(J_2)$$

$$L_R(J_1 \cap J_2) = L_R(J_1) \cap L_R(J_2)$$

$$L_R(J_1) \subseteq L_R(J_2) \text{ and } U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(J_2) \text{ whenever } J_1 \subseteq J_2$$

$$U_R(J_1^c) = [L_R(J_1)]^c \text{ and } L_R(J_1^c) = [U_R(J_1)]^c$$

$$U_R U_R(J_1) = L_R L_R(X) = U_R(J_1)$$

$$L_R L_R(J_1) = U_R L_R(J_1) = L_R(J_1)$$

Definition 2.3 [2]: Let W_1 be finite, non-empty universe of objects and R be an equivalence relation on W_1 . Let $P \subseteq U$. Let $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, L_R(P), U_R(P), B_R(P)\}$. Then $\tau_R(P)$ a topology on W_1 , called as the Nano topology with respect to P . Elements of the Nano topology are known as the Nano – open sets in W_1 and $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$ is called the Nano topological space (briefly NTS). $[\tau_R(P)]^c$ is called the Dual Nano topology on $\tau_R(P)$. Elements of $\tau_{RC}(P)$ are called as Nano – closed sets.

Remark 2.4 [2]: The basis for the Nano topology $\tau_R(P)$ with respect to P is given by $\beta_R(P) = \{U, L_R(P), B_R(P)\}$.

Definition 2.5 [2]: If $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$ is a NTS with respect to P where $P \subseteq W_1$ and if $S \subseteq W_1$ then the Nano interior of S is defined as the union of all Nano – open subsets of S and it is denoted as $Nint(S)$. Nano interior is the largest Nano – open subset of S . The Nano Closure of S is defined as the intersection of all Nano – closed sets containing S and it is denoted by $Ncl(S)$. It is the smallest Nano – closed set containing S .

Definition 2.6 [7]: A subset G of a NTS, G is called Nano M_j open if $G \subseteq (Nsc(Nint(G)))$. The collection of all Nano M_j open sets in (U, G) is denoted by $M_j O(U, G)$. The complement of Nano M_j open set is called a Nano M_j closed set. The collection of all Nano M_j closed sets in (U, G) is denoted by $M_j C(U, G)$.

Definition 2.7[3]: Let $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$ and $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be two NTS. Then a mapping $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is said to be

1. Nano – continuous if $f^{-1}(M)$ is Nano – open in W_1 for every Nano – open set M in W_2 .
2. Nano α – continuous if $f^{-1}(M)$ is Nano α – open in W_1 for every Nano – open set M in W_2 .
3. Nano pre – continuous if $f^{-1}(M)$ is Nano pre – open in W_1 for every Nano – open set M in W_2 .
4. Nano semi – continuous if $f^{-1}(M)$ is Nano semi – open in W_1 for every Nano – open set M in W_2 .
5. Nano M_j continuous if $f^{-1}(M)$ is Nano M_j open in W_1 for every Nano – open set M in W_2 .

Definition 2.8[3]: A function $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is a Nano – open map if the image of every Nano – open set in W_1 is Nano – open in W_2 . The mapping f is said to be a Nano – closed map if the image of every Nano – closed set in W_1 is Nano – closed in W_2 .

Definition 2.9[3]: A function $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is said to be a Nano homeomorphism if

1. f is 1 – 1 and onto
2. f is Nano continuous
3. f is Nano – open map

III. NANO M_J – OPEN MAP

Definition 3.1: The map $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is said to be *Nano M_J – open map* if the the image of every *Nano – open* set in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$ is *Nano M_J open* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$.

Example 3.2: Let $W_1 = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{w\}\}$ and $P = \{x, w\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{x, w\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}$ and $Q = \{a, d\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'}(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $Nano M_J O(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(x) = b; f(y) = a; f(z) = c; f(w) = d$, then $f\{x, w\} = \{b, d\}$. Therefore f is *Nano M_J – open map*.

Remark 3.3: The composition of two *Nano M_J – open maps* need not be *Nano M_J – open map* as seen by the example below.

Example 3.4: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $P = \{a, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $Q = \{b, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'}(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{b, c\}\}$, $Nano M_J O(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Let $W_3 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_3/R'' = \{\{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $S = \{a, b, d\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R''}(S) = Nano M_J O(W_3, S) = \{W_3, \varphi, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$ be an identity maps then f and g are *Nano M_J – open maps*. But their composition is not *Nano M_J – open map* since image of the *Nano – open* set $\{a, b, c\}$ is not *Nano M_J open* in $(W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$.

Remark 3.5: Image of a *Nano M_J open* set need not be a *Nano M_J open* set under a *Nano M_J – open map*.

Example 3.6: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, d\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$ and $P = \{b, c\}$ then $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{b, c\}\}$ and $Nano M_J (W_1, P) = \{U, \varphi, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{h, p, u, f\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{h, f\}, \{p\}, \{u\}\}$ and $Q = \{p, f\}$ then $\tau_{R'}(Q) = Nano M_J O(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{h, f\}, \{p\}, \{h, p, f\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(a) = p; f(b) = h; f(c) = f; f(d) = u$. Then f is *Nano M_J – open map* but the image of *Nano M_J open* sets $\{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}$ is not *Nano M_J open* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$.

Theorem 3.7: Every *Nano – open map* is *Nano M_J – open map*.

Proof. Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be *Nano – open map*. Let I be *Nano – open* in the topological space $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then the image of I under the map f is *Nano – open* in the topological space $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$. Since every *Nano – open* is *Nano M_J open*, f is *Nano M_J – open map*.

Remark 3.8: The converse of the theorem 3.7 is not true.

Example 3.9: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $P = \{a, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z, w\}\}$ and $Q = \{z, w\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'}(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{z, w\}\}$ and $Nano M_J O(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{z, w\}, \{y, z, w\}, \{x, z, w\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(a) = x; f(b) =$

$z; f(c) = w; f(d) = y$. Then f is Nano M_J – open map but not Nano – open map. Since the image of set $\{a, b, c\}$ is not Nano – open in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$.

Theorem 3.10: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be Nano – open map and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$ be Nano M_J open map. Then their composition is Nano M_J – open map.

Proof. Let I be Nano – open set in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then $f(I)$ is Nano – open in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ and $(g \circ f)(I) = g(f(I))$ is Nano M_J open since g is Nano M_J – open map. Hence the composition is Nano M_J – open map.

Remark 3.11: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be Nano M_J – open map and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$ be Nano – open map. Then their composition is not Nano M_J – open map.

Example 3.12: In example 3.4 f is Nano M_J – open map and g is Nano – open map but their composition is not Nano M_J – open map.

IV. NANO M_J – CLOSED MAP

Definition 4.1: The map $f: (W_1, \tau_{RC}(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{RC}(Q))$ is said to be Nano M_J – closed map if the the image of every Nano – closed set in $(W_1, \tau_{RC}(P))$ is Nano M_J closed in $(W_2, \tau_{RC}(Q))$.

Example 4.2: Let $W_1 = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}, \{w\}\}$ and $P = \{x, w\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{RC}(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{y, z\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c\}\}$ and $Q = \{a, d\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'}(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and Nano $M_J C(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(x) = b; f(y) = a; f(z) = c; f(w) = d$, then f is Nano M_J – closed map.

Remark 4.3: The composition of two Nano M_J – closed maps need not be Nano M_J – closed map as seen by the example below.

Example 4.4: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $P = \{a, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $\tau_{RC}(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{d\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $Q = \{b, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'}(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{a, d\}\}$ and Nano $M_J C(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$. Let $W_3 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_3/R'' = \{\{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $S = \{a, b, d\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R''}(S) = \{W_3, \varphi, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Let $f: (W_1, \tau_{RC}(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$ be an identity maps then f and g are Nano M_J – closed maps. But their composition is not Nano M_J – closed map since image of the Nano – closed set $\{d\}$ is not Nano M_J open in $(W_3, \tau_{R''}(S))$.

Remark 4.5: Image of a Nano M_J closed set need not be a Nano M_J closed set under a Nano M_J – closed map.

Example 4.6: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, d\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$ and $P = \{b, c\}$ then $\tau_{RC}(P) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{a, d\}\}$ and Nano $M_J C(W_1, P) = \{U, \varphi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{h, p, u, f\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{h, f\}, \{p\}, \{u\}\}$ and $Q = \{p, f\}$ then

$\tau_{R'}c(Q) = Nano M_j C(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{u\}, \{p, u\}, \{h, f, u\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(a) = p; f(b) = h; f(c) = f; f(d) = u$. Then f is *Nano M_j – closed map* but the image of *Nano M_j closed set $\{a\}$* is not *Nano M_j closed in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}c(Q))$* .

Theorem 4.7: Every *Nano – closed map* is *Nano M_j – closed map*.

Proof: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R c(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'} c(Q))$ be *Nano – closed map*. Let I be *Nano – closed* in the topological space $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then the image of I under the map f is *Nano – closed* in the topological space $(W_3, \tau_{R'}(Q))$. Since every *Nano – closed* is *Nano M_j open*, f is *Nano M_j – closed map*.

Remark 4.8: The coverse of the theorem 4.7 is not true.

Example 4.9: Let $W_1 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $P = \{a, c\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R c(X) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{d\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z, w\}\}$ and $Q = \{z, w\}$. Then the topology $\tau_{R'} c(Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{x, y\}\}$ and $Nano M_j C(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{x, y\}, \{x\}, \{y\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(a) = x; f(b) = z; f(c) = w; f(d) = y$. Then f is *Nano M_j – closed map* but not *Nano – closed map*. Since the image of set $\{d\}$ is not closed in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$.

Theorem 4.10: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R c(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'} c(Q))$ be *Nano – closed map* and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'} c(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''} c(S))$ be *Nano M_j – closed map*. Then their composition is *Nano M_j – closed map*.

Proof: Let I be *Nano – closed set* in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then $f(I)$ is *Nano – closed* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ and $(g \circ f)(I) = g(f(I))$ is *Nano M_j closed* since g is *Nano M_j closed map*. Hence the composition is *Nano M_j – closed map*.

Remark 4.11: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R c(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'} c(Q))$ be *Nano M_j – closed map* and $g: (W_2, \tau_{R'} c(Q)) \rightarrow (W_3, \tau_{R''} c(S))$ be *Nano – closed map*. Then their composition is not *Nano M_j – closed map*.

Example 4.12: In example 3.4 f is *Nano M_j – closed map* and g is *Nano – closed map* but their composition is not *Nano M_j – closed map*.

V. NANO M_j – HOMEOMORPHISM

Definition 5.1: A function $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is said to be *Nano M_j – homeomorphism* if f is *one – one* and *onto*, *Nano M_j – Continous* and *Nano M_j – open map*.

Example 5.2: Let $W_1 = \{x, y, z, w\}$ with $W_1/R = \{\{x, w\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}$ and $P = \{y, w\}$ then $\tau_R(P) = Nano M_j O(W_1, \tau_R(P)) = \{W_1, \varphi, \{y\}, \{x, w\}, \{x, y, w\}\}$. Let $W_2 = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $W_2/R' = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\}$ and $Q = \{a, d\}$. Then the topology $\tau_R(Q) = Nano M_j O(W_2, Q) = \{W_2, \varphi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Define $f: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ as $f(x) = a; f(y) = d; f(z) = b; f(w) = c$, then f is *Nano M_j – open map*, *Nano M_j – Continous function* and also f is *one – one* and *onto*.

Remark 5.3: A function $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ is said to be *Nano M_J – homeomorphism* if f is *one – one* and *onto*, *Nano M_J – Continuous* and *Nano M_J – closed map*.

Theorem 5.4: Every *Nano – homeomorphism* is *Nano M_J – homeomorphism*.

Proof: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be a *Nano – homeomorphism*. Then f is *one – one* and *onto*, *Nano – continuous* and *Nano – open map*. Since every *Nano – continuous* is *Nano M_J – Continuous* and every *Nano – open map* is *Nano M_J – open map*, f is *Nano M_J – homeomorphism*.

Theorem 5.5: Let $f: (W_1, \tau_R(P)) \rightarrow (W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$ be a bijective *Nano M_J – Continuous function*. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) f is an *Nano M_J – Open map*.
- (2) f is an *Nano M_J – homeomorphism*.
- (3) f is an *Nano M_J – Closed map*

Proof:

(1) \rightarrow (2)

Let f is an *Nano M_J Open map* and given f is bijective and *Nano M_J – Continuous function*. Then by definition, f is *Nano M_J – homeomorphism*.

(2) \rightarrow (3)

Since f is *Nano M_J – homeomorphism*, it is bijective, *Nano M_J – Continuous* and *Nano M_J – Open map*. Let H be a *Nano – Closed set* in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then $U - H$ is *Nano Open* in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$ and $f(U - H)$ is *Nano M_J Open* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$. $f(U - H) = f(U) - f(H) = V - f(H)$ is *Nano M_J open*. Hence $f(H)$ is *Nano M_J Closed set* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$.

(3) \rightarrow (1)

Let H be *Nano – Open set* in $(W_1, \tau_R(P))$. Then $f(U - H)$ is *Nano M_J – Closed* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$. (i.e) $f(H)$ is *Nano M_J Open* in $(W_2, \tau_{R'}(Q))$. Therefore f is *Nano M_J – Open map*.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we delivered a *Nano M_J – open map* and *Nano M_J – closed map* as a weaker form of function in Nano Topological spaces and also defined *Nano M_J – homeomorphism* and detailing their properties with the suitable examples.

REFERENCES

- [1] Levine, N., Semi-Open Sets and Semi-Continuity in Topological Spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly. 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [2] M. Lellis Thivagar and C. Richard, On Nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 1(1) (2013), 31-37.
- [3] Lellis Thivagar M and Carmel Richard, On Nano continuity, Mathematical Theory and Modeling, Vol3, No.7, 2013.

- [4] Nachiyar.T.R and Bhuvaneswari.K 2014, 'Nano generalized A -continuous and Nano A - generalized continuous functions in Nano Topological spaces', International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 14(2), (2014), pp.79-83.
- [5] Mashhour,A.S, Abd El-Monsef, M.E and El-Deeb,S.L 1982, 'On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings', Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53, pp.47-53.
- [6] Mashhour,A.S, Hasanein, I.A and El-Deeb, S.N 1983, On α -continuous and α -open mappings, Acta Math. Hung, vol.41,pp.213-218.
- [7] Jackson S,Gnanaselvam jude I,Mariappan p, On Nano M_J open sets in Nano Topological Spaces. (communicated).
- [8] P.Karthuksankar, Nano Tottaly Semi Open Maps in Nano Topological Spaces, International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends Volume 5, Issue 3, May-Jun-2019, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X
- [9] M.Bhuvaneswari,N.Nagaveni, A Weaker Form of a Closed Map in Nano Topological Space, International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Volume 5, Issue 3, ISSN (Online): 2347–9051