QUANTUM MECHANICS AT A GLANCE FOR BEGINNERS

Authors

Dr. Arvind Kumar Sharma
Professor
Department of Physics
Swami Vivekanand Subharti University
Meerut, India
arvindsharmaphy@gmail.com

Dr. Mohd. Israil
Associate Professor
Department of Physics
Swami Vivekanand Subharti University
Meerut, India
israilsaifi@gmail.com

Dr. Nirdesh Kumar Singh
Associate Professor
Department of Physics
Swami Vivekanand Subharti University
Meerut, India
k_nirdeshsingh@rediffmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

As we know thatMechanics is a branch of physics which deals the motion of objects. It is mainly divided into four types on the basis of size and speed of objects given in (Table-1):

Table 1

S.No.	Mechanics	Size of object	Speed of object v	Examples
1	Classical or Newtonian Mechanics	Macroscopic (i.e. size greater than that of atoms)	$v \ll$ Speed of light c $(\approx 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s})$	Motion of bicycle, scooter, car, train. Aeroplane etc.
2	Quantum Mechanics	Microscopic (i.e. size comparable to atoms)	$v \ll c$	Motion of atom, molecule, electron, proton, neutron etc.
3	Relativistic Mechanics	Macroscopic	$v \approx c$	Motion of photon, meson etc.
4	Relativistic Quantum Mechanics or Quantum Field Theory	Microscopic	$v \approx c$	Motion of EM radiations



1. **Courtesy to Google Website:** The Latin term for "how much" is where the word "quantum" originates. The study of atomic particle existence and interaction is known as quantum mechanics. In all quantum theories, discrete amounts of anything are always present. e.g. energy $E = n\, h\nu$, where $n = 0, 1, 2,3, \cdots$

where $\boldsymbol{h}$ $(= \mathbf{6.67} \times \mathbf{10^{-34}} \boldsymbol{Js or}\, \mathbf{6.67} \times \mathbf{10^{-34}}/1.6 \times \mathbf{10^{-19}} = \mathbf{4.1356677} \times \mathbf{10^{-15}}\ \mathbf{eV} \cdot \mathbf{s}$) is a fundamental physical constant occurring in quantum mechanics called **Planck constant**. The sign for the Dirac constant, also known as the reduced Planck constant, is = h/2. The two men who developed quantum physics, Niels Bohr and Max Planck, each won the Nobel Prize in Physics for their research on quanta.

Between 1900 and 1930, physics experiences a significant change.The study of matter and its interactions with energy at the level of atomic and subatomic particles is known as quantum mechanics. The Quantum Mechanics (QM) era was during this time. Microparticle behavior, including that of electrons, protons, neutrons, hydrogen atoms, potential wells, potential barriers, tunneling, etc., is explained using quantum mechanics (QM). Max Planck first proposed the concept of quantization in 1900 to describe the entire black-body spectrum. Albert Einstein (Photoelectric Effect), Arthur Holly Compton (Compton Effect), Werner Heisenberg (Heisenberg's uncertainty relations), Louis Victor de Broglie (Matter Waves or de Broglie Waves), Erwin Schrödinger (Schrödinger wave equations), Max Born (Wave functions), Paul Adrien Maurice Dirac (Dirac equation), and others are among the physicists who are credited with the majority of inventions. When a particle reaches a macroscopic size, quantum theory transforms into classical physics. Particles in quantum mechanics have wavelike characteristics, and the Schrödinger equation, a specific wave equation, determines how these waves behave under various conditions.

The first law of quantum physics asserts that everything is constituted of matter and energy and that the barrier between them is never stable or infinite. Different atomic levels show the interaction between matter and energy. The quanta of electromagnetic energy, the uncertainty principle, the Pauli exclusion principle, and the wave theory of matter particles are basically the four key principles of quantum mechanics that have been demonstrated experimentally and are relevant to the behavior of nuclear particles at close ranges.

Lasers and integrated circuits are two examples of quantum phenomena that are used in quantum mechanics applications. Understanding how individual atoms are united by covalent bonds to form molecules relies heavily on quantum mechanics. Lasers, solar cells, electron microscopes, atomic clocks used in GPS, and MRI scanners for medical imaging are all examples of practical applications of quantum mechanics.Usually, it is used to describe microscopic systems like molecules, atoms, and subatomic particles. The discovery that waves could be quantized into tiny energy packets that resembled particles, or quanta, led to the development of the field of physics known as quantum mechanics, which studies atomic and subatomic systems.

Thus, the field of physics known as quantum mechanics studies how matter and energy behave on a scale smaller than that of atoms and subatomic particles or waves. Max Born initially used the term "quantum mechanics" in 1924.We'll talk about the Black Body radiation spectrum, the Compton effect, the photoelectric effect, and their interpretations based on Max Planck's quantum theory in this chapter. Louis de Broglie's theory of matter waves and its experimental confirmation by the experiments conducted by Davisson-Germer and Thomson.

In the honour of Max Planck the whole world celebrate **World Quantum Day on 14 April**, i.e. **a reference to 4.14**due to $\boldsymbol{h}\left(\mathbf{4.1356677} \times \mathbf{10^{-15}}\ \mathbf{eV \cdot s}\right)$.World Quantum Day is an annual celebration for promoting public awareness and understanding of quantum science and technology around the world. Quantum Mechanics or Relativity (or both) is said to be Modern Physics.

When a group of particles are created, interact, or share spatial proximity in such a way that the quantum states of each particle of the group cannot be described independently of the states of the others, including when the particles are separated by a great distance, this phenomenon known as quantum entanglement (also known as Entangle Photons) takes place.When a system is in a "superposition" of several states, this is when quantum entanglement occurs. One key aspect of quantum physics that distinguishes it from classical mechanics is entanglement.A particular sort of superposition called entanglement involves two isolated locations in space.



2. **Courtesy to Google Website:** It is possible to find instances where measurements of entangled particles' physical characteristics, such as position, momentum, spin, and polarization, are fully coupled. For instance, if a pair of entangled particles is created with known zero total spin, and one particle is discovered to have clockwise spin on a first axis, the other particle's spin is found to be anticlockwise when measured on the same axis.

Examples: 1-if a coin is tossed (or flipped) without being watched for the outcome. The man is aware that it will either be heads or tails. Simply put, the man is unsure which is which. Superposition indicates that until you look at it (take a measurement), it is not just unknown to the other person; it is also not even in its heads or tails condition. Similar to this, a photon might collide with a 50/50 splitter to cause the entanglement (superposition of two different places) of a collection of images. After the splitter, the photon could follow path A or path B. The superposition in this instance is between

- A photon in path A and no photon in path B
- No photon in path A and a photon in path B.

As a typical human being, the individual believes that it is just in one road or the other way, and that one simply is unaware of it. However, until you really measure it, it is in both. Once more, the average person wants to assert that if I measured it and discovered it along path A.

S.N.	**EM Wave** $\left(\lambda = \frac{hc}{E}\right)$	**Matter Wave** $\left(\lambda = \frac{h}{p}\right)$
1	An oscillating charged particle gives rise to the EM wave.	A matter wave is associated with a moving microscopic particle.
2	The speed of an EM wave is constant in a medium. Its speed is $c\left(=3\times10^{8}\ m/s\right)$ in vacuum.	Its speed is always greater than the speed of light.
3	Its wave length is inversely proportional to the energy of photon, i.e. $\lambda \propto \frac{1}{E}$.	Its wave length is inversely proportional to the momentum of microscopic particle, i.e. $\lambda \propto \frac{1}{p}$.
4	An EM wave can be radiated into space by an oscillating charged particle.	A Matter wave cannot be emitted by a moving microscopic particle.
5	In an EM wave its electric and magnetic fields oscillate $\perp$ to the direction of motion.	A de- Broglie wave is associated with neutral and charged microscopic particles. A charged moving microscopic particle has electric and magnetic fields.

3. De-Broglie Concept of Matter Waves:

Prince Louis-Victor de Broglie [15th August, 1892 – 19th March, 1987]-In **1924**, French physicist first time introduced the idea of matter wave or de Broglie wave. In **1929**, de Broglie was awarded **Nobel Prize** for this discovery **'the wave nature of electron'**.**(Courtesy to Google website)**

A matter was regarded as a particle in nature up until 1923.All minuscule particles, such as electrons, protons, neutrons, alpha particles, etc., were included in de Broglie's expansion of the concept of the dual nature of light. The photons that make up light are said to be its constituents, according to the quantum hypothesis. De Broglie derived the relationship between particle and wave natures from Einstein's energy-mass relation for electromagnetic (EM) waves and Planck's energy formula.

$$E = h\nu = h\frac{c}{\lambda} \quad ......(1.101)$$

where h is Planck's constant, ν is frequency of EM wave and λ is wavelength of EM wave

$$E = mc^2 \quad ......(1.102)$$

$$\therefore \quad \frac{hc}{\lambda} = mc^2 \text{ or, } \lambda = \frac{h}{mc} = \frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}(=\mathrm{c})} \quad ......(1.103) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\lambda = \frac{h}{p}}$$

In contrast to and, which are characteristics of waves, E and P are characteristics of particles. Thus, the Planck's constant h establishes a relationship between the particle and wave natures, giving rise to the EM wave's (or light's) dual nature.

The de Broglie hypothesis, put out by Louis de Broglie, states that a moving particle is connected to a wave known as the de Broglie or matter wave. The mechanical motion of a moving macroscopic particle is represented by the symbol and the motion of a matter wave is represented by the symbol u.

From eqs. (1.201) and (1.202) we put the value of $\nu\left(=\frac{mc^2}{h}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(=\frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}}\right)$ from the formula of matter wave in equation.

$$\therefore \quad u=\frac{mc^2}{h}\frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}}=\frac{c^2}{\mathrm{v}} \qquad (1.204) \quad \Rightarrow u \rangle \mathrm{v} \text{ since } \mathrm{v} \langle \mathrm{c}.$$

4. Properties of Matter Waves:

1 These waves are generated only when microscopic particles are in motion. If speed v of the particle is zero (i.e. $\mathrm{v}=0$) then the wavelength of matter wave $\lambda\left(=\frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}(=0)}\right)=\infty$ on the other hand if $\mathrm{v}=\infty$ then $\lambda=\frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}(=\infty)}=0$.

2 These waves are independent of nature of microscopic particles, i.e. either the particles are charged or neutral.

3 3. Speed of matter waves is always greater than the speed of light $c\left(=3\times10^8\ m/s\right)$, i.e. $\mathrm{v_p} \rangle c$.

Note- A matter wave cannot be split as electromagnetic waves do this.

Davisson-Germer and G.P. Thomson provided the experimental evidence for the de Broglie wave for slow electrons, respectively. C.J. Davisson and G.P. Thomson shared the Nobel Prize in 1937 for their work confirming matter waves through experiment.

Application ofde Broglie wave- Bohr’s condition for the quantization of angular momentum

Let's say that an electron of mass is moving rapidly in the nth circular orbit of radius around the atom's nucleus (for example, a hydrogen atom). The de Broglie wave's wavelength can be calculated using the following formula:

$$\lambda_n=\frac{h}{m_e\mathrm{v_n}} \quad ......(ii)$$

Here, the motion of the electron can be thought as the wave of λ_n traveling along the circumference of the orbit. Thus, for a circular path its circumference is integral multiple of the wavelength, i.e.

$$2\pi r_n=n\lambda_n \quad ......(iii) \quad where\ n=1,2,3\Lambda$$

$$2\pi r_n=n\frac{h}{m_e\mathrm{v_n}} \Rightarrow \boxed{J=m_e\mathrm{v_n}r_n=n\frac{h}{2\pi}=n\eta}$$



(Courtesy to Google website) It representsBohr's condition for the quantization of angular momentum

Example 1: Does, de Broglie hypothesis have any relevance to macroscopic matter?

Solution 1-de Broglie relation can be applied to both microscopic and macroscopic. For example A car (i.e. a macroscopic object) of mass 100 Kg is moving at a speed of 100 m/s then it will have de- Broglie Wavelength $\lambda = \frac{6.63\times10^{-34}}{100\times100} = 6.63\times10^{-30}m$
The automobile is made up of very short wavelengths that match high frequencies. Particle-antiparticle annihilation occurs in waves below a given wavelength or above a certain frequency to produce mass. De Broglie wavelength or wave nature are therefore not apparent in macroscopic materials.

5. **Phase velocity (or wave velocity)** $\vec{v}_p$ **:** The velocity with which a point of constant phase moves is referred to as the phase velocity when a single wave with a fixed wavelength passes through a medium.
The formula for wave propagation along the positive x-axis is:

$$\psi\left(\vec{r}, t\right) = \psi_0 e^{\left(\omega t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}\right)} \quad ....(1.201)$$

where ψ_0 is amplitude of the wave, $\vec{k}$ is wave vector, $\vec{r}$ is position vector and ω is angular frequency of the wave.

The phase of the wave is $\phi = \omega t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}$

When the phase is constant at a point then $\omega t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r} = \phi_0$ (constant)

Or, $\vec{r} = \frac{\omega}{k} t - \phi_0 \quad ....(1.202)$

Thus, phase velocity $\vec{v}_p$ is given by:

$$\vec{v}_p = \frac{d\vec{r}}{dt} = \frac{\omega}{k}\hat{k} \quad ....(1.203) \Rightarrow \boxed{V_p = \frac{\omega}{k}}$$

The term "non-dispersive" (or "dispersive") media" refers to a medium in which a wave's wavelength is higher (or lower) than the distance between two adjacent particles in that medium. It is constant in a non-dispersive medium, meaning that waves of various frequencies and wavelengths move at the same speed. Examples- (i) Electromagnetic waves cannot disperse in empty space. (ii) Sound waves cannot disperse in the air. (iii) Transverse waves generated in a continuous string cannot disperse in it. Not continuous in a non-dispersive medium.

6. **Group Velocity (or Particle Velocity $\vec{v}$) $\vec{v}_g$:** From the relation between particle velocity $\vec{v}$ and de Broglie wave velocity $\vec{u}\left(=\vec{v}_p\right)$ we have:

$$u\left(=v_p\right)=\frac{c^2}{v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_p \rangle c \quad (\text{Since } v \langle c \text{ always})$$

The above term makes it very evident that a material particle cannot be compared to a single wave. Erwin Schrödinger was able to overcome this challenge. He made the assumption that the moving material particle is equivalent to a wave packet rather than a single wave. A collection of waves is known as a wave packet. The wavelength and speed of each wave are marginally different. Each wave's amplitude is selected in such a way that, within a limited area of space where the particle can be localized, they interfere constructively, and outside of this area, they interfere destructively. As a result, the amplitude of the resulting waves rapidly decreases to zero.

A wave packet is a discrete area of constructive interference created by superimposing two or more waves with similar amplitudes but slightly differing angular frequencies. Assume that these waves are traveling along the x-axis while having the same amplitude but slightly varying angular frequencies and wave numbers. Suppose that these two waves are represented mathematically as:

$$y_1 = a\sin(\omega t - kx) \qquad \text{.........}(1.204)$$

$$y_1 = a\sin\{(\omega \pm \Delta\omega)t - (k \pm \Delta k)x\} \qquad \text{.........}(1.205)$$

Applying the principle of superposition we have:

$$y = y_1 + y_2 = a\sin(\omega t - kx) + a\sin\{(\omega \pm \Delta\omega)t - (k \pm \Delta k)x\}$$

$$= 2a\sin\left[\frac{(\omega t - kx) + \{(\omega \pm \Delta\omega)t - (k \pm \Delta k)x\}}{2}\right]\cos\left[\frac{(\omega t - kx) - \{(\omega \pm \Delta\omega)t - (k \pm \Delta k)x\}}{2}\right]$$

$$= 2a\sin\left[\left(\omega + \frac{\Delta\omega}{2}\right)t - \left(k + \frac{\Delta k}{2}\right)x\right]\cos\left[\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}t - \frac{\Delta k}{2}x\right]$$

Since $d\omega$ and dk are very small quantities, then $\omega + \frac{\Delta\omega}{2} \approx \omega$ and $k + \frac{\Delta k}{2} \approx k$. Thus, above equation becomes as:

$$y \approx 2a\sin[\omega t - kx]\cos\left[\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}t - \frac{\Delta k}{2}x\right] = A\sin[\omega t - kx] \qquad \text{.......}(1.206)$$

where $A = 2a\cos\left[\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}t - \frac{\Delta k}{2}x\right]$ is the amplitude of the wave packet. It changes both in space and time by a very slow-moving envelope of frequency $\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}$ and wave number

$\frac{\Delta k}{2}$. It forms a standing wave which can be imagined by combining two identical waves moving in opposite directions. This represents beats. The phase of the wave packet is $[\omega t - k x]$



7. **Courtesy to Google Website:** The observed velocity of the wave group or wave packet is called **group velocity** v_g. It is defined as:

$$v_g = \frac{\Delta \omega/2}{\Delta k/2} = \frac{\Delta \omega}{\Delta k} \quad \text{(For superposition of the two waves to form a wave packet)}$$

- Relation between phase and group velocities- From the formula of phase velocity, we have the angular frequency $\omega = v_p k$.

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = \frac{d\, v_p k}{dk} = v_p + k\frac{d v_p}{dk}$$

$$= v_p + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\frac{d v_p}{d\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)} = v_p + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\frac{d v_p}{\left(\frac{-2\pi}{\lambda^2}\right)d\lambda} \quad ....(1.06C)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{v_g = v_p - \lambda\frac{d v_p}{d\lambda}}$$

For normal dispersive medium $\frac{d v_p}{d\lambda}$ is positive. This shows that $v_g \langle \; v_p$.

For anomalous dispersive medium $\frac{d v_p}{d\lambda}$ is negative. This shows that $v_g \rangle \; v_p$.

For non- dispersive medium $\frac{d v_p}{d\lambda}$ is zero. This shows that $v_g = v_p$.

- (Relation between particle $'v'$, phase $'v_p'$ and group $'v_g'$ velocities- According to de Broglie hypothesis, a moving microscopic particle consists of a group of waves. The total energy $'E'$ and momentum $'p'$ of the particle are given
Case (i) relativistic mechanics: Total energy $'E'$ is given by

$$E = m\,c^2 \text{ or, } h\nu = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}c^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \nu = \frac{m_0}{h\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}c^2 \quad \text{.......}(1.207)$$

Angular frequency ω is given as:

$$\omega = 2\pi\nu = 2\pi\frac{m_0}{h\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}c^2 = \frac{m_0\,c^2}{\eta\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}} \quad \text{.......}(1.208),$$

where $\eta = \frac{h}{2\pi}$

$$\therefore \quad d\,\omega = \frac{m_0\,c^2}{\eta}\frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-2\,v}{c^2}\right)}{\left\{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right\}^{3/2}}d\,v = \frac{m_0\,v\,d\,v}{\eta\left\{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right\}^{3/2}} \quad \text{.......}(1.209)$$

$$p = m\,v = \frac{m_0\,v}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}} \quad \text{.......}(1.210)$$

Wave number k is given as:

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi\,p}{h} = \frac{m_0\,v}{\eta\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}} \quad \text{.......}(1.211)$$

$$\therefore \quad d\,k = \frac{m_0}{\eta}\left[\frac{v\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{-2v}{c^2}\right)}{\left\{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right\}^{3/2}}d\,v + \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}}d\,v\right] = \frac{m_0}{\eta\left\{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right\}^{3/2}}\left[\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + 1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{m_0}{\eta\left\{1-\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right\}^{3/2}}d\,v \quad \text{.......}(1.212)$$

On dividing eq. (1.211) from eq. (1.212), we havephase velocity
.......(1.06*D*)

$$v_g = \frac{d\,\omega}{d\,k} = v$$

$$v_p = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{2\pi\nu}{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}} = \nu\lambda = \frac{m\,c^2}{h}\frac{h}{m\,v(=v_g)} \Rightarrow \boxed{v_p\,v_g = c^2}$$

Case (ii) In Non- relativistic mechanics: Total energy $'E'$ is given by

$$E = h\nu = \frac{1}{2} m\,\mathrm{v}^2 \quad \rightarrow \nu = \frac{m\,\mathrm{v}^2}{2\,h} \qquad ....(1.213)$$

From de Broglie concept, we have:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}(=\mathrm{v_g})} \qquad ....(1.214)$$

The phase velocity is given by:

$$\mathrm{v_p} = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{2\pi\nu}{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}} = \nu\lambda = \frac{m\,\mathrm{v_g}^2}{2\,h}\frac{h}{m\mathrm{v}_g} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mathrm{v_p} = \frac{\mathrm{v}_p}{2}}$$

Ex. 1.201- Calculate the phase velocity given by $E_x = E_0 \cos(\omega t - kz)\ A/m$with a frequency of 5 GHz and a wavelength in the material medium of 3.0 cm is

Sol. 1.201- Given: $v = 5\ GHz = 5\ X10^9 Hz, \lambda = 3.0\ cm\ \&\ c = 3\ X10^8\ m/s$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{p}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}\ (= \mathbf{2}\boldsymbol{\pi v})}{\boldsymbol{k}\ (= \frac{\mathbf{2}\boldsymbol{\pi}}{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})} = \boldsymbol{v}\lambda = 5X10^9\ X\ .03 = \mathbf{1.5\ X10^8} \boldsymbol{m/s = c/2}$$

Ex. 1.202- Estimate the phase velocity of a wave having a group velocity of 6 x 10^6 is

Sol. 1.202- Given: $\mathrm{v}_g = 6\boldsymbol{X}\ \mathbf{10^6}\ m/s$

$$\mathrm{v}_p\mathrm{v}_g = c^2\ or\ \mathrm{v}_p = \frac{c^2}{\mathrm{v}_g} = \frac{(3X\ 10^8)^2}{6X\ 10^6} = \frac{3X\ 10^{10}}{2} = 1.5\ X\ 10^{10} = \mathbf{150}\ \boldsymbol{X}\ \mathbf{10^8}\ \boldsymbol{m/s}$$

Q.1.203 1 MHz plane wave travelling in a dispersive medium has a phase velocity $3 \times 10^8 m/s$. The phase velocity as a function of wavelength is given by$\mathrm{v}_p = K\sqrt{\lambda}$, where K is a constant. Calculate the group velocity.

Sol. 1.203 -Given: f = 1 MHz, $\mathrm{v}_p = 3 \times 10^8\ m/s$&$\mathrm{v}_p = K\sqrt{\lambda}$

$$\mathrm{v}_g = \mathrm{v}_p - \lambda\frac{d\mathrm{v}_p}{d\lambda} = K\sqrt{\lambda} - \lambda\frac{dK\sqrt{\lambda}}{d\lambda} = K\sqrt{\lambda} - \lambda K\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{K\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{v}_p}{2} = \frac{3 \times 10^8}{2} = \mathbf{1.5 \times 10^8}\ \boldsymbol{m/s}$$

8. Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle (or the Principle of Indeterminacy):

Werner Karl Heisenberg [5th December, 1901 – 1st February, 1976]- Werner Karl Heisenberg was a German theoretical physicist and philosopher who **discovered (1925) a way** to formulate quantum mechanics in terms of matrices.In 1927 he published his uncertainty principle. He got **Nobel Prize** in Physics **1932** for this work.**(Curtsey of Google)**

in case of microscopic particlesit is impossible to determine exactly the position $\left(\vec{r}\right)$ and momentum $\left(\vec{p}\right)$ of them simultaneously. Heisenberg's approach was to quantum mechanics as being matrixalgebra.Similarly, some others canonical variables (e.g. energy

(E) and time (t); angular momentum $\left(\vec{J}\right)$ and angular displacement (θ)) cannot be determined simultaneously.Heisenberg's uncertainty relations are: $\Delta p \, \Delta r \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$, $\Delta E_k \, \Delta t \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$ **&** $\Delta J \Delta \theta \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$ where Δ denotes uncertainty

There is an interesting story of Heisenberg, when he was driving a vehicle very fast and suddenly the beaked his at red light, he is stopped by a policeman then his answer is quoted in fellow as:



(Curtsey of Google)

9. Applications of Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle:

- Electrons cannot exists inside a nucleus
- Existence of protons and neutrons inside the nucleus of an atom
- Radius of Bohr's first orbit
- Binding energy of an electron in an atom
- Zero point energy of a harmonic oscillator
- Zero point energy of a particle in one dimensional box
- Finite value for the natural width of a spectral line

10. Wave Function and its Physical Interpretation:

Max Born (11 December 1882 – 5 January 1970) was a German physicist and mathematician who developed quantum mechanics. He won the 1954 Nobel Prize in Physics for his "fundamental research in quantum mechanics, especially in the statistical interpretation of the wave function". **The term "quantum mechanics" is due to Born.** He also made contributions to solid-state physics and optics and supervised the work of a number of notable physicists in the 1920s and 1930s.**(Curtsey of Google)**

The height of the water surface (or level) fluctuates periodically in a water wave. The quantity that changes on a regular basis in a sound wave is the medium's pressure. Similar to this, a variable quantity in a matter wave is referred to as a wave function. Greek letter is used to indicate it. phi 'ψ'. The value of the wave function associated with a moving microscopic particle in particular position (x, y, z) and time $'t'$ is concerned to the finding the probability there. Thus, displacement of a de Broglie wave is a wave function of space and time, i.e. $\psi(x, y, z, t)$. In general, a wave function $\psi(x, y, z, t)$ is a complex quantity (real and imaginary parts). Let ψ is represented as:

$$\psi\left(\vec{r}, t\right) = A + iB = \psi_0 e^{i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r} - \omega t\right)} \quad .....(1.401)$$

where, A and B are real functions; ψ_0 is amplitude of the wave; $\vec{k}$ is wave vector; $\vec{r}\left(= x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}\right)$ is position vector. The complex conjugate of ψ is given as:

$$\psi^*\left(\vec{r}, t\right) = A - iB = \psi_0 e^{-i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r} - \omega t\right)} \quad ......(1.402)$$

$$\therefore \quad \psi\left(\vec{r}, t\right)\psi^*\left(\vec{r}, t\right) = (A + iB)(A - iB) = A^2 + iAB - iAB + B^2 = A^2 + B^2 = (\psi_0)^2$$

It implies that probability is always real and positive quantity.

Although it is hard to pinpoint a minuscule particle's location, it is possible to determine the odds of seeing it in any given location. The quantity $|\psi|^2 \left(= \psi^* \psi\right)$, the square of the absolute value of ψ, shows the intensity of matter wave. **The likelihood of finding the particle in a given unit volume at a given time is represented by the probability density.** Wave function ψ itself is not a measurable quantity but its probability density $|\psi|^2$ is measurable. **Note-**The displacement of any matter wave may be positive, negative or zero at any time but its **probability can never negative**.

The complex nature of the wave function ψ is no concern to us. Here, we are interested only in a single dimension (say x- axis) along the observing direction and for a given time.

Max Born interpretation of wave function ψ - The likelihood that a particle will be discovered in the minuscule interval surrounding the point, represented by $p(x)dx$ is

$$P(x)\,dx = \psi^*(x, t)\,\psi(x, t)\,dx \quad .....(1.403)$$

where $\psi^*(x, t)$ is complex conjugate of $\psi(x, t)$.

The probability that a particle be in a particular space and time must lie between 0 (i.e. the particle is not there) and 1 (i.e. the particle is there). Let us consider an intermediate probability is 0.3, i.e. there is 30% chance of finding the particle in the given space and time. The probability that the particle will be found in a certain region $(x_1 - x_2)$ is the integral of the probability density over the region is given by:

$$\boxed{P_{x_1,\, x_2} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |\psi|^2\, dx}$$

For a microscopic object, if the probability of finding the object over all space is finite then it is somewhere, i.e.

$$\int_{x_1, y_1, z_1 = -\infty_1}^{x_2, y_2, z_2 = \infty_2} |\psi|^2\, dx = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Normalization condition of a wave function}$$

Besides being nonmalleable of the wave function ψ, it must be single valued, since the probability density has only one particular value at a certain place and time and continuous. Every wave function can be normalized by multiplying it by a proper constant.

$\int_{x_1,y_1,z_1=-\infty_1}^{x_2,y_2,z_2=\infty_2} |\psi|^2\, dx = K \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \psi$ is not normalized. It can be normalized if ψ is divided by the square root of the constant K, i.e. $\sqrt{K}$.

$$\int_{x_1,y_1,z_1=-\infty_1}^{x_2,y_2,z_2=\infty_2} |\psi|^2\, dx = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Orthogonalty condition for the wavefunction.}$$

This shows that the particle does not exist there.

- **A wave function must meet the following requirements in order to be considered acceptable across a certain interval:**

(1) ψ Must be continuous and single valued everywhere.

(2) Its partial derivatives i.e. $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}$ *and* $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z}$ must be continuous and single valued everywhere.

(3) ψ Must be nonmalleablei.e. it must has a finite value 1.

(4) ψ Must be a solution of Schrödinger's wave equation.

Physical significance of a wave function $\psi\left(\vec{r},t\right)$- A wave function describes how a particle behaves at a specific place (r) and time (t). Where there is a high likelihood of discovering the particle, the wave function has a big magnitude, and the opposite is also true. As a result, a wave function calculates the likelihood of a particle being in a specific location.

11. Applications of Wave Functions:

- To determine probability of finding a particle in a given space.
- To determine average or expectation value of a physical observable quantity f is given as:

$$< f > = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t}) f_{op}\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau} \quad \cdots\cdots (1.404\ ii) \text{where} d\tau = dx\, dydz$$

In case of normalized wave function $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau = 1$ the denominator of the above expression becomes unity, then

$$< f > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\ f_{op}\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau$$

Examples: (i) Expectation value of position vector $\boldsymbol{r}$**:**

$$< r > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\ \mathrm{r}\ \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau = = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\ (\mathrm{x}\hat{\imath} + \mathrm{y}\hat{\jmath} + \mathrm{z}\hat{k})\ \ \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau$$

(ii) Expectation value of momentum or velocity $\boldsymbol{p}$ **or v:**

$$< p > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t}) \boldsymbol{p}_{op}\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t}) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})(-i\hbar\nabla)\, \psi(\mathrm{r,t}) d\tau$$

$$= -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\imath} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{\jmath} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\hat{k}\right) \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\, d\tau$$

(iii) Expectation value of total energy E:

$$< E > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\ E_{op}\psi(\mathrm{r,t})\ \mathrm{d\tau} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t}) \left(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\mathrm{d\tau}$$

$$= i\hbar\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\ \mathrm{d\tau}$$

(iv) Expectation value of potential V: $< V > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(\mathrm{r,t})\ \mathrm{V(r)}\ \psi(\mathrm{r,t})\ \mathrm{d\tau}$

12. Time-Dependent Schrödinger Wave Equation:

	**Erwin Rudolf Josef Alexander Schrödinger** [12 August 1887 – 4 January 1961, Austrian theoretical Physicist]- Schrödinger, along with Paul Dirac, won the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1933 for his work on quantum mechanics. He is most known for his "Schrödinger's cat or Quantum Cat" thought experiment. He is known as father of wave function and cosmologist.**(Curtsey of Google)**
	**Schrödinger's cat or Quantum Cat-** It is not a reality but a paradox that after consuming the poison by the cat there is certain probability of the live or alive. This concept is used in case of probability of finding a particle: across a barrier, outside the finitely deep potential well etc. which is impossible in real sense. (**Curtsey of Google)**

According to de- Broglie concept a matter wave is associated to a moving particle. The wavelength of the matter wave is given as:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p}\ or, p = \frac{h}{\lambda} = \frac{h}{2\pi}\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} = \hbar k\ \ ......(1.501)$$

Where p is momentum of the particle, h is Planck's constant, $k\left(=\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)$ wave number and $\hbar\left(=\frac{h}{2\pi}\right)$.

The particle's total energy (E) is determined by the Planck-Einstein energy relation, which is as follows:

$E = h\nu = \frac{h}{2\pi}\ 2\pi\nu = \hbar\omega\ \ ......(1.502)$ where $\omega(=2\pi\nu)$ is angular frequency of the wave.

Motion of the particle along positive x-axis is given as:

$$\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \Psi_0 e^{i(\vec{k_x}\,.\,\vec{x} - \omega t)} \ldots\ldots (i)$$

Putting the value of k and ω from equation (1.501) and equation (1502) in equation(i), we get.

$$\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \Psi_0 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{p_x}\,.\,\vec{x} - Et)}......(1.503)$$

where $\psi(\vec{x},t)$ is **wave function** which is a complex and measurable quantity taken in quantum mechanics, ψ_0 is initial amplitude of the wave and i = √-1

On partially differentiating equation (1.0703) w.r.t. 'x', we get.

$$\frac{\partial\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial x} = \psi_0\frac{ip_x}{\hbar}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\vec{p}_x.\vec{x} - Et)} = \frac{ip_x}{\hbar}\psi(\vec{x},t)$$

On multiplying by ‘i’ on both sides in above equation and arrange it, we have.

$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial x} = -p_x\Psi(\vec{x},t) \ldots\ldots(1.504) \Rightarrow$ $\boxed{(p_x)_{op} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}$ Operator form of momentum

On partially differentiating equation (1.0803) w.r.t.‘t’, we get:

$$\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial t} = \Psi_0\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E\right)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\overrightarrow{p_x}\,.\,\vec{x}-Et)} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}E\,\Psi(\vec{x},t)\cdots\cdots(1.505)$$

On multiplying by ‘i’ on both sides in above equation and arrange it, we have:

$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial x} = E\,\Psi(\vec{x},t) \ldots\ldots(1.506) \Rightarrow$ $\boxed{E_{op} = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}$ Operator form of energy

In non-relativistic case total energy of the particle is the sum of kinetic energy (K.E.) plus potential energy (P.E. or U) given as:

$E = K.E. + P.E. = \frac{p^2}{2m} + U\,(x,t)\cdots(ii)$ where m is the particle's mass.

Multiplying on both sides in above equation, we have:

$$E\Psi(\vec{x},t) = \frac{p^2}{2m}\Psi(\vec{x},t) + U\,\Psi(\vec{x},t) \quad \cdots\cdots(1.507)$$

Now, putting the value of E and p in operator form in above equation we have:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi(\vec{x},t)}{\partial x^2} + U(\vec{x},t)\psi(\vec{x},t)$$

It is Schrödinger’s time dependent equation in one dimensional motion of the particle. It can be given in three-dimensional motion of the particle by replacing $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\to\nabla\left(=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{i}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{k}\right)$ and $\vec{x}\to\vec{r}$ then above equation becomes as:

$\boxed{i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi(\vec{r},t) + U(\vec{r},t)\psi(\vec{r},t)}$ **It is 3-D time dependent Schrödinger Wave Equation**

13. Time-Independent Schrödinger Wave Equation: If the potential energy is a function of position only, i.e. $U(r)$, then the time dependent SWE is separable. Thus, a plane monochromatic wave can be written as:

$$\psi\left(\vec{r},t\right) = = \psi_0\,e^{\frac{i}{\eta}\left(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}-Et\right)} = \psi_0\,e^{\frac{i}{\eta}\left(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}\right)}\,e^{\frac{i}{\eta}(-Et)} = R(r)T\,(t) \quad \ldots\ldots \quad (1.508)$$

where, $R(r) = \psi_0\,e^{\frac{i}{\eta}\left(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}\right)}$ and $T\,(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\eta}(-Et)}$

Using eq. (1.508) in3-D time dependent Schrödinger Wave Equation, we get:

$$i\,\eta\frac{\partial R(r)T(t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{-\eta^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + U(r)\right]R(r)T(t)$$

Or, $i\,\eta R(r)\frac{\partial\,T(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m}T(t)\,\nabla^2 R(r) + U(r)R(r)T(t)$

On dividing in above equation by $\psi\left(\vec{r},t\right) = R(r)T\,(t)$, we get:

$$i\eta \frac{R(r)}{R(r)T(t)} \frac{\partial e^{\frac{i}{\eta}(-Et)}}{\partial t} = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m} \frac{T(t)}{R(r)T(t)} \nabla^2 R(r) + U(r)$$

or, $$i\eta \frac{1}{T(t)} \left(\frac{-iE}{\eta} \right) T(t) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m} \frac{1}{R(r)} \nabla^2 R(r) + U(r)$$

$$\boxed{E\,R(r) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m} \nabla^2 R(r) + U(r) R(r) = H\,R(r)}$$

II. APPLICATIONS OF TIME INDEPENDENT

1. **Motion of a Particle in One Dimensional Infinitely Deep Potential Well:** A particle is restricted to one dimensional motion between the barriers of length $'a'$. The height of the potential barriers goes to infinity. The one dimensional region $-\infty \langle x \langle \infty$ can be divided into three parts (I, II and III) (Fig. 1.5 a). To solve this problem we use initial and boundary conditions.

   Initial conditions-$U(x) = \infty$ for x ⟨ 0 and x ⟩ a .....(i)

   $U(x) = 0$ for 0 ⟨ x ⟨ a ....(ii)

   Boundary conditions-$\psi(x) = 0$ $\quad at\ x = 0$ .....(iii)

   $\psi(x) = 0 \qquad at\ x = a \qquad (iv)$

   In regions I and III the **time independent** SWE is given as:

   $$\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{2m}{\eta^2}(E - \infty)\psi(x) = 0 \quad .....(1.501)$$



Figure 5 a- Motion of a Free Electron in Infinitely Deep Potential Well

As $U(x) \to \infty$ at the boundaries of the potential well then $\psi(x) \to 0$.

Therefore, LHS also becomes zero so the above equation is ignored because its both sides become zero.

In region II the time independent SWE is given as:

$$\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2}+\frac{2m}{\eta^2}(E-0)\psi(x)=0$$

$$Let \quad k^2=\frac{2mE}{\eta^2} \quad ......(v)$$

or, $\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2}+k^2\,\psi(x)=0 \quad ......(1.502)$

Here, it is convenient to write the solution of eq. (1.602) as a sum of sines and cosines than as a sum of exponential terms, i.e.

$\psi(x)=A\cos kx+B\sin kx \quad ....(vi)$

On applying boundary condition (eq. iii) in the wave function, we have:

$\psi(0)=A\cos k0+B\sin k0$, or $0=A$

$\therefore \quad \psi(x)=B\sin kx \qquad (1.503)$

On applying boundary condition (eq. iv) in the wave function, we have:

$\psi(a)=B\sin ka$

or, $0=B\sin ka \quad \Rightarrow B\neq 0$ Otherwise wave function will be zero.

$\therefore \quad \sin ka=0=\sin n\pi$

or, $k=\frac{n\pi}{a} \quad .....(1.504)$, where, $n=1,2,3K \quad but\ n\neq 0$

Substituting the value of k from eq. (1.504) in eq. (1.503), we have:

$\psi(x)=B\sin\frac{n\pi}{a}x \quad .....(1.504)$

Substituting the value of k from eq. (2.604) in eq. (v), we have:

$$E=\frac{\left(\frac{n\pi}{a}\right)^2\eta^2}{2m}=\frac{n^2\pi^2\eta^2}{2ma^2} \quad ......(1.505) \quad \boxed{E_n=\frac{n^2\pi^2\eta^2}{2ma^2}}$$



Figure 6: Beigen Functions & Eigen Values in Infinitely Deep Potential Well

To calculate the wave function, we must normalize the wave function, i.e.

$$\int_0^a \psi^*(x)\,\psi(x)\,dx = 1$$

or, $$1 = \int_0^a B^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{a} x\,dx = \frac{B^2}{2}\int_0^a \left(1-\cos\frac{2n\pi}{a}x\right)dx = \frac{B^2}{2}\left[x - \frac{a}{2n\pi}\sin\frac{2n\pi}{a}x\right]_0^a$$

$$= \frac{B^2}{2}\left[(a-0) - \frac{a}{2n\pi}(\sin 0 - \sin 2n\pi)\right] = \frac{B^2}{2}a \text{ or, } \quad B = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \quad .....(1.506)$$

Substituting the value of B from eq. (1.606) in eq. (1.604), we get:

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\sin\frac{n\pi}{a}x \quad ....(1.507) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\sin\frac{n\pi}{a}x}$$

Wave or Eigen function corresponding to n^th energy level is given by:

III. MOTION OF A PARTICLE IN THREE DIMENSIONAL INFINITELY DEEP POTENTIAL WELLS

It is the application of time independent SWE. Here, the wave function must be a function of three spatial coordinates, i.e. $\psi(x, y, z)$ only. Thus, the SWE is given as:

$$E\psi\,(x, y, z) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi\,(x, y, z) + U(r)\psi\,(x, y, z) \;\ldots\ldots(1.508)$$

Here, we assume that a particle can only move in three dimensions between obstacles of length, and along the x, y, and z axes, respectively, or it can move freely inside a box with the dimensions (a, b, and c). We utilize the same method as when we used a one-dimensional infinitely deep potential well to solve this problem (identify wave functions and energy levels). The wave functions must be 0 at the walls and beyond because the box's closed walls are infinite potential barriers. So, with U = 0, we resolve the SWE inside the box. The particle is free inside the box. As a result, the wave functions' x, y, and z dependent portions must be independent of one another. The result of the equation above is:

$$E\psi\,(x, y, z) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\psi\,(x, y, z) \quad \ldots\ldots(1.508\,a)$$

Its solution is given as:

$$\psi\,(x, y, z) = A\sin(k_1\,x)\sin(k_2\,y)\,\sin(k_3\,z) \quad \ldots\ldots(1.509)$$

A is a normalization constant in this case. Boundary conditions are applied in order to ascertain the quantities.

$\psi = 0$ at $x = a$, $y = b$ and $z = c$, we have:

$$k_1 = \frac{n_1\,\pi}{a},\; k_2 = \frac{n_2\,\pi}{b} \text{ and } k_3 = \frac{n_3\,\pi}{c}$$

where n_1, n_2 and n_3 are integers whose values varies 1,2,3 ……

Thus, we have

$$\boxed{\psi\,(x, y, z) = A\sin\left(\frac{n_1\,\pi}{a}x\right)\sin\left(\frac{n_2\,\pi}{b}y\right)\,\sin\left(\frac{n_3\,\pi}{c}z\right) \quad \ldots\ldots(1.510)}$$

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} = Ak_1\cos(k_1\,x)\sin(k_2\,y)\,\sin(k_3\,z)$$

and $$\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} = -A(k_1)^2\sin(k_1\,x)\sin(k_2\,y)\,\sin(k_3\,z) = -(k_1)^2\,\psi \quad \ldots\ldots(1.511a)$$

Similarly we get:

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y^2} = -(k_2)^2\,\psi \quad \ldots\ldots(1.511b)$$

$$E\psi\,(x, y, z) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m}\left[-\left\{(k_1)^2 + (k_2)^2 + (k_3)^2\right\}\right]\psi = \frac{\eta^2}{2m}\left\{\left(\frac{n_1\,\pi}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_2\,\pi}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_3\,\pi}{c}\right)^2\right\}\psi$$

$$\boxed{E_{n_1, n_2, n_3} = \frac{\eta^2\,\pi^2}{2m}\left\{\left(\frac{n_1}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_2}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_3}{c}\right)^2\right\} \quad \ldots\ldots(1.512)}$$

For cubical box $a = b = c$ we have $E_{n_1, n_2, n_3} = \frac{\eta^2 \pi^2}{2ma^2} \left\{ (n_1)^2 + (n_2)^2 + (n_3)^2 \right\}$ and $\psi(x, y, z) = A \sin\left(\frac{n_1 \pi}{a} x \right) \sin\left(\frac{n_2 \pi}{a} y \right) \sin\left(\frac{n_3 \pi}{a} z \right)$.

For ground state $n_1 = 1 = n_2 = n_3$ we have: $E_{1,1,1} = \frac{3\eta^2 \pi^2}{2ma^2}$ and $\psi_{1,1,1}(x, y, z) = A \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} x \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} y \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} z \right)$.

For first excited state $n_1 = 2,\ n_2 = 1\ and\ n_3 = 1$; $n_1 = 1,\ n_2 = 2\ and\ n_3 = 1$ or $n_1 = 1,\ n_2 = 1\ and\ n_3 = 2$ we have $E_{2,1,1} = E_{1,2,1} = E_{1,1,2} = \frac{3\eta^2 \pi^2}{ma^2}$ and

$$\psi_{2,1,1}(x, y, z) = A \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{a} x \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} y \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} z \right)$$

$$\psi_{1,1,1}(x, y, z) = A \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} x \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} y \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} z \right)$$

$$\psi_{1,1,2}(x, y, z) = A \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} x \right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{a} y \right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{a} z \right)$$

As a result, the first excited state, which is a threefold degenerate state, corresponds to three wave functions. When there are several wave functions for a given energy, an energy state or level is said to be degenerate. The symmetry of the cube in this instance is what causes the degeneracy. Degeneracy is caused by specific features of the potential energy function. '$U\left(\vec{r}\right)$' which explain the system. The degeneracy can be eliminated by a perturbation of potential energy. Degeneracy can also be eliminated by adding external magnetic (Zeeman effect) or electric (Stark effect) fields. If the box had three unequal sides, such as a cuboid, the degeneracy would also be eliminated because the three quantum numbers (211, 121, and 112) would produce three distinct energies. Degeneracy can also be found in classical systems, such as planetary motion, where orbits with varying eccentricities may have the same energy.

IV. QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF FINITE POTENTIAL WELL

A potential well with a finite depth is called a finite potential well. An infinite square well potential is analogous to a one-dimensional one, with the exception that in this instance, the potential is allowed to be zero in regions II and to be finite in regions I and III. The following is the time-independent SWE for regions I and III:

$$E\psi(x) = \frac{-\eta^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \psi(x)}{dx^2} + U\,\psi(x)$$

or, $\frac{d^2 \psi(x)}{dx^2} = \frac{2m}{\eta^2} \{U - E\} \psi(x) = \alpha^2 \psi(x) \ldots\ldots(1.513)$ where $\alpha^2 = \frac{2m}{\eta^2} \{U - E\}$ is a constant. It $\left(\alpha^2\right)$ is positive because $U \rangle E$. The solution of eq. (1.513) has exponential forms $e^{\alpha x}$ and $e^{-\alpha x}$. The positive exponential must be rejected in region III where $x \rangle a$ to keep $\psi_{III}(x)$ finite as $x \to \infty$; similarly the negative exponential must be rejected in region I where $x \langle 0$ to keep $\psi_I(x)$ finite as $x \to -\infty$. Thus we have $\psi_I(x) = A e^{\alpha x}$ and $\psi_{III}(x) = B e^{-\alpha x}$. The coefficients A and B are determined by matching these wave functions smoothly onto the wave function in the interior of the well. We require $\psi(x)$ and its first derivative $\frac{d\psi(x)}{dx}$ to be continuous at $x = 0$ and $x = a$. This can be done only for certain value of E which corresponds to allowed energies for the bound particles. The wave functions join smoothly at the boundaries of the potential well. Figure 2.7 b shows the wave functions and probability densities corresponding to three lowest allowed particle energies. The de Broglie wave outside the well is increased when the wave function at the walls is nonzero.

In the region II, time independent SWE is given as:

$$\frac{d^2\psi_{II}(x)}{dx^2} = -\frac{2mE}{\eta^2}\psi_{II}(x) = -k^2\psi_{II}(x) \ldots\ldots(1.514)$$

where $k = \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\eta^2}}$

Instead of sinusoidal solution of solution of eq. (2.702), we write it in term of exponential as:

$$\psi_{II} = C e^{ikx} + D e^{-ikx} \qquad (i)$$

On applying boundary conditions, i.e. $\psi(x) = 0 \quad at\ x = 0\ and\ x = a$ Quantized energy quantities and specific wave functions are obtained. There is a limited chance that the particle will be outside the well. In this case, the wave functions exponentially approach zero outside the well and connect seamlessly at its edge. In quantum mechanics, the particle can exist outside of the well, even though it is not allowed in classical mechanics. owing to the wave functions' exponential decline in both and. The likelihood that the particle will go farther than to drastically reduce.

The distance δ is known as **penetration depth**.

$$\delta \approx \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2m\{U - E\}}} \quad \Rightarrow \delta \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{U-E}}$$

If $U = \infty$ then $\delta = 0$, i.e. the wave function will not come out in case of infinitely deep potential well. For first energy state E_1, $U - E_1$ is very large therefore δ_1 is small. For second energy state E_2, $U - E_2$ is smaller than $U - E_1$ therefore δ_2 is larger than δ_1. The penetration length is directly related to Planck's constant, as the preceding equation makes evident, which undermines the idea of classical physics. Since the particle needs a very high energy uncertainty in order to be in the well, this result is likewise consistent—or favorable—with the uncertainty principle. Heisenberg's uncertainty relation states that this can only happen for extremely brief periods of time. (i.e. $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \eta/2$). The wave function's amplitude has decreased to some distance beyond the well's limits and, in regions I and III, it is approaching zero exponentially.

On either side of the potential well, the outer wave is therefore inescapably zero beyond penetration depth. In case of electrons tunneling through semiconductors and nuclear alpha decay the value of penetration depth is $10/\alpha$ and $20/\alpha$.

Here, the allowed energies are given by the expression of energy by replacing $a \rightarrow a + 2\,\delta$, i.e.

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m(a+2\delta)^2} \qquad n = 1,\ 2,\ 3, \ldots$$

It is clear from eq. (2.703) and eq. (2.704) δ is energy dependent and smaller than length a of the well. When it gets closer to, where becomes infinite, the approximation entirely breaks down and is most effective for lower-lying states. The particles possessing energies are therefore not restricted to the well; rather, they have a similar likelihood of being discovered in the external areas I and III.

V. EIGEN FUNCTIONS AND EIGEN VALUES IN VARIOUS CASES ARE SHOWN IN BELOW FIGURE



(From Quantum Physics of Atom, Molecules, Solids, Nuclei & Particles**Robert Eisberg& Robert Resnick)**

REFERENCES

[1] Quantum Physics of Atom, Molecules, Solids, Nuclei & Particles-by **Robert Eisberg& Robert Resnick**; Wiley
[2] Quantum Physics (Vol. 4) by **Eyvind H Wichman**; McGraw Hill
[3] Modern Physics by **Raymond A. Sevey, Clement Moses and Curl Moyer,**Tomson Brooks/ Cole, Cengage Learning
[4] Modern Physics by**Stephen T. Thornton and Andrew Rex**; Tomson Brooks/ Cole, Cengage Learning