

# BANACH FIXED CONTRACTION MAPPING THEOREM IN VECTOR $S$ -METRIC SPACES

## Abstract

We demonstrate the Banach contraction mapping theorem on vector  $S$ -metric space. We also give an example to explain our results.

**Keywords:** Vector metric space, Vector lattice, Vector  $S$ -metric space.

## Authors

### Pooja Yadav

Department of Mathematics  
Indira Gandhi University  
Meerpur, Rewari, Haryana, India  
poojayadav.math.rs@igu.ac.in

### Mamta Kamra

Department of Mathematics  
Indira Gandhi University  
Meerpur, Rewari, Haryana, India  
mkhaneja15@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

Banach Contraction Principle(BCP) was demonstrated firstly by S. Banach [2] in 1922. It has a vital role in fixed point(FP) theory and became very famous due to iterations. Many researchers are establishing new results in various generalizations of metric spaces.  $S$ -metric space is one of the generalizations in metric spaces. In 2012,  $S$ -metric space was defined by Sedghi et al.[7]. We start with some definitions and results for vector  $S$ -metric spaces(VSMS).

**Definition 1:** [4] On a set  $C$ , a relation  $\leq$  is a partial order if it follows the conditions stated below:

1.  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_1$  (reflexive)
2.  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_2 \leq \Delta_1$  implies  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$  (*anti - symmetry*)
3.  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_2 \leq \Delta_3$  implies  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_3$  (*transitivity*)  
 $\forall \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \in C$ .

The set  $C$  with partial order  $\leq$  is known as partially ordered set (poset).

A partially ordered set  $(C, \leq)$  is called linearly ordered if for  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in C$ , we have either  $\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$  or  $\Delta_2 \leq \Delta_1$ .

**Definition 2:** [4] Let  $C$  be linear space which is real and  $(C, \leq)$  be a poset. Then the poset  $(C, \leq)$  is said to be an ordered linear space if it follows the properties mentioned below:

1.  $\wp_1 \leq \wp_2 \Rightarrow \wp_1 + \wp_3 \leq \wp_2 + \wp_3$
2.  $\wp_1 \leq \wp_2 \Rightarrow \omega \wp_1 \leq \omega \wp_2$

$$\forall \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3 \in C \text{ and } \omega > 0.$$

**Definition 3:** [4] A poset is called lattice if each set with two elements has an infimum and a supremum.

**Definition 4:** [4] An ordered linear space where the ordering is lattice is called vector lattice(VL).

**Definition 5:** [4] A VL  $K$  is called Archimedean if  $\inf\{\frac{1}{m}\Omega\} = 0$  for every  $\Omega \in K^+$  where

$$K^+ = \{\Omega \in K : \Omega \geq 0\}.$$

**Definition 6:** [3] Let  $K$  be VL and  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a nonvoid set. A function  $d: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow K$  is called vector metric on  $\mathfrak{R}$  if it follows the conditions stated below:

1.  $d(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = 0$  iff  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$
2.  $d(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \leq d(\Omega_1, \Omega_3) + d(\Omega_3, \Omega_2) \quad \forall \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3 \in \mathfrak{R}$   
 The triple  $(\mathfrak{R}, d, K)$  is called vector metric space.

Now, vector valued  $S$ -metric space is defined as follows:

**Definition 8:** [10] Let  $K$  be VL and  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a nonvoid set. A function  $S: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow K$  is called vector  $S$ -metric on  $\mathfrak{R}$  that satisfies the conditions mentioned below:

1.  $S(\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3) \geq 0$ ,
2.  $S(\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3) = 0$  iff  $\wp_1 = \wp_2 = \wp_3$ ,
3.  $S(\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3) \leq S(\wp_1, \wp_1, \alpha) + S(\wp_2, \wp_2, \alpha) + S(\wp_3, \wp_3, \alpha)$

for all  $\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3, \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$

The triplet  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  is called vector  $S$ -metric space(VSMS).

**Example 1:** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a nonvoid set and  $K$  be a VL. A function  $S: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow K$  is defined by

$$S(\wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3) = |(\wp_1, \wp_3)| + |(\wp_2, \wp_3)| \quad \forall \wp_1, \wp_2, \wp_3 \in \mathfrak{R}$$

then the triplet  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  is VSMS.

**Definition 9:** A sequence  $\langle \hbar_n \rangle$  in VSMS  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  is called  $K$ -convergent to some  $\hbar \in K$  if there is a sequence  $\langle \mu_n \rangle$  in  $K$  satisfying  $\mu_n \downarrow 0$  and  $S(\hbar_n, \hbar_n, \hbar) \leq \mu_n$  and denote it by  $\hbar_n \xrightarrow{S, K} \hbar$ .

**Definition 10:** A sequence  $\langle \hbar_n \rangle$  in VSMS  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  is known as  $K$ -Cauchy sequence if there is a sequence  $\langle \mu_n \rangle$  in  $K$  satisfying  $\mu_n \downarrow 0$  and  $S(\hbar_n, \hbar_n, \hbar_{n+q}) \leq \mu_n$  holds for all  $q$  and  $n$ .

**Definition 11:** If each  $K$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $K$ -converges to a limit in  $\mathfrak{R}$  then VSMS  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  is called  $K$ -complete .

**Lemma**[8] For VSMS  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$ ,

$$S(\hbar, \hbar, \mu) = S(\mu, \mu, \hbar) \quad \forall \mu, \hbar \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

## II. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1:** Let  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  be a VSMS which is  $K$ -complete and  $K$  be Archimedean. Suppose the transformation  $f: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  satisfies

$$S(f\Omega, f\Omega, f\hbar) \leq qS(\Omega, \Omega, \hbar) \quad \forall \Omega, \hbar \in \mathfrak{R}$$

where  $q \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $f$  has FP in  $\mathfrak{R}$  which is unique and for any  $\wp_0 \in \mathfrak{R}$ , iterative sequence  $\langle \wp_m \rangle$  defined by  $\wp_m = f\wp_{m-1}$ , for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K$ -converges to FP of  $f$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\wp_0 \in \mathfrak{R}$  and  $\langle \wp_m \rangle$  defined by  $\wp_m = f\wp_{m-1}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$S(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp_{m+1}) = S(f\wp_{m-1}, f\wp_{m-1}, f\wp_m) \leq qS(\wp_{m-1}, \wp_{m-1}, \wp_m) \leq \dots \leq q^m S(\wp_0, \wp_0, \wp_1)$$

Thus for  $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp_{m+p}) &\leq 2S(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp_{m+1}) + \\
 &\quad 2S(\wp_{m+1}, \wp_{m+1}, \wp_{m+2}) + \\
 &\quad \dots + S(\wp_{m+p-1}, \wp_{m+p-1}, \wp_{m+p}) \\
 &\leq 2S(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp_{m+1}) \\
 &\quad 2S(\wp_{m+1}, \wp_{m+1}, \wp_{m+2}) + \\
 &\quad \dots + 2S(\wp_{m+p-1}, \wp_{m+p-1}, \wp_{m+p}) \\
 &\leq 2(q^m + q^{m+1} + \dots + q^{m+p-1}) S(\wp_0, \wp_0, \wp_1) \\
 &\leq 2q^{m+p-1}(1 + q + q^2 + \dots) S(\wp_0, \wp_0, \wp_1) \\
 &\leq 2 \frac{q^{m+p-1}}{1 - q} S(\wp_0, \wp_0, \wp_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\langle \wp_m \rangle$  is a  $K$ -Cauchy sequence because  $K$  be Archimedean. Then by  $K$ -completeness of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , there exist  $\wp \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that  $\wp_m \xrightarrow{S,K} \wp$ . So there exist  $\langle b_m \rangle$  in  $K$  such that  $b_m \downarrow 0$  and  $S(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp) \leq b_m$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(f\wp, f\wp, \wp) &\leq 2S(f\wp_m, f\wp_m, f\wp) + S(f\wp_m, f\wp_m, \wp) \\
 &\leq 2qS(\wp_m, \wp_m, \wp) + S(\wp_{m+1}, \wp_{m+1}, \wp) \\
 &\leq 2qb_m + b_{m+1} \\
 &\leq 2(q + 1)b_m,
 \end{aligned}$$

then  $S(f\wp, f\wp, \wp) = 0$ , i.e.  $f\wp = \wp$ .

We can also verify the following theorem as above.

**Theorem 2** Let  $(\mathfrak{R}, S, K)$  be a VSMS which is complete and  $K$  be Archimedean. Suppose the transformation  $f: \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(f\Omega, f\Omega, f\wp) &\leq \{a_1S(\Omega, \Omega, f\Omega) + a_2S(\wp, \wp, f\wp) + a_3S(\Omega, \Omega, f\wp) + \\
 &\quad a_4S(\wp, \wp, f\Omega) + a_5S(\Omega, \Omega, \wp)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\Omega, \wp \in \mathfrak{R}$ , where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  and  $a_5$  are positive and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ . Then  $f$  has FP in  $\mathfrak{R}$  and for any  $\wp_0 \in \mathfrak{R}$ , iterative sequence  $\langle \wp_m \rangle$  defined by  $\wp_m = f\wp_{m-1}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K$ -converges to FP of  $f$ .

**Example 2** Let  $K = \mathbb{R}_+^2$  with coordinatewise ordering and let

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{(0, \wp) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq \wp \leq 1\} \cup \{(\wp, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \leq \wp \leq 1\}.$$

The mapping  $S: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow K$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 S((\Omega, 0), (\Omega, 0), (\wp, 0)) &= \left(\frac{4}{3}|\Omega - \wp|, |\Omega - \wp|\right) \\
 S((0, \Omega), (0, \Omega), (0, \wp)) &= \left(|\Omega - \wp|, \frac{2}{3}|\Omega - \wp|\right) \\
 S((\Omega, 0), (\Omega, 0), (0, \wp)) &= \left(\frac{4}{3}\Omega + \wp, \Omega + \frac{2}{3}\wp\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is VSMS which is complete.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Aliprantis C. D., Border K. C., *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*, Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] Banach S., Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133–181.
- [3] Cevik C., Altun I., *Vector metric spaces and some properties*, *Topol. Met. Nonlin. Anal*; 34(2), 375-382, 2009.
- [4] Kamra M., Kumar S., Sarita K., *Some fixed point theorems for self mappings on vector  $b$ -metric spaces*, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 14(11), 1489-1507, 2018.
- [5] Kim J. K., Sedghi S., Gholidahneh A., Razaee M. M., *Fixed points theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces*, *East Asian Math. J.* 32(5), 677 - 684, 2016 .
- [6] Prudhvi K., *Fixed point theorems in  $S$ - metric spaces*, *Universal Journal of Computational Mathematics* 3(2), 19-21, 2015.
- [7] Sedghi S., Shobe N., Aliouche A., *A generalization of fixed point theorem in  $S$ -metric spaces*, *Mat. Vesnik* 64, 258-266, 2012.
- [8] Shahraki M., Sedghi S., Aleomraninejad S. M. A., Mitrovic Z. D., *Some fixed point results on  $S$ -metric spaces*, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica*, 12(2), 347-357, 2020.
- [9] Yadav P, Kamra M, Rajpal. Common fixed point theorems for self mappings on a complete vector  $S$ -metric space. *Spec Ugdydym.* 2022;43(1):6439-6450.
- [10] Yao J., Yang L., *Common fixed point results in  $S$ -metric spaces*, *Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics*,4(2), 2019.