



*Abstract*

This chapter delves into the intricate intersection of social work and child sponsorship. Unveiling the multifaceted role of social workers as architects of positive change, the narrative navigates through the historical roots of child sponsorship, emphasizing the shift from charitable assistance to holistic, community-centred interventions. Theoretical frameworks, including the ecological systems perspective, strengths-based approach, and trauma-informed practice, provide conceptual foundations for social work interventions.



**Empowering  
Futures:  
Social Work in  
Child Sponsorship  
Programs**



Ethical considerations, from cultural sensitivity to transparency and accountability, guide the ethical practice of social workers, ensuring the dignity, rights, and well-being of sponsored children. Looking to the future, the chapter envisions innovations such as technology integration, evidence-based practices, and an emphasis on community-led development.

**Keywords:** *child sponsorship, social work intervention, theoretical foundations, ethical considerations, future directions*

## **INTRODUCTION**

In the realm of global efforts to address the challenges faced by vulnerable children, Child Sponsorship Programs (CSPs) stand as beacons of hope, offering a pathway toward holistic development and empowerment. At the heart of these initiatives, social workers play a pivotal role in crafting, implementing, and fine-tuning interventions that touch the lives of sponsored children and transform the communities (Joseph & Karalam, 2021).

The genesis of CSPs can be traced back to a collective recognition that addressing the complex needs of disadvantaged children requires more than just material assistance; it demands a comprehensive, community-centred approach. Social workers, with their professional expertise, ethical principles, and dedication to social justice, have emerged as essential architects of these programs, weaving together the intricate threads of theory, practice, and cultural understanding to create impactful interventions (Marykutty et al., 2022).

This chapter embarks on a journey to explore the multifaceted role of social workers in the landscape of CSPs. As we navigate through the historical evolution, theoretical underpinnings, and practical intricacies of these initiatives, we will illuminate how social work professionals contribute to the well-being and transformation of sponsored children and their communities.

Through the lens of the ecological systems perspective, strengths-based approach, and trauma-informed practice, social workers bring theoretical rigour to the design and implementation of interventions in CSPs. Their engagement extends beyond conventional boundaries, encompassing collaboration with diverse stakeholders, assessment of complex needs, and the thoughtful application of culturally sensitive interventions (Joseph & Karalam, 2021).

As we delve into the ethical considerations that underpin social work in the context of child sponsorship, we confront questions of power dynamics, cultural humility, and the enduring commitment to the rights and dignity of the children and communities served. Moreover, we examine the challenges that social workers face in navigating resource constraints, cultural diversity, and the imperative for sustainable impact, while also highlighting the opportunities for innovation and advocacy that can further enrich their practice.

This chapter is not just a reflection on the past and present; it is a call to action for the future. It peers into the horizon of possibilities, considering the integration of technology, the expansion of evidence-based practices, and the unwavering dedication to social justice. Social workers, as architects of positive change, stand ready to shape the future of CSPs, ensuring that every child,

regardless of circumstance, has the opportunity to thrive and fulfil their potential.

## **Historical Context of Child Sponsorship Programs**

CSPs have evolved against the backdrop of a global narrative shaped by a growing awareness of the interconnectedness of human destinies and a shared responsibility to uplift the most vulnerable among us. Although historians trace the presence of CSPs to the 17th century, Save the Children Fund, formed in 1919 after World War II, is primarily recognized for the invention of child sponsorship (CS) as a fundraising tool that raises billions of dollars annually for worldwide projects for marginalized children (Kaell, 2022). In the aftermath of the war, images of orphaned and impoverished children stirred the collective conscience of the international community. Organizations and individuals alike recognized the need for more effective and sustainable interventions to address the multifaceted challenges faced by children in the wake of conflict, poverty, and displacement. It was within this context that the concept of CSPs took root, intending to provide not just immediate relief but also foster long-term development.

Foster parents in the West were able to send money and write to children in war-torn and impoverished nations all over the world through CSPs run by non-profit organizations like the Christian Children's Fund, Foster Parents' Plan, and the Save the Children Federation during the two decades following World War II (Fieldston, 2014). As a humanitarian phenomenon, child sponsorship proved its prodigious capacity in mobilizing funds for non-government organizations (NGOs) globally (Watson & Clarke, 2014). Over the past 70 years, CS has become a staple fundraising tool for international projects for disadvantaged children (Kaell, 2022). Today, CS is the most popular type of direct aid given by families in developed nations to children in developing countries (Wydick et al., 2013). Transferring money from sponsors in developed nations, CS enables the target children to obtain healthcare, nutritious food, and school supplies (Ross et al., 2021).

## **Typology of Child Sponsorship**

Watson (2014) presented a typology of CS, which includes family-based, community-based, institution-based, and right-based sponsorships. A conventional strategy is institutionalized CS, which identifies and supports vulnerable children such as orphans, children with disabilities, and destitute children in an institutional setting. It helps children to attend school, which might occasionally be a church or a secular school, depending on the NGO's underlying ideology and religious perspective. Family-based CS supports

marginalized children in their family environment and empowers them and their families rather than placing them in boarding schools or orphanages. Family-based CS promotes the holistic development of children with and within their families by addressing the effects of poverty on a long-term basis (Watson, 2014). Compassion International is an example of a family-based approach in international CS.

Whereas, World Vision is an example of community development CS. Community-based sponsorship programs focus on the collective well-being of a community rather than individual children. Sponsors contribute to community development projects, such as infrastructure, healthcare facilities, or educational initiatives. Rights-based CS represents a paradigm shift in the approach to child sponsorship programs, placing the principles of human rights at the core of interventions. Unlike traditional models that may focus primarily on charitable assistance, rights-based child sponsorship recognizes and seeks to fulfil the inherent rights of every child. This approach aligns with international frameworks such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and emphasizes the dignity, agency, and participation of children and their communities. Plan International is a right-based CS. Figure 9 (1) shows the typology of international child sponsorship according to Watson (2014).

<b>Institutional Child Sponsorship</b>	<b>Family-based Child Sponsorship</b>	<b>Community-based Child Sponsorship</b>	<b>Right-based Child Sponsorship</b>
Child Development Oriented	Child and family development oriented	Community Development oriented	Social systems and advocacy oriented
Delivers via schools and institutions as partners	Delivers via institutions/local church and local community as partners	Delivers via non/government, community organizations as partners	Delivers via grassroots organisations, and social movements
Promoters individuals child improvement	Promotes holistic development of the child	Promotes sustainable community development	Promotes networking, and systemic change

**Figure 9 (1): Typology of Child Sponsorship**

## **Social Work in Child Sponsorship**

Social workers played a crucial role in shaping the early landscape of child sponsorship programs (Watson et al., 2014). Drawing on their experiences in various fields of practice, social workers continued to advocate for holistic interventions that considered the broader ecological context in which children live and grow. Their expertise in understanding the intricate dynamics of human relationships, community systems, and the impact of trauma on children positioned them as key contributors to the design and implementation of initiatives that sought to address not only the immediate needs of sponsored children but also the underlying systemic issues that perpetuated vulnerability.

### **Theoretical Framework: Guiding Social Work Practice in Child Sponsorship Programs**

Theoretical frameworks provide the conceptual underpinnings that guide social workers in understanding, interpreting, and addressing the complex dynamics inherent in CSPs. These frameworks serve as lenses through which social workers analyze and approach their work, ensuring a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by vulnerable children and their communities. Here, we explore key theoretical perspectives that inform social work practice in the context of CSPs.

#### ***Ecological Systems Perspective***

The ecological systems perspective, developed by Urie Bronfenbrenner, posits that individuals exist within nested systems, ranging from the microsystem (individual and immediate relationships) to the macrosystem (cultural and societal influences). The interactions among these systems shape human development. In CSPs, social workers employ this framework to understand the multifaceted influences on a child's life. By examining the microsystems of family and community, the mesosystem of school and peers, and the macrosystem of cultural values, social workers identify points of intervention that consider the broader ecological context.

#### ***Strengths-Based Approach***

The strengths-based approach focuses on identifying and building upon individuals' and communities' inherent strengths rather than focusing solely on deficits and challenges (Pulla, 2017). It emphasizes empowerment, resilience, and the capacity for positive change. In CSPs, social workers leverage a strengths-based approach to recognize and amplify the existing capacities within communities. This involves collaborating with community members to

identify and harness their strengths, fostering a sense of agency and self-efficacy among sponsored children and their families.

### ***Trauma-Informed Practice***

Trauma-informed practice recognizes the widespread impact of trauma on individuals and emphasizes creating an environment that is sensitive to trauma survivors' needs (Bent-Goodley, 2019). It involves understanding the effects of trauma and integrating trauma-sensitive interventions. Many children in sponsorship programs have experienced various forms of adversity. Social workers, applying trauma-informed principles, approach their work with an awareness of trauma's potential impact. They create safe spaces for expression, employ trauma-sensitive language, and design interventions that support the healing and resilience of sponsored children.

### ***Human Rights Perspective***

The human rights perspective acknowledges the inherent dignity and entitlements of every individual (McPherson et al., 2017). It is grounded in international human rights principles and frameworks, such as the CRC. Social workers in CSPs adopt a human rights perspective to ensure that interventions align with the rights enshrined in international conventions. This involves advocating for the right to education, healthcare, protection, and participation, and actively involving children and communities in decision-making processes.

### ***Systems Theory***

Systems theory posits that entities are interconnected, and changes in one part of a system can have ripple effects throughout the entire system (Andrae, 2011). It emphasizes understanding the relationships and dynamics within a system. In CSPs, social workers utilize systems theory to analyze the interconnected components of the program and its impact on the sponsored children and their families and communities. This perspective helps identify leverage points for positive change and ensures that interventions consider the broader systemic context.

### ***Empowerment Theory***

Empowerment theory centres on the process of enhancing individuals' and communities' abilities to assert control over their lives, make choices, and effect positive change (Perkins & Zimmerman, 1995). It involves fostering a sense of self-determination and agency (Zimmerman et al., 1992). Social workers apply empowerment theory to facilitate the active involvement of

sponsored children and their communities in decision-making processes. By promoting participation, skill-building, and community ownership, social workers contribute to the long-term empowerment of those they serve.

Theoretical frameworks serve as indispensable tools in the social worker's toolkit, offering conceptual guidance and methodological direction. By applying these frameworks in CSPs, social workers can navigate the complexities of their practice, advocate for the rights and well-being of sponsored children, and contribute to transformative change within communities.

## **Social Work Interventions: Design and Implementation**

Social workers, as integral contributors to CSPs, play a crucial role in crafting interventions that are contextually relevant, culturally sensitive, and responsive to the unique needs of sponsored children and their communities. In this section, we delve into the key components of the design and implementation process within the realm of child sponsorship.

### ***Needs Assessment***

The design phase begins with a comprehensive needs assessment, aiming to understand the specific challenges faced by the target community and its children. This involves gathering data on education, healthcare, nutrition, and psychosocial well-being. Social workers employ their skills in qualitative and quantitative research methods to conduct thorough assessments. They engage with community members, local leaders, and, importantly, the children themselves to gain insights into their experiences and aspirations.

### ***Collaborative Design***

Interventions in CSPs are most effective when designed collaboratively. This involves engaging with various stakeholders, including community members, local organizations, and potential sponsors, to ensure that interventions are both culturally appropriate and sustainable. Social workers facilitate community meetings, workshops, and focus groups to gather input from diverse perspectives. They leverage their understanding of community dynamics to foster participation and collaboration, ensuring that the designed interventions align with the community's aspirations and cultural context.

### ***Culturally Sensitive Interventions***

Culturally sensitive interventions are paramount to the success of child sponsorship programs. Designing initiatives that respect and incorporate local cultures fosters acceptance and increases the likelihood of sustainable impact. Social workers, with their cultural competence, work to bridge cultural gaps. They collaborate with community leaders and cultural experts to ensure that interventions are respectful of local traditions, beliefs, and practices.

### ***Tailored Educational Programs***

Education is a cornerstone of child sponsorship. Tailored educational programs aim to address specific barriers to learning, such as access to schools, quality of education, and gender disparities. Social workers collaborate with educators, parents, and community leaders to identify educational challenges. They develop programs that not only provide academic support but also address socio-economic factors that may hinder a child's educational journey.

### ***Psychosocial Support***

The psychosocial well-being of sponsored children is a critical consideration in program design. Addressing trauma, promoting resilience, and fostering positive mental health contribute to the overall development of children. Social workers, often trained in trauma-informed care, design psychosocial support initiatives. They create safe spaces for expression, facilitate support groups, and implement interventions that promote emotional well-being among sponsored children.

### ***Monitoring and Evaluation***

Regular monitoring and evaluation are integral to gauging the impact and effectiveness of interventions. This ongoing process allows for adjustments and improvements based on real-time feedback and evolving community needs. Social workers play a key role in developing monitoring and evaluation frameworks. They utilize their expertise to assess not only the quantitative outcomes but also the qualitative aspects of change, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the program's impact.

In the dynamic landscape of child sponsorship, the design and implementation phase stands as a testament to the collaboration between social workers, communities, and sponsoring organizations. Through their commitment to holistic, culturally sensitive, and empowering interventions,



social workers contribute significantly to the transformative potential of CSPs, ultimately shaping a future where every child can thrive.

### **Ethical Considerations: Balancing Compassion and Responsibility**

CSPs, with their noble aim of improving the lives of vulnerable children, require careful attention to ethical considerations to ensure the well-being, dignity, and rights of the sponsored children and their communities. Social workers, as ethical practitioners, play a central role in navigating the complex terrain of CSPs with a commitment to justice, cultural sensitivity, and the highest standards of professionalism. Here, we explore key ethical considerations in the realm of child sponsorship.

#### ***Cultural Sensitivity and Respect***

Recognizing and respecting the diverse cultural contexts in which CSPs operate is foundational to ethical practice. Cultural sensitivity involves understanding and valuing the traditions, beliefs, and practices of the communities served (Fernández-Borrero et al., 2016). Social workers actively engage in cultural competence, collaborating with community leaders, interpreters, and cultural experts to ensure that interventions are respectful and responsive to local norms. This involves ongoing learning and adaptation to address the evolving cultural dynamics within the community.

#### ***Informed Consent***

Respecting the autonomy and agency of individuals, including children and their families, is essential. Informed consent ensures that participants are fully aware of the nature, purpose, and potential risks and benefits of their involvement in the program. Social workers uphold the principle of informed consent by providing clear and accessible information to participants (Barsky, 2017). This includes explaining the goals of the program, the nature of sponsorship relationships, and any potential implications. In the case of children, efforts are made to obtain age-appropriate assent and involve parents or guardians in decision-making.

#### ***Confidentiality and Privacy***

Protecting the confidentiality and privacy of sponsored children and their families is critical for maintaining trust and ensuring their safety. Information about individuals and communities should be handled with utmost care. Social workers establish and communicate clear guidelines for the collection, storage, and sharing of sensitive information (Viscarret et al., 2020). This involves

securing consent for the use of photographs, stories, or any identifiable data, and implementing robust data protection measures to safeguard the privacy of those involved.

### ***Empowerment and Agency***

Upholding the principles of empowerment involves recognizing the agency of sponsored children and their communities. Ethical practice requires creating opportunities for active participation, decision-making, and the expression of their views. Social workers employ participatory methods, engage in community consultations, and involve children in age-appropriate ways in decisions that affect them. This empowers individuals and communities to shape the direction of the program and ensures that interventions are aligned with their aspirations.

### ***Avoiding Dependency***

Ethical practice in child sponsorship programs involves promoting self-sufficiency and avoiding a perpetuation of dependency. Interventions should aim to build capacities and empower communities rather than creating reliance on external assistance. Social workers collaborate with communities to identify and build upon existing strengths and resources. This involves fostering a sense of ownership and sustainability in the community, ensuring that sponsored children and their families are active participants in their development.

### ***Transparency and Accountability***

Transparency is essential for maintaining trust among stakeholders, including sponsors, communities, and social workers. Accountability ensures that program activities align with stated goals and that resources are utilized responsibly. Social workers actively communicate with all stakeholders, providing regular updates on program activities, financial stewardship, and the impact achieved. This transparency builds trust and accountability, demonstrating a commitment to ethical and responsible practice.

### ***Avoiding Exploitation***

Ethical practice prohibits the exploitation of vulnerable populations, including sponsored children. Exploitative practices, such as sensationalizing poverty for fundraising purposes, compromise the dignity and well-being of the individuals involved. Social workers advocate against exploitative practices and ensure that representations of sponsored children and their communities are accurate and respectful. They work to promote a nuanced understanding of the

challenges faced by these communities without perpetuating stereotypes or contributing to further stigmatization.

Navigating the ethical landscape of CSPs requires a nuanced understanding of the unique challenges faced by vulnerable populations. Social workers, as ethical stewards, embody the principles of justice, cultural humility, and respect for human rights to ensure that the noble intentions of child sponsorship translate into meaningful, dignified, and sustainable impact.

### **Future Directions: Innovations and Challenges in Child Sponsorship Programs**

As CSPs continue to evolve in response to changing global dynamics, social workers and program administrators must chart a course for the future that embraces innovation, sustainability, and a commitment to addressing emerging challenges. The following exploration delves into the future directions of CSPs, highlighting potential innovations and addressing persistent challenges.

#### ***Integration of Technology***

The integration of technology offers new possibilities for enhancing communication, monitoring, and evaluation within CSPs. Digital platforms can facilitate real-time updates, virtual connections between sponsors and children, and streamlined data collection for program assessment. However, the digital divide remains a challenge, particularly in marginalized communities with limited access to technology. Future directions should prioritize strategies to bridge this gap, ensuring that technological advancements benefit all sponsored children and communities equitably.

#### ***Evidence-Based Practices***

The future of CSPs involves a continued shift toward evidence-based practices. Rigorous research and evaluation methodologies can provide a robust foundation for understanding what works and why, informing the design of effective interventions. Nevertheless, implementing rigorous research in diverse cultural contexts poses challenges, including the need for culturally sensitive assessment tools and methodologies. Future directions must prioritize the development of research practices that capture the complexity of program impact in diverse settings.

### ***Community-Led Development***

A future-oriented approach emphasizes community-led development, empowering local communities to identify priorities, design interventions, and take ownership of program outcomes. This involves strengthening local capacities and fostering sustainable initiatives. However, balancing external support with community-led initiatives requires careful navigation to avoid paternalism or imposition of external agendas. Future directions should prioritize strategies for genuine collaboration, ensuring that programs align with the aspirations and strengths of the communities they serve.

### ***Environmental Sustainability***

Future CSPs can incorporate environmental sustainability initiatives, addressing the impact of climate change and environmental degradation on vulnerable communities. This involves promoting eco-friendly practices, supporting sustainable agriculture, and addressing environmental justice issues. Environmental considerations require a holistic understanding of the interconnectedness between environmental factors and human well-being. Future directions should involve collaboration with environmental experts and organizations to integrate sustainability into program design and implementation.

### ***Advocacy for Policy Change***

CSPs can extend their impact by engaging in advocacy for policy change at local, national, and international levels. This involves addressing systemic issues that impact child well-being, such as education policies, healthcare access, and social protection programs. Advocacy requires navigating complex political landscapes and addressing power differentials. Therefore, future directions should emphasize capacity-building for advocacy within communities, enabling them to voice their needs and contribute to policy discussions effectively.

### ***Trauma-Informed Care and Mental Health Focus***

Recognizing the lasting impact of trauma on vulnerable populations, CSP can place a heightened focus on trauma-informed care and mental health support. This involves integrating mental health services, building resilience, and addressing the psychosocial well-being of sponsored children. Stigma and limited access to mental health services are challenges in many communities. Therefore, future directions should involve destigmatizing mental health,

training local professionals, and incorporating community-driven approaches to promote mental well-being.

### ***Global Collaboration and Partnerships***

The future of CSPs involves strengthening global collaboration and partnerships. This includes fostering alliances with governments, non-governmental organizations, corporations, and academic institutions to pool resources, share best practices, and amplify impact. Building effective partnerships requires navigating diverse organizational cultures, priorities, and power dynamics. Therefore, future directions should prioritize the development of sustainable and equitable partnerships that prioritize the well-being of sponsored children and their communities.

As CSPs advance, social workers and program leaders must embrace these future directions with a commitment to ethical practice, cultural humility, and a steadfast focus on creating positive, sustainable change. By navigating innovations and addressing challenges collaboratively, the future of child sponsorship holds the promise of empowering vulnerable children and fostering resilient, thriving communities worldwide.

## **CONCLUSION**

In the exploration of social work within the realm of child sponsorship, this chapter has traversed the historical landscape, theoretical foundations, interventions, ethical considerations, and future directions that encapsulate the multifaceted nature of this transformative endeavour. CSPs, with their roots in compassion and a commitment to positive change, stand as beacons of hope for vulnerable children and their communities. The historical context has illuminated the evolution of CSPs, highlighting the shift from charitable assistance to holistic, community-centred interventions. Social workers, as architects of change, have played instrumental roles in shaping these programs to address not only immediate needs but also the underlying systemic challenges that perpetuate vulnerability.

Theoretical frameworks, including the ecological systems perspective, strengths-based approach, and trauma-informed practice, have provided a conceptual lens through which social workers navigate the issues of sponsored children. These frameworks underscore the importance of understanding the interconnected web of relationships, building on strengths, and recognizing the impact of trauma on the lives of sponsored children. Ethical considerations have been woven into the fabric of this exploration, emphasizing the principles of cultural sensitivity, informed consent, empowerment, and accountability. Social

workers, as ethical stewards, navigate the delicate balance between compassion and responsibility, ensuring that the dignity, rights, and well-being of sponsored children remain paramount.

Looking to the future, CSPs hold the potential for innovation, collaboration, and sustained impact. The integration of technology, evidence-based practices, and a heightened focus on community-led development signal a trajectory toward more effective, responsive, and culturally competent interventions. Advocacy for policy change, environmental sustainability, and a commitment to mental health underscore the program's adaptability to the evolving needs of communities.

As we conclude this chapter, we recognize that the journey of child sponsorship is not a solitary pursuit but a collective endeavour that transcends borders and cultures. Social workers, sponsors, communities, and partnering organizations join hands in a shared commitment to fostering positive, sustainable change. The future beckons with both promise and challenges, and the success of CSPs lies in the ability to navigate this path collaboratively, ethically, and with an unwavering dedication to the well-being of every sponsored child.

## References

- Andreae, D. (2011). General systems theory: Contributions to social work theory and practice. In Turner, F. J. (Ed.). *Social work treatment: Interlocking theoretical approaches*, 5, 242-254. Oxford University Press.
- Barsky, A. E. (2017). Social Work Practice and Technology: Ethical Issues and Policy Responses. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*, 35(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228835.2017.1277906>
- Bent-Goodley, T. B. (2019). The Necessity of Trauma-Informed Practice in Contemporary Social Work. *Social Work*, 64(1), 5–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/swy056>
- Fernández-Borrero, M. A., Vázquez-Aguado, O., & Álvarez-Pérez, P. (2016). The Influence of Cultural Sensitivity in Social Work Practice with Immigrants. *British Journal of Social Work*, 46(2), 444–462. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcu113>
- Fieldston, S. (2014). Little Cold Warriors: Child Sponsorship and International Affairs. *Diplomatic History*, 38(2), 240–250. <https://doi.org/10.1093/dh/dhu008>
- Joseph, S., & Karalam, Dr. S. R. B. (2021). Social groupwork for promoting psychological well-being of adolescents enrolled in sponsorship programs. *F1000Research*, 10, 520. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52532.1>
- Kaell, H. (2022). The long history of child sponsorship, c.1700–1950. *Historical Research*, 95(267), 45-61. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hisres/htab031>
- Marykutty, E. P., Hemalatha, K., & Mathew, J. (2022). Child sponsorship programme for the development of marginalised children. *Rajagiri Journal Of Social Development*, 14(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2583-7087.2022.00001.3>
- McPherson, J., Siebert, C. F., & Siebert, D. C. (2017). Measuring Rights-Based Perspectives: A Validation of the Human Rights Lens in Social Work Scale. *Journal of the Society for Social Work and Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1086/692017>

- Perkins, D. D., & Zimmerman, M. A. (1995). Empowerment theory, research, and application. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 23(5), 569–579. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02506982>
- Pulla, Venkat. (2017). Strengths-based approach in social work: A distinct ethical advantage. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*. 3(2), Strengths-based approach in social work: A distinct ethical advantage : Research Bank (acu.edu.au)
- Ross, P. H., Glewwe, P., Prudencio, D., & Wydick, B. (2021). Developing educational and vocational aspirations through international child sponsorship: Evidence from Kenya, Indonesia, and Mexico. *World Development*, 140, 105336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105336>
- Viscarret, J.-J., Idareta, F., Ballester, A., & Úriz, M.-J. (2020). Ethical Dilemmas and Areas of Social Work Intervention in Spain. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 46(1), 55–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01488376.2018.1524813>
- Watson, B. (2014). A Typology of Child Sponsorship Activity. In Watson, B., & Clarke, M. (Eds.) *Child Sponsorship: Exploring pathways to a brighter future* (pp. 41-65). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Watson, B., & Clarke, M. (2014). Introduction to key issues in child sponsorship. In *Child Sponsorship: Exploring pathways to a brighter future* (pp. 1-17). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Watson, B., Lockton, H., & Pawar, M. (2014). Issues in Historic Child Sponsorship. In Watson, B., Clarke, M. (eds) *Child Sponsorship* (pp. 66–95). Palgrave Macmillan UK. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137309600\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137309600_4)
- Wydick, B., Glewwe, P., & Rutledge, L. (2013). Does international child sponsorship work? A six-country study of impacts on adult life outcomes. *Journal of Political Economy*, 121(2), 393-436. <https://doi.org/10.1086/670138>
- Zimmerman, M. A., Israel, B. A., Schulz, A., & Checkoway, B. (1992). Further explorations in empowerment theory: An empirical analysis of psychological empowerment. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 20(6), 707–727. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01312604>