

# QUADRIPARTITIONED SINGLE VALUED NEUTROSOPHIC REFINED VOLTERRA SPACES

## Abstract

The focus of this paper is to present the concepts of quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined volterra spaces and to investigate their characteristics. The ideas discussed in this paper are illustrated using a few examples.

**Keywords:** Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined nowhere dense, Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined  $G_\delta$  -set, Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined  $F_\sigma$  -set, Quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined volterra spaces.

## Authors

**Arokia Pratheesha S. V**

Research Scholar

Nirmala College for Women

Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

pratheesha555@gmail.com

**Mohana. K**

Assistant Professor

Nirmala College for Women

Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

riyaraju1116@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

L.A. Zadeh [19] was the first to explain fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations. Fuzzy topological spaces were first introduced and developed by Chang [5]. The earliest publication of the "Intuitionistic fuzzy set" notion was made by Atanassov [1]. Fuzzy sets and neutrosophic sets, an expansion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, were first described by Smarandache [12]. Neutrosophic set theory addresses the problem of uncertainty. As an extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets, and the classical set, Wang [17] proposed single-valued neutrosophic sets. Four membership functions make up Chatterjee's quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic sets: truth, contradiction, unknown, and falsity. Deli et al.'s [6] development of intuitionistic fuzzy multisets and fuzzy multisets was the introduction of neutrosophic refined sets. The concept of Volterra spaces has been thoroughly studied in classical topology [4,7,8,9]. Thangaraj and Soundararajan [15] introduce and research the idea of fuzzy Volterra space. By Soundararajan, Rizwan, and Syed Tahir Hussainy [14], the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy Volterra space was first suggested and researched. This paper is arranged as follows: quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined nowhere dense set, quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined volterra space and its characteristics is present and some results are made about the functions that preserve this context of images and preimages.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1** [2] A QSVNRS  $q$  on  $\Lambda$  can be defined by

$$q = \{ \langle \kappa, T_q^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa), F_q^J(\kappa) \rangle : \kappa \in \Lambda \}$$

where  $T_q^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa), F_q^J(\kappa) : \Lambda \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $0 \leq T_q^J + D_q^J + Y_q^J + F_q^J \leq 4$  ( $J=1,2,\dots,P$ ) and for every  $\kappa \in \Lambda$ .  $T_q^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa)$  and  $F_q^J(\kappa)$  are the truth membership sequence, a contradiction membership sequence, an unknown membership sequence and falsity membership sequence of the element  $x$  respectively.  $P$  is also referred to as the QSVNRS( $q$ ) dimension.

**Definition 2.2** [2] Let  $q, \zeta \in \text{QSVNRS}(\Lambda)$  having the form

$$q = \{ \langle \kappa, T_q^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa), F_q^J(\kappa) \rangle : \kappa \in \Lambda \} \quad (J=1,2,\dots,P)$$

$$\zeta = \{ \langle \kappa, T_\zeta^J(\kappa), D_\zeta^J(\kappa), Y_\zeta^J(\kappa), F_\zeta^J(\kappa) \rangle : \kappa \in \Lambda \} \quad (J=1,2,\dots,P). \text{ Then}$$

- $q \subseteq \zeta$  if  $T_q^J(\kappa) \leq T_\zeta^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa) \leq D_\zeta^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa) \leq Y_\zeta^J(\kappa)$  and  $F_q^J(\kappa) \leq F_\zeta^J(\kappa)$  ( $J=1,2,\dots,P$ )
- $q^c = \{ \langle \kappa, F_q^J(\kappa), Y_q^J(\kappa), D_q^J(\kappa), T_q^J(\kappa) \rangle : \kappa \in \Lambda \} \quad (J=1,2,\dots,P)$
- $q \tilde{\cup} \zeta = \omega_1$  and is defined by  
 $T_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \max \{ T_q^J(\kappa), T_\zeta^J(\kappa) \}, D_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \max \{ D_q^J(\kappa), D_\zeta^J(\kappa) \}, Y_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \min \{ Y_q^J(\kappa), Y_\zeta^J(\kappa) \},$   
 $F_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \min \{ F_q^J(\kappa), F_\zeta^J(\kappa) \}$  for all  $\kappa \in \Lambda$  and  $J=1,2,\dots,P$ .

- $q \tilde{\cap} \zeta = \omega_1$  and is defined by  

$$T_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \min\{T_q^J(\kappa), T_\zeta^J(\kappa)\}, D_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \min\{D_q^J(\kappa), D_\zeta^J(\kappa)\}, Y_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \max\{Y_q^J(\kappa), Y_\zeta^J(\kappa)\},$$

$$F_{\omega_1}^J(\kappa) = \max\{F_q^J(\kappa), F_\zeta^J(\kappa)\} \text{ for all } \kappa \in \Lambda \text{ and } J=1,2,\dots,P.$$

**Definition 2.3** [2] A QSVNRTS on  $\Lambda^*$  in a family  $\mathfrak{T}$  of QSVNRS in  $\Lambda^*$  which satisfy the following axioms.

- $\tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}, \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR} \in \mathfrak{T}$ .
- $H_1 \tilde{\cap} H_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$  for any  $H_1, H_2 \in \mathfrak{T}$ .
- $\tilde{\cup} H_i \in \mathfrak{T}$  for every  $\{H_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$ .

Here the pair  $(\Lambda^*, \mathfrak{T})$  is called a QSVNRTS and any QSVNRS in  $\mathfrak{T}$  is said to be quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined open set (QNROS) in  $\Lambda^*$ . The complement of  $q^c$  of a QNROS  $q$  in a QSVNRTS  $(\Lambda^*, \mathfrak{T})$  is known as quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined closed set (QNRCS) in  $\Lambda^*$ .

### III. QUADRIPARTITIONED SINGLE VALUED NEUTROSOPHIC REFINED VOLTERRA SPACES

**Definition 3.1** A QSVNRS  $\mathfrak{U}$  in a QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR dense if there exists no QNRCS  $\mathfrak{V}$  in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  such that  $\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\supseteq} \mathfrak{V} \tilde{\subseteq} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ .

**Definition 3.2** A QSVNRS  $\mathfrak{U}$  in a QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR nowhere dense set if there exists no QNROS  $\mathfrak{L}$  in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  such that  $\mathfrak{L} \subseteq \text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U})$ . That is  $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U})) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ .

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a QSVNRS. If  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  with  $\text{QNRint}(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , then  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ . Then  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U}) = \mathfrak{U}$ . Now  $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U})) = \text{QNRint}(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense  $\mathfrak{U}$  in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ .

**Proposition 3.4** Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ , then  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$  iff  $\text{QNRint}(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ , with  $\text{QNRint}(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ . Then by Proposition 3.3,  $\mathfrak{U}$  be QSVNR nowhere dense set  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ . Conversely, Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ , we have  $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U})) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$  implies that  $\text{QNRint}(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QNRCS,  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{U}) = \mathfrak{U}$ .

**Proposition 3.5** If  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a QSVNR dense and QNROS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ , then  $\mathfrak{U}^c$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{Y}})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  is a QNROS in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ , we have  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ . Now  $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}^c)) = (\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRint}(\mathcal{U}))^c = (\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}))^c = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}^c$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .

**Proposition 3.6** *If  $\mathcal{U}$  be a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ , then  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U})$  is also a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{B}$ . Now  $\text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathfrak{B})) = \text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}))) = \text{QNRint}(\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U})) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{B} = \text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U})$  is a QSVNR nowhere dense set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .

**Definition 3.7** *A QSVNRS  $\mathcal{U}$  in QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  if  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_j$  where  $\mathcal{U}_j$  are QNROS in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .*

**Definition 3.8** *A QSVNRS  $\mathcal{U}$  in a QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is known as QSVNR  $F_\sigma$ -set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  if  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_j$  where  $\mathcal{U}_j$  are QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .*

**Definition 3.9** *A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR first category set if  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_j$  where  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR nowhere dense sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Otherwise  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is known as QSVNR second category.*

**Definition 3.10** *A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is known as Baire space if  $\text{QNRint}(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR nowhere dense sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .*

**Definition 3.11** *A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is known as QSVNR volterra space if  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^N \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ , Here  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .*

**Example 3.12** *Let  $Q^{\mathbb{X}} = \{e, f\}$ . Define the QSVNRS  $\check{\mathcal{U}}, \check{\mathfrak{B}}, \check{\mathcal{U}} \cup \check{\mathfrak{B}}$  and  $\check{\mathcal{U}} \cap \check{\mathfrak{B}}$  as follows*

$$\check{\mathcal{U}} = \{ \langle e, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.8, 0.5, 0.6, 0.3\}, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.1\}, \{0.3, 0.5, 0.2, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5\} \rangle \}$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{B}} = \{ \langle e, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.7, 0.9, 0.4, 0.5\}, \{0.6, 0.4, 0.3, 0.5\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.3\}, \{0.1, 0.6, 0.3, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.1, 0.2, 0.6\} \rangle \}$$

$$\check{\mathcal{U}} \cup \check{\mathfrak{B}} = \{ \langle e, \{0.5, 0.5, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.8, 0.9, 0.4, 0.3\}, \{0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.4\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.2, 0.1\}, \{0.3, 0.6, 0.2, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5\} \rangle \}$$

$$\check{\mathcal{U}} \cap \check{\mathfrak{B}} = \{ \langle e, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.7, 0.5, 0.6, 0.5\}, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.3, 0.5\} \rangle, \langle f, \{0.2, 0.4, 0.3, 0.3\}, \{0.1, 0.5, 0.3, 0.4\}, \{0.4, 0.1, 0.3, 0.6\} \rangle \}$$

Then  $Q^{\mathfrak{X}} = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}, \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}, \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B}\}$  is a QSVNRT on  $Q^{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Thus  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a QSVNRTS.

Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \{\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B} \tilde{\cap} (\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B})\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B} \tilde{\cap} (\mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B})\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P} = \{\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B} \tilde{\cap} (\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B}) \tilde{\cap} (\mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B})\}$ ,

where  $\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{P}$  are QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  and  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{N}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ ,  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{D}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ ,  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{P}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ . Then  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{N} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{D} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{P}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

**Example 3.13** Let  $Q^{\mathfrak{X}} = \{e, f\}$ . Define the QSVNRS  $\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B}$  as follows

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{ \langle e, \{0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.6\}, \{0.2, 0.7, 0.4, 0.3\}, \{0.4, 0.5, 0.3, 0.6\} \rangle,$$

$$\langle f, \{0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.7\}, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.3, 0.6\}, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6\} \rangle \}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{ \langle e, \{0.7, 0.3, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.8, 0.3, 0.9, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.2, 0.6, 0.1\} \rangle,$$

$$\langle f, \{0.7, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6\}, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.7, 0.2\}, \{0.2, 0.7, 0.7, 0.2\} \rangle \}$$

$$\mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B} = \{ \langle e, \{0.7, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2\}, \{0.8, 0.7, 0.4, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.5, 0.3, 0.1\} \rangle,$$

$$\langle f, \{0.7, 0.5, 0.4, 0.6\}, \{0.5, 0.6, 0.3, 0.2\}, \{0.5, 0.7, 0.4, 0.2\} \rangle \}$$

$$\mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B} = \{ \langle e, \{0.5, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6\}, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.9, 0.3\}, \{0.4, 0.2, 0.6, 0.6\} \rangle,$$

$$\langle f, \{0.6, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7\}, \{0.4, 0.3, 0.7, 0.6\}, \{0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.6\} \rangle \}$$

Then  $Q^{\mathfrak{X}} = \{\tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}, \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}, \mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{U} \cup \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{U} \tilde{\cap} \mathfrak{B}\}$  is a QSVNRT on  $Q^{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Thus  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a QSVNRTS. But there is no QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ . Hence  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is not a QSVNR volterra space.

□1

**Proposition 3.14** If  $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcap_i^N \mathfrak{U}_j$ , where  $\mathfrak{U}_j$  are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in QSVNR volterra space  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ , then  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not a QNRCS.

□1

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{U} = \bigcap_i^N \mathfrak{U}_j$ , where  $\mathfrak{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$  sets in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ . Since  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space, we have  $QNRcl(\bigcap_i^N \mathfrak{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ . (i.e.,)  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{U}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$  which implies that  $QNRcl(\mathfrak{U}) \neq \mathfrak{U}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not a QNRCS in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ .

**Proposition 3.15** A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space, iff  $QNRint(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathfrak{U}_j^c) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , where  $\mathfrak{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$  be a QSVNR volterra space and  $\mathfrak{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(Q^{\mathfrak{X}}, Q^{\mathfrak{X}})$ . Then we have  $QNRcl(\bigcap_i^N \mathfrak{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{QNR}$ . Now  $QNRint(\bigcup_j^N \mathfrak{U}_j^c)^c = (QNRcl(\bigcap_i^N \mathfrak{U}_j))^c = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ .

Conversely let  $\text{QNRint}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Then  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , this implies that  $(\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j))^c = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ . Therefore  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

**Proposition 3.16** Let  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  be a QSVNRTS. If  $\text{QNRint}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR nowhere dense and QSVNR  $F_\sigma$  sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ , then  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

*Proof.* Let  $\text{QNRint}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\Phi}_{QNR}$ , which implies  $(\text{QNRint}(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j))^c = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$  (i.e.,)  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ .  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's are QSVNR nowhere dense and QSVNR  $F_\sigma$  sets implies that  $\mathcal{U}_j^c$  are QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_\delta$  sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Therefore  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

**Definition 3.17** A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is known as QSVNR p-space if countable intersection of QNROS in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  in QSVNR open in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ .

**Definition 3.18** A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR hyperconnected space if every QNROS  $\mathcal{U}$  is QSVNR dense set in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  (i.e.,)  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ , for all  $\mathcal{U} \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$ .

**Proposition 3.19** If the QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  be QSVNR p-space and QSVNR hyperconnected space then  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is also a QSVNR volterra space.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's ( $j = 1$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ ) is QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Since  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is QSVNR p-space,  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's is QSVNR  $G_\delta$  sets, this implies that  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's is QNROS in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Then  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$ . Since  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR hyperconnected space  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$  implies that  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

**Definition 3.20** A QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is said to be QSVNR submaximal space if for each QSVNRS  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  such that  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$ .

**Proposition 3.21** If the QSVNRTS  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  be QSVNR submaximal and QSVNR hyperconnected space then  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}_j$ 's ( $j = 1$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ ) is QSVNR dense and QSVNR  $G_\delta$  sets in  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$ . Since  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR submaximal space  $\text{QNRcl}(\mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ , implies that  $\mathcal{U}_j \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$  for all ( $j = 1$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ ) this implies that  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$ . Since  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR hyperconnected space,  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j \in Q^{\mathbb{Y}}$  implies that  $\text{QNRcl}(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_j) = \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{QNR}$ . Hence  $(Q^{\mathbb{X}}, Q^{\mathbb{Y}})$  is a QSVNR volterra space.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Characterizations of these spaces is presented outcomes of functions that preserve quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined volterra space in the context of images and The concepts of quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic refined volterra space as well as chpreimages are obtained.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Atanasov K.T, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems.20 (1986),87-96. Arockiarani and Sharmila S, Functions and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Volterra Spaces, Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 153–159, 2017.
- [2] Arokia pratheesha S.V, Mohana.K,” Quadripartitioned Single Valued Neutrosophic Refined Sets and Its Topological Spaces,”International journal of creative research thoughts(IJCRT),Vol 10, Issue 3 (Mar 2022), pp-d937-d948.
- [3] J. Cao and D. Gauld, Volterra Spaces Revisited, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 79 (2005), 61 - 76.
- [4] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 24(1968), 182
- [5] I.Deli and S.Broumi,Neutrosophic multisets and its application in medical diagnosis(20).
- [6] D. Gauld, S. Greenwood and Z. Piotrowski, On Volterra Spaces-II, Papers on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 806, (1996), 169-173.
- [7] D. Gauld and Z. Piotrowski, On Volterra Spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci., 1 (1993), No.2.,209 – 214
- [8] G. Gruenhagen and D. Lutzer, Baire and Volterra Spaces, Proc. Amer. Soc., Vo. 128 16 (2000), 3115 - 3124.
- [9] Mohanasundari M,Mohana K,Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Mappings with its relation and Quadripartitioned Neutrosophic Topology,International Journal of Mathematics and its applications,9,1,(2021),83-93.
- [10] Rajashi chatterjee,P.Majumdar and S>K.Samanta, On some similarity measures and entropy on quadripartitioned single valued neutrosophic sets,Journal of Intelligent Fuzzy systems 30(2016) 2475-2485.
- [11] F.Smarandache, A unifying Field in Logics.Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability,Set and Logic,Rehoboth:American Research press (1998).
- [12] F.Smarandache,n-valued Refined Neutrosophic Logic and its Applications in Physics,Progress in Physics,143-146,Vol.4,2013.
- [13] S. Soundararajan, U. Rizwan and Syed Tahir Hussainy, On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Volterra Spaces, International Journal of Sciences and Humanities, Vol.1No.2 (2015), 727 – 738.
- [14] G. Thangaraj and S. Soundararajan, On Fuzzy Volterra Spaces, J. Fuzzy Math., 21(2013), No. 4, 895-904.
- [15] G. Thangaraj and S. Soundararajan, Fuzzy Volterra Spaces and Functions, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, Volume 3, Number 3 (2013), pp. 233-241.
- [16] Wang H, Smarandache F, Zhang YQ, Sunderraman R, Single valued neutrosophicsets, Multispace Multistruct4.,(2010),410-413.
- [17] S. Ye, J. Ye, Dice similarity measure between single valued neutrosophic multisets and its application in medical diagnosis, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems 6 (2014) 48–52
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control,8(1965) 338-353.