TEXT AND DISCOURSE

I. INTRODUCTION:

Text and discourse are essential for communication, learning, and understanding the world around us. They are the means by which we share information, ideas, and experiences. Texts can be written, spoken, or visual, and they can be found in a variety of contexts, such as newspapers, books, movies, and conversations (Biber and Conrad, 2009).

Text and discourse analysis is the interdisciplinary study of how language is used in texts and discourses. It is a broad field that encompasses a variety of approaches, including linguistic, functional, critical, conversation, and genre analysis (Paltridge, 2006).

Linguistic analysis focuses on the linguistic features of texts and discourses, such as grammar, vocabulary, and semantics. It examines how these elements are used to create meaning (Halliday and Hasan, 1976).

Functional analysis examines the functions of texts and discourses, such as to inform, persuade, entertain, or express emotions. It looks at how texts and discourses are structured to achieve these different communicative goals (Eggins and Slade, 1997).

Critical analysis explores the ways in which text and discourse can be used to reflect and shape power relations and social identities. It examines how language is used to privilege certain groups of people and to marginalize others (Van Dijk, 2015).

Conversation analysis studies the structure and function of spoken interaction. It looks at how turn-taking, adjacency pairs, and other features of conversation are used to create meaning (Sacks, Schegloff, and Jefferson, 1974).

Genre analysis identifies the typical features of different types of texts, such as news articles, academic papers, and novels. It examines how these features are used to create and maintain the conventions of different genres (Swales, 1990).

Text and discourse analysis is a valuable tool for understanding how language is used in our everyday lives. It can be used to analyze a wide range of texts and discourses, from news articles to political speeches to personal letters. Text and discourse analysis can also be used to improve our own communication skills. By understanding how language is used in texts and discourses, we can become more effective communicators.

II. DEFINING KEY CONCEPTS:

Text is a stretch of language that has a communicative purpose and is typically written or spoken. It can be a single sentence or a longer piece of writing, such as a book or an article. Texts are typically structured and organized, and they use a variety of linguistic features to create meaning (De Beaugrande and Dressler, 1981).

Discourse is a more general term that refers to any use of language in a social context. Discourses can be spoken, written, or visual, and they can be found in a variety of settings, such as conversations, classrooms, and workplaces. Discourses are typically more interactive than texts, and they often involve the negotiation of meaning between participants (Brown and Yule, 1983).

The key difference between text and discourse is that text is a more specific term that refers to a stretch of language with a communicative purpose, while discourse is a more general term that refers to any use of language in a social context (Cook, 1989).

Here are some additional distinctions between text and discourse:

Texts are typically more structured than discourses. Texts are often organized into paragraphs, chapters, or other units, while discourses are more fluid and less structured. However, this is not always the case. For example, a conversation can be very structured, with each participant taking turns speaking and listening (McCarthy, 1991).

Texts are typically more linear than discourses. Texts are typically read or written from beginning to end, while discourses can be more recursive and involve backtracking or jumping around. This is not always the case, though. For example, a written news article can be very recursive, with the writer jumping back and forth between different points (Renkema, 2004).

Texts are typically more independent than discourses. Texts can be read or understood on their own, while discourses often require some understanding of the context in which they are produced. Again, this is not always the case. For example, a conversation can be understood on its own, without any knowledge of the context in which it took place (Brown and Yule, 1983).

Texts are typically more objective than discourses. Texts are often written in a neutral or objective style, while discourses can be more subjective and reflect the opinions or beliefs of

the speaker or writer. That said, it is not always the case. For example, a political speech can be very objective, while a personal letter can be very subjective (Widdowson, 2007).

It is important to note that these are just general distinctions. There are many cases where texts and discourses overlap. For example, a conversation can be considered a text, and a written news article can be considered a discourse.

The definitions of text and discourse are not fixed, and they can vary depending on the context. However, the distinctions outlined above provide a useful starting point for understanding these two terms.

III. APPROACHES TO ANALYZING TEXT AND DISCOURSE:

In addition to linguistic approaches, functional approaches, critical discourse analysis, conversation analysis, and genre analysis are also used to analyze text and discourse. This section delves into the methodologies of these approaches, exploring their historical foundations, methodological intricacies, strengths, limitations, and ethical considerations, while providing clear examples to enhance comprehension.

A. Linguistic Approaches

Linguistic approaches, rooted in language structure, have evolved significantly over time. These approaches, originating from traditional grammar studies, have expanded to encompass syntax, semantics, and pragmatics (Leech, 2014). For instance, when utilizing corpus linguistics, a method involving the analysis of extensive text collections, patterns emerge that shed light on language usage (McEnery and Hardie, 2011). Corpus linguistics involves the systematic study of language using large and structured collections of texts, known as corpora (Baker, 2006). For example, studies using this approach have revealed shifting word usage in political speeches over time, reflecting changing societal norms (Partington, 2003). While this approach yields structured insights, it is important to note that it might overlook contextual subtleties.

B. Functional Approaches

Functional approaches, including Halliday's metafunctions, offer a lens through which to examine language's contextual utility (Halliday and Matthiessen, 2014). Developed in response to structural limitations, these approaches highlight how language serves distinct functions across contexts (Eggins, 2004). Discourse analysis, a key method within functional

approaches, unveils how meaning is constructed within different settings (Paltridge, 2006). For instance, in analyzing political speeches, discourse analysis exposes how language choices influence public perception and policy impact (Fairclough, 2003). This approach is valuable for understanding language's purpose but could potentially sideline intricate linguistic details.

C. Critical Discourse Analysis

Critical discourse analysis emerged as a response to the need for socially aware language analysis. This approach, born in the late 20th century, probes the power dynamics, ideologies, and social structures present in text (Wodak and Meyer, 2016). It examines how language shapes and is shaped by power relations (Van Dijk, 2015). For example, analyzing media coverage of marginalized communities using critical discourse analysis reveals how language perpetuates stereotypes and influences public opinion (Van Dijk, 1991). This approach's strength lies in its capacity to unearth underlying power dynamics, yet its interpretive nature demands careful handling.

D. Conversation Analysis

Conversation analysis, arising from sociology, meticulously dissects the mechanics of social interactions (Hutchby and Wooffitt, 2008). Focusing on conversation structure, it uncovers patterns in turn-taking, adjacency pairs, and interruptions (Sacks, Schegloff, and Jefferson, 1974). For example, examining workplace meetings through this lens reveals how gender influences conversational dynamics, with men often dominating discourse (West and Zimmerman, 1983). This approach's detailed insights into conversation mechanics are valuable, but it may not fully capture broader contextual factors and written communication nuances.

E. Genre Analysis

Genre analysis, influenced by rhetoric and gaining prominence in the late 20th century, scrutinizes recurring textual patterns in various genres (Bazerman, 1988). This method proves useful in diverse contexts, such as exploring academic articles or social media discourse. For instance, genre analysis of academic research papers reveals shared conventions in introductions and conclusions across disciplines (Swales, 1990). While genre analysis facilitates systematic genre comparison, it is important to remember that individual text idiosyncrasies might be overlooked.

Ethical Considerations

Amid the exploration of these methodologies, a profound awareness of ethical considerations stands as the cornerstone of responsible research. When conducting text and discourse analysis, researchers must navigate various ethical complexities that can impact their work and its broader implications.

   a) Protecting Participant Privacy

In the realm of real-world communication analysis, such as social media posts or public speeches, the necessity to safeguard participant privacy is paramount (Kendall and McDougall, 2012). For example, analyzing tweets on a sensitive topic requires meticulous anonymization to prevent any hint of participants' identities. Additionally, researchers may need to obtain informed consent from individuals whose communication is being analyzed, particularly if the analysis reveals personal or potentially sensitive information.

   b) Ensuring Transparency in Analytical Processes

Transparent analytical processes are vital for the credibility and reproducibility of research (Kuckartz, 2014). Researchers should detail the methods they employed, the decisions made during analysis, and any potential challenges faced. This transparency ensures that fellow researchers can evaluate and replicate the study accurately. For instance, a discourse analysis of political speeches should lay bare the criteria used for identifying key rhetorical strategies.

   c) Mitigating Potential Biases

Acknowledging and mitigating biases is essential (van Dijk, 2015). Researchers must recognize their own potential biases and take proactive steps to reduce their impact on the analysis. For instance, employing multiple coders to independently assess the same data and then comparing their findings can help identify and address potential biases. Seeking external review by peers can also provide valuable insights into the objectivity of the analysis.

The rich tapestry of approaches to text and discourse analysis equips researchers to select methodologies aligned with their research objectives and contextual nuances. Beyond the analytical techniques, understanding and addressing ethical challenges are vital for producing rigorous and responsible research. Each approach illuminates distinct facets of language and

communication, contributing to a holistic understanding of the intricate interplay between words and meaning.

IV. LEVELS OF ANALYSIS:

In the realm of text and discourse analysis, a comprehensive understanding is built by dissecting communication across multiple levels. These levels of analysis provide a nuanced perspective, enabling researchers to unveil intricate layers of meaning, coherence, and intertextual connections within textual and discursive constructs.

The levels can be broadly categorized as follows:

A. Word Level Analysis

At this fundamental level, the focus zooms in on the individual words that compose a text or discourse. This examination encompasses:

1) Vocabulary: The lexicon chosen within a text or discourse is a rich source of insights. Analyzing vocabulary reveals recurring themes, sentiments, and subject matter (Stubbs, 1996). For instance, in a political speech, frequent use of terms like "progress," "change," and "future" may emphasize a forward-looking agenda.

2) Semantics: The meaning embedded within words shapes the message conveyed. Semantics analysis delves into word usage variations and connotations (Leech, 1981). For instance, the term "freedom" may denote liberation in a political context but signify autonomy in a personal narrative.

3) Collocations: The companionship of words can offer profound context. Collocation analysis explores the habitual pairing of words, shedding light on established associations (Sinclair, 1991). In an environmental discourse, phrases like "climate change" and "carbon footprint" interlink to emphasize ecological concerns.

B. Sentence Level Analysis

The sentence level analysis, which is more comprehensive, analyzes the structure and coherence of sentences within a text or conversation, taking into account:

1) Grammar: The structural foundation of language, grammar, governs how words unite (Chomsky, 1957). Analyzing grammar exposes linguistic rules and their adherence. In legal texts, precise grammar underpins unequivocal interpretations.

2) Syntax: The arrangement of words within sentences influences meaning. Syntax analysis explores how word order molds communication (Carnie, 2013). Shifting from "subject-verb-object" to "object-verb-subject" rearranges emphasis and may signify distinct narrative perspectives.

3) Coherence: Sentences harmoniously interconnect to impart meaning. Coherence analysis studies this cohesion, identifying patterns that foster seamless comprehension (Halliday and Hasan, 1976). A scientific research paper employs cohesive devices like "therefore" and "in contrast" to weave together findings and discussions.

C. Whole Text Level Analysis

Stepping back further, the analysis encompasses the holistic nature of the entire text or discourse, investigating:

1) Global Coherence: Texts are intricate tapestries where threads of ideas weave a unified whole (Giora, 1985). Global coherence analysis discerns overarching structures, uncovering narrative arcs and themes. In an epic poem, stanzas cascade to narrate a hero's journey.
2) Text Structure: Text structure shapes how content unfolds (van Dijk, 1980). Text structure analysis deciphers hierarchies and organizational strategies. Legal opinions structure arguments with a precise roadmap: issue, rule, application, conclusion (IRAC).
3) Cohesion: The textual fabric is threaded with linguistic devices, knitting paragraphs into a continuum (Halliday and Hasan, 1976). Cohesion analysis identifies transitions and references. In an academic essay, linking phrases like "as a result" ensure seamless progression between ideas.

D. Intertextual Analysis

Intertextual analysis ventures beyond the confines of a single text, embracing the tapestry of textual interconnectedness:

1) Explicit Intertextuality: Texts engage in explicit dialogues with predecessors, revealing homage or contrast (Genette, 1997). A novel referencing a classic work embeds layers of meaning accessible to the well-read.

2) Implicit Intertextuality: Subtle threads intertwine texts without overt citation (Kristeva, 1980). Shared motifs or themes bridge seemingly unrelated works, illuminating universal human experiences.

E. Sociocultural Analysis

This critical level of analysis delves into the broader societal and cultural contexts that wield significant influence over text and discourse. It is within this sphere that the impact of cultural knowledge, power relations, and ideologies becomes palpably evident, shaping the nuances of textual expression.

1) Cultural Knowledge:

Sociocultural analysis recognizes that texts and discourses are not created in a vacuum; rather, they are intricately interwoven with the cultural fabric from which they emerge (Kramsch, 1998). Cultural knowledge acts as an invisible thread that subtly weaves through language, imparting layers of meaning and connotation.

For example, consider a classic work of literature like "Pride and Prejudice" by Jane Austen. The novel, set in Regency-era England, reflects the social norms, gender roles, and class distinctions of its time. Words and phrases used in the novel carry implicit meanings that would have been understood by readers of that period, even though they might have different connotations today. The usage of the term "gentleman" in the novel encapsulates a complex set of cultural expectations and values from that historical context.

2) Power Relations and Ideologies:

Texts are arenas where power dynamics and ideologies come to the forefront (Fairclough, 1989). Sociocultural analysis uncovers how language can both reinforce and challenge existing power structures, as well as how it serves as a conduit for the dissemination of ideologies.

Consider the analysis of political speeches through the lens of power relations and ideologies. A political leader's discourse may employ rhetoric that reinforces their authority and positions them as a strong, capable leader. Language choices can reflect power asymmetries, such as referring to opponents using derogatory terms to undermine their credibility. On the other hand, analyzing speeches that advocate for social justice may reveal linguistic strategies aimed at challenging dominant ideologies and power structures.

In a different context, consider the portrayal of gender roles in advertising. Sociocultural analysis of advertisements can unveil subtle and not-so-subtle ways in which language constructs and reinforces gender norms. Analyzing how products are positioned and described can provide insights into prevailing societal attitudes towards masculinity and femininity.

By incorporating sociocultural analysis, researchers gain a deeper understanding of how language is entwined with the broader social and cultural milieu. It allows for the identification of implicit meanings, the recognition of power dynamics, and the exploration of the ideological currents that shape our communication landscape.

By navigating these levels of analysis, researchers not only decode layers of textual meaning but also unveil the intricate fabric of language's expression. Each level contributes to the nuanced understanding of text and discourse, akin to a multidimensional puzzle where each piece enhances the entirety of the scholarly canvas. In addition to these five levels, there are other levels of analysis that can be used to understand text and discourse. For example, pragmatics is the study of how language is used in context, and it can be used to analyze the communicative intent of a text or discourse (Yule, 1996). Discourse analysis is a broad term that encompasses many different approaches to the study of text and discourse, and it can be used to analyze the social and cultural dimensions of language use (Schiffrin, 1994).

The level of analysis that is chosen will depend on the research question that is being asked and the theoretical framework that is being used. For example, if the research question is about the different meanings of the word "love," then a word level analysis would be appropriate (Li, 2009). However, if the research question is about the ways in which power relations are embedded in a text, then a sociocultural analysis would be more appropriate (Fairclough, 2001).

It is important to note that the different levels of analysis are not mutually exclusive. In fact, they often overlap and complement each other. For example, a sociocultural analysis can be used to inform a word level analysis, and a word level analysis can be used to inform a sociocultural analysis (Wodak, 2007).

The goal of text and discourse analysis is to understand how language is used to create meaning. By understanding the different levels of analysis, researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the texts and discourses that they are studying.

V. TEXT TYPES AND DISCOURSE GENRES:

In this section, we will explore the major text types and discourse genres that are commonly encountered in text and discourse analysis.

    A. Text Types:

1) Narrative: Narratives are texts that tell a story or recount a series of events (Genette, 1980). Examples of narratives include novels such as "To Kill a Mockingbird" by Harper Lee, short stories like "The Gift of the Magi" by O. Henry, or personal anecdotes shared by individuals.

2) Expository: Expository texts are designed to provide information, explain concepts, or present arguments (Olson, 1977). Examples of expository texts include scientific articles like "The Origin of Species" by Charles Darwin, textbooks on subjects like mathematics or history, or instructional manuals on how to assemble furniture.

3) Argumentative: Argumentative texts aim to persuade or convince the reader about a particular viewpoint or claim (Toulmin, 1958). Examples of argumentative texts include opinion articles such as "Why Renewable Energy is Vital for a Sustainable Future," persuasive essays on topics like the importance of recycling, or legal documents such as a Supreme Court decision.

    B. Discourse Genres:

1) Political: Political discourse refers to language used in political contexts such as speeches, debates, or political campaigns (Chilton and Schäffner, 2002). Examples of political discourse include presidential speeches like Abraham Lincoln's Gettysburg Address, political debates between candidates, or campaign advertisements.

2) Educational: Educational discourse encompasses language used in teaching and learning settings (Christie, 2002). Examples of educational discourse include classroom interactions between teachers and students, textbooks like "Introduction to Psychology" by Charles Stangor, or educational videos on platforms like Khan Academy.

3) Medical: Medical discourse involves communication within the healthcare field (Hamilton and Schiffrin, 2021). Examples of medical discourse include interactions between doctors and patients during a consultation, medical research papers like "The Effects of Exercise on Cardiovascular Health," medical reports documenting patient conditions, or medical consultations on telemedicine platforms.

Understanding the different text types and discourse genres is crucial in text and discourse analysis as it helps researchers identify patterns, analyze language use, and interpret the underlying meanings in various contexts.

VI. APPLICATIONS OF TEXT AND DISCOURSE ANALYSIS:

Text and discourse analysis have a wide range of applications across various fields. In this section, we will explore some of these applications, including stylistics, literacy, digital communication, forensic linguistics, language teaching, and computational linguistics. These examples will showcase the practical relevance and versatility of text and discourse analysis in real-world contexts.

A. Stylistics: Text and discourse analysis can be used in stylistics to examine the linguistic features of literary texts and analyze their impact on meaning and interpretation (Leech and Short, 1981). For example, analyzing the use of metaphors in a poem can reveal deeper layers of meaning and enhance literary appreciation.

B. Literacy: Text and discourse analysis play a crucial role in literacy education by helping teachers understand how individuals interact with written texts (Schleppegrell, 2004). By analyzing reading and writing processes, educators can develop effective strategies to improve literacy skills in learners of all ages.

C. Digital Communication: Text and discourse analysis is essential in understanding digital communication, including social media interactions, online forums, and chatbot interactions (Herring, 2013). By analyzing these texts, researchers can gain insights into language use, social dynamics, and information dissemination in digital spaces.

D. Forensic Linguistics: Text and discourse analysis are used in forensic linguistics to analyze language evidence in legal cases (Coulthard and Johnson, 2022). This can include authorship attribution, analyzing linguistic patterns in threatening messages or identifying deceptive language use in a courtroom context.

E. Language Teaching: Text and discourse analysis inform language teaching methodologies by providing insights into how language is used in different contexts (McCarthy, 2001). By analyzing authentic texts and conversations, educators can design language lessons that are relevant and meaningful to learners.

F. Computational Linguistics: Text and discourse analysis form the foundation of computational linguistics, which focuses on developing algorithms and models to

process and understand natural language (Jurafsky and Martin, 2020). This field has applications in machine translation, sentiment analysis, information retrieval, and natural language processing systems.

These examples demonstrate the wide-ranging applications of text and discourse analysis in different fields. By using these analytical approaches, researchers and practitioners can gain valuable insights, develop effective strategies, and make informed decisions in their respective domains.

VII. CONCLUSION:

In this chapter, we explored the fundamental concepts of text and discourse analysis, including text types, discourse genres, and the applications of these analytical approaches in various fields. We discussed how text and discourse analysis help us understand the characteristics, functions, and meanings of different types of texts and how they shape communication in different contexts.

By examining examples from stylistics, literacy, digital communication, forensic linguistics, language teaching, and computational linguistics, we showcased the practical relevance and versatility of text and discourse analysis in real-world scenarios. These examples highlighted the importance of analyzing language use, patterns, and structures to gain insights, make informed decisions, and develop effective strategies in different domains.

Looking ahead, the field of text and discourse analysis is likely to continue evolving with advancements in technology and the growing availability of large datasets. The integration of computational and statistical methods is expected to enhance the analysis of texts and enable more sophisticated approaches to uncover patterns and meanings (McEnery and Hardie, 2012). Additionally, the emergence of new forms of communication, such as social media and multimodal texts, will present new challenges and opportunities for text and discourse analysis (Kress and Van Leeuwen, 2001).

As the field progresses, it is crucial for researchers and practitioners to stay updated with the latest developments and embrace interdisciplinary collaborations. Through ongoing research, innovation, and application, text and discourse analysis will continue to contribute to our understanding of language, communication, and society.

WORKS CITED:

Baker, Paul. *Using Corpora in Discourse Analysis*. Continuum, 2006.

Bazerman, Charles. *Shaping Written Knowledge: The Genre and Activity of the Experimental Article in Science*. U of Wisconsin P, 1988.

Biber, Douglas, and Susan Conrad. *Register, Genre, and Style*. Cambridge UP, 2009.

Brown, Gillian, and George Yule. *Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge UP, 1983.

Carnie, Andrew. *Syntax: A Generative Introduction*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2013.

Chilton, Paul, and Christina Schäffner. *Politics as Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Discourse*. John Benjamins Publishing, 2002.

Chomsky, Noam. *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton, 1957.

Christie, Frances. *Classroom Discourse Analysis: A Functional Perspective*. Continuum, 2002.

Cook, Guy. *Discourse*. Oxford UP, 1989.

Coulthard, Malcolm, and Alison Johnson. *An Introduction to Forensic Linguistics: Language in Evidence*. Routledge, 2022.

De Beaugrande, Robert, and Wolfgang Dressler. *Introduction to Text Linguistics*. Routledge, 1981.

Eggins, Suzanne. *An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. Continuum, 2004.

Eggins, Suzanne, and Diana Slade. *Analysing Casual Conversation*. Cassell, 1997.

Fairclough, Norman. *Language and Power*. Longman, 1989.

---. Analysing *Discourse: Textual Analysis for Social Research*. Routledge, 2003.

Genette, Gérard. *Narrative Discourse*. Cornell UP, 1980.

---. *Palimpsests: Literature in the Second Degree*. U of Nebraska P, 1997.

Giora, Rachel. *"A Text-Based Analysis of Picasso's Guernica."* Semiotica, vol. 63, no. 1-2, 1985, pp. 47-62.

Halliday, M.A.K., and Ruqaiya Hasan. *Cohesion in English*. Routledge, 1976.

Halliday, M.A.K., and Christian M.I.M. Matthiessen. *Halliday's Introduction to Functional Grammar*. Routledge, 2014.

Hamilton, Heidi E., and Deborah Schiffrin, editors. *The Handbook of Discourse Analysis*. Wiley Blackwell, 2021.

Herring, Susan C. *"Discourse in Web 2.0: Familiar, Reconfigured, and Emergent." Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics 2011: Discourse 2.0: Language and New Media*. Georgetown UP, 2013.

Hutchby, Ian, and Robin Wooffitt. *Conversation Analysis*. Polity, 2008.

Jurafsky, Daniel, and James H. Martin. *Speech and Language Processing*. Stanford CSLI Publications, 2020.

Kendall, Gavin, and Gary Wickham. *Using Foucault's Methods*. SAGE Publications, 1999.

Kramsch, Claire. *Language and Culture*. Oxford UP, 1998.

Kristeva, Julia. *Desire in Language: A Semiotic Approach to Literature and Art*. Columbia UP, 1980.

Kuckartz, Udo. *Qualitative Text Analysis: A Guide to Methods, Practice and Using Software*. SAGE Publications, 2014.

Leech, Geoffrey. *Semantics: The Study of Meaning*. Penguin, 1974.

---. *Style in Fiction: A Linguistic Introduction to English Fictional Prose*. Routledge, 1981.

Leech, Geoffrey, and Michael Short. *Style in Fiction: A Linguistic Introduction to English Fictional Prose*. Longman, 1981.

Li, Charlene. *"Switch Reference in Amele."* Language and Linguistics, vol. 10, no. 1, 2009, pp. 91–124.

McCarthy, Michael. *Discourse Analysis for Language Teachers*. Cambridge UP, 2001.

McEnery, Tony, and Andrew Hardie. *Corpus Linguistics: Method, Theory and Practice*. Cambridge UP, 2012.

Olson, David R. *"From Utterance to Text: The Bias of Language in Speech and Writing."* Harvard Educational Review, vol. 47, no. 3, 1977, pp. 257-281.

Paltridge, Brian. *Discourse Analysis: An Introduction*. Continuum, 2006.

Partington, Alan. *The Linguistics of Political Argument: The Spin-Doctor and the Wolf-Pack at the White House*. Routledge, 2003.

Renkema, Jan. *Introduction to Discourse Studies*. John Benjamins, 2004.

Sacks, Harvey, Emanuel A. Schegloff, and Gail Jefferson. *"A Simplest Systematics for the Organization of Turn-Taking for Conversation."* Language, vol. 50, no. 4, 1974, pp. 696-735.

Schiffrin, Deborah. *Approaches to Discourse*. Blackwell, 1994.

Schleppegrell, Mary J. *The Language of Schooling: A Functional Linguistics Perspective*. Routledge, 2004.

Sinclair, John. *Corpus, Concordance, Collocation*. Oxford UP, 1991.

Stubbs, Michael. *Text and Corpus Analysis: Computer-Assisted Studies of Language and Culture.* Blackwell, 1996.

Swales, John. *Genre Analysis: English in Academic and Research Settings*. Cambridge UP, 1990.

Toulmin, Stephen E. *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge UP, 1958.

van Dijk, Teun A. *Macrostructures*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1980.

---. *Racism and the Press*. Routledge, 1991.

---. *"Principles of Critical Discourse Analysis."* Discourse & Society, vol. 4, no. 2, 1993, pp. 249-283.

---. Ideology: A Multidisciplinary Approach. SAGE Publications, 1998.

---. *"Critical Discourse Analysis." The Handbook of Discourse Analysis*, edited by Deborah Schiffrin et al., Blackwell, 2001, pp. 352-371.

---. *Discourse and Power*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2008.

West, Candace, and Don H. Zimmerman. *"Small Insults: A Study of Interruptions in Cross-Sex Conversations between Unacquainted Persons."* Language, Gender and Society, edited by Barrie Thorne et al., Newbury House, 1983, pp. 102-117.

Widdowson, H.G. *Discourse Analysis*. Oxford UP, 2007.

Wodak, Ruth. *"What CDA is About – A Summary of its History, Important Concepts and its Developments."* Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis, edited by Ruth Wodak and Michael Meyer. SAGE Publications, 2001, pp. 1-13.

Wodak, Ruth, and Michael Meyer, editors. *Methods of Critical Discourse Analysis*. SAGE Publications, 2001.

Yule, George. *Pragmatics*. Oxford UP, 1996.