

SOME RESULTS ON THE REES ALGEBRAS AND ANALYTICALLY INDEPENDENT OF IDEALS

PRITI SINGH¹

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PATNA SCIENCE COLLEGE, PATNA UNIVERSITY, INDIA

AVINASH KUMAR ²

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
PATNA UNIVERSITY, INDIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study analytically independent elements and the equations defining the Rees algebra of an ideal. Also we define the structure of the fiber cones, where elements are analytically independent.

Keywords: Rees algebras, Analytically Independent, Relation Type.

2010 AMS Subject Classification : 13A30, 13B22, 13A15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and I be an ideal of R . The powers of an ideal has been extensively studied in order to define classical notions in commutative ring theory and algebraic geometry. For example, the Rees algebra $R(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n$ and the Symmetric algebra $S(I)$. The applications of such algebras are determined the moving curve of ideals and its relation to adjoint curve [3].

If $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the Rees algebra of an ideals defined as: a graded epimorphism $\phi : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R(I)$ such that $X_i \rightarrow x_i$, where $x_i \in I^i$ whose kernel is the ideal Q of $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ generated by the homogeneous polynomials $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$. The generators of the ker is called defining equation of the Rees algebra. The relation type of I , $rt(I)$ is the least integer $N \geq 1$ such that $Q = Q(N)$, where $Q(N)$ is the ideal generated by homogeneous polynomial $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree at most N . It can also defined by the universal property of the Symmetric algebra of an R -module. Consider $R^n \rightarrow I$ induces a onto $R[X_1, \dots, X_n] = S(R^n) \rightarrow S(I)$ whose kernel is the homogeneous ideal $Q(1)$ of $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ generated by the linear forms $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i = 0$, where $b_i \in R$. Hence $Q(1)$ is contained in Q and equality hold if $S(I)$ is isomorphic to $R(I)$. An ideal I is said be of linear type if $Q(1) = Q$. Therefore the relation type of an ideal $rt(I)$ is independent of the chosen set of generators of an ideal.

The connection between the symmetric algebra $S(I)$, the Rees algebra $R(I)$ and reduction of ideals are important role in algebraic geometry. From geometric point of view it would be interesting that $Proj(\alpha) : Proj(R(I)) \rightarrow Proj(S(I))$ is an isomorphism, where I is a an regular sequence, $\alpha : R(I) \rightarrow (S(I))$ [1] and reduction number shows that analytically independent element and minimal generating set

E-mail: pritisingh.mnnit@gmail.com¹, akvimal089@gmail.com².

of the Rees algebra $R(I)$ [8]. These results give to the study of relation between the maximal minor of the generic matrix and generator of ideal, almost complete intersection ideals, projective dimension, reduction number. In [2] author investigated the results when $S(I)$ and $R(I)$ are isomorphic if and only if normal cone and normal bundle to the closed subscheme $\text{spec}(R/I)$ in $\text{spec}(R)$ are isomorphic. If I is of linear type, then I is itself a minimal reduction [11]. There are many algebraist to discuss the results see [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8]. This paper is based on work Valla on Rees algebra of an ideal, analytically independent element and begins the study of equation of the Rees algebra.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Let (R, m) be a Noetherian local ring and I be ideal of R . Then the fiber cone of I is defined by

$$F_I(R) = \frac{R(I)}{mR(I)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n}{mI^n}.$$

Definition 2.2. The element $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ are said to be analytically independent in I if for any homogeneous polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ of degree r , the condition $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in mI^r$ implies that all the coefficients of $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are in m .

Theorem 2.3. Let (R, m) be a Noetherian local ring and I be an ideal of R . Suppose x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in I . Then :

- (1) The elements x_1, \dots, x_n are minimally generate (x_1, \dots, x_n) .
- (2) If $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then y_1, \dots, y_n are analytically independent.
- (3) If $J = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $F_J(R)$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in n variable over R/m .

Proof. (1) We have to show that $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ is a basis of vector space J/mJ over R/m , where $\bar{x}_i = x_i + mJ$, $J = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $x \in J$ such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i, \text{ where } a_i \in R.$$

$$x + mJ = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + mJ.$$

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_i \bar{x}_i.$$

Therefore, \bar{x} generates J .

Claim: $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ is a linear independent set over R/m .

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_i \bar{x}_i = mJ.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i + mJ = mJ.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in mJ \subseteq mI.$$

Since x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in I , the polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = a_1X_1 + \dots + a_nX_n$ of degree one with coefficient of $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are in m . Therefore, $\bar{a}_i = a_i + m = \bar{0}$. So that $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ is a basis.

- (2) Let $J = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq I$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in mJ^r$ for polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $\deg(f) = r$. Note that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in mJ^r \subseteq mI^r$. Since x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in I , all the coefficient of polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are in m . Therefore, x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in $J = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and y_1, \dots, y_n are analytically independent element.
- (3) Consider the R/m - algebra homomorphism $g : R/m[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow F_J(R)$ such that

$$g\left(\sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^r \overline{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}\right) = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^r \overline{a_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \overline{x_1^{i_1}} \overline{x_2^{i_2}} \dots \overline{x_n^{i_n}}.$$

Then g is onto. By using fundamental theorem of R/m - algebra homomorphism $\frac{R/m[X_1, \dots, X_n]}{\ker(g)} \cong F_J(R)$, where

$$\ker(g) = \left\{ \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^r \overline{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n} \mid g\left(\sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^r \overline{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}\right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Since x_1, \dots, x_n are analytically independent in J , the polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with $\deg(f) = r$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in mJ^r$ with all the coefficient of polynomial $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ are in m for $r \geq 1$. Therefore $\sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^r \overline{a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n} = 0$ and $\ker(g) = 0$. Hence $R/m[X_1, \dots, X_n] \cong F_J(R)$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, $I \subset R$ be an ideal of R . Suppose \mathcal{A} is a flat R -algebra. Then*

$$R(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \cong R(I \otimes_R \mathcal{A})$$

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(g) \longrightarrow S(I) \longrightarrow R(I) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is a flat R -algebra,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(g) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow S(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow R(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\text{Ker}(g) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} = \text{Ker}(g \otimes \text{id}_S)$ and $S(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \cong S(I \otimes_R \mathcal{A})$. So that commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(g) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & S(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & R(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}(g \otimes \text{id}_S) & \longrightarrow & S(I \otimes_R \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & R_A(I \otimes_R \mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

Hence $R(I) \otimes_R \mathcal{A} \cong R(I \otimes_R \mathcal{A})$ □

Proposition 2.5. Let R be a ring, $Q = \ker(\phi)$ and $Q_{(r)} = \{f \in \ker(\phi) \mid \deg(f) \leq r\}$, where $\phi : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \longrightarrow R(I)$. Then

$$Q_{(0)} \subseteq Q_{(1)} \subseteq Q_{(2)} \dots Q_{(r)} \dots \text{ and } \bigcup_{r \geq 0} Q_{(r)} = \ker(\phi).$$

Proof. Let $\phi : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \longrightarrow R(I)$ such that

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^m a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}\right) = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=0}^m a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}.$$

$$(1) \quad Q_{(0)} = \{a_{i_0 \dots 0} \mid a_{i_0 \dots 0} \in R \mid \deg(f) = 0\}.$$

$$(2) \quad Q_{(1)} = \{f \in \ker(\phi) \mid \deg(f) \leq 1\} \\ = \{a_{i_0 \dots 0} + a_{i_1 0 \dots 0} X_1 + a_{i_2 0 \dots 0} X_2 + \dots + a_{i_n 0 \dots 0} X_n, a_{i_0 \dots 0}\}.$$

$$(3) \quad Q_{(2)} = \{f \in \ker(\phi) \mid \deg(f) \leq 2\} \\ = \{a_{i_0 \dots 0}, a_{i_1 0 \dots 0} + a_{i_2 0 \dots 0} X_1 + a_{i_3 0 \dots 0} X_2 + \dots + a_{i_n 0 \dots 0} X_n, \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=2} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}\}.$$

$$(4) \quad Q_{(r)} = \{a_{i_0 \dots 0}, a_{i_1 0 \dots 0} + a_{i_2 0 \dots 0} X_1 + a_{i_3 0 \dots 0} X_2 + \dots + a_{i_n 0 \dots 0} X_n, \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r-1} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}, \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=r} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}\}.$$

By (1), (2), (3), ..., (4), ..., we can observe that $Q_{(0)} \subseteq Q_{(1)} \subseteq Q_{(2)} \dots Q_{(r)} \dots$. Since $\ker \phi$ is a graded ring, $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} Q_{(r)} = \ker(\phi)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a Noetherian ring and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an ideal of R . Suppose T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n are variables over R . Consider a map $\phi : R[T_1, \dots, T_n] \longrightarrow R(I)$ with $\phi(T_i) = x_i$. Let $Q(1)$ be the subideal of $\ker(\phi)$ generated by all homogeneous elements of degree 1. Let $R^m \xrightarrow{A} R^n \xrightarrow{\phi} I \longrightarrow 0$ be a presentation of I , where $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ and $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]_{1 \times n}$ matrix and L be the ideal generated by the entries of the matrix TA that vanish after substitution $T_i \longrightarrow x_i$. Then $Q(1) = L$.

Proof. Note that $Q(1) = \{a_1 T_1 + \dots + a_n T_n \mid a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0; x_i \in I\}$. Define

$$T A = [T_1, \dots, T_n]_{1 \times n} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

$TA = [a_{11}T_1 + a_{21}T_2 + \dots + a_{n1}T_n, a_{12}T_1 + a_{22}T_2 + \dots + a_{n2}T_n, a_{1m}T_1 + a_{2m}T_2 + \dots + a_{nm}T_n]$. This implies that L is ideal of $R[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n]$ defined by $L = \langle a_{11}T_1 + a_{21}T_2 + \dots + a_{n1}T_n, a_{12}T_1 + a_{22}T_2 + \dots + a_{n2}T_n, \dots, a_{1m}T_1 + a_{2m}T_2 + \dots + a_{nm}T_n \rangle$. Claim : $L = Q(1)$.

Let $x \in L$ such that $x = y_1(a_{11}T_1 + a_{21}T_2 + \dots + a_{n1}T_n) + y_2(a_{12}T_1 + a_{22}T_2 + \dots + a_{n2}T_n) + \dots + y_m(a_{1m}T_1 + a_{2m}T_2 + \dots + a_{nm}T_n)$.

Therefore $x = (y_1 a_{11} + a_{12} y_2 + \dots + a_{1m} y_m) T_1 + (y_1 a_{21} + y_2 a_{22} + \dots + y_m a_{2m}) T_2 + \dots + (y_1 a_{n1} + y_2 a_{n2} + \dots + y_m a_{nm}) T_n$. Take $a_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} y_j$. Since $a_{ij} \in R$, $a_{ij} y_j \in R$. Then $x = a_1 T_1 + a_2 T_2 + \dots + a_n T_n$. By assumption of L , $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n = 0$ (1).

This implies that $x \in Q(1)$.

Conversely, $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times m}$. Let $x \in Q(1)$. Then $x = a_1T_1 + \dots + a_nT_n$. Since $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \ker(\phi) = \text{Im}(A)$,

$$\text{where } \text{Im}(A) = [z_1z_2 \dots z_m]_{1 \times m} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{1m} & a_{2m} \cdots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

$$= [z_1a_{11} + z_2a_{12} + \dots + z_ma_{1m} \quad z_1a_{21} + z_2a_{22} + \dots + z_ma_{2m} \quad \dots \quad z_1a_{n1} + z_2a_{n2} + \dots + z_ma_{nm}]_{1 \times n}.$$

So that $a_1 = z_1a_{11} + z_2a_{12} + \dots + z_ma_{1m}$.

$$a_2 = z_1a_{21} + z_2a_{22} + \dots + z_ma_{2m}$$

\vdots

$$a_n = z_1a_{n1} + z_2a_{n2} + \dots + z_ma_{nm}$$

By (1), We can write $[z_1a_{11} + z_2a_{12} + \dots + z_ma_{1m}]x_1 + [z_1a_{21} + z_2a_{22} + \dots + z_ma_{2m}]x_2 + \dots + [z_1a_{n1} + z_2a_{n2} + \dots + z_ma_{nm}]x_n = 0$.

This implies that $z_1(a_1x_{11} + a_{21}x_2 + \dots + a_{n1}x_n) + z_2(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{n2}) + \dots + z_m(a_{1m}x_1 + \dots + a_{nm}x_n) = 0$. Therefore $x \in L$. \square

Example 2.7. Let $R = k[x, y, z]$ be a ring and ideal $I = (x y, y z, x z)$ of R , where k is a field. Then the Rees algebra of I ,

$$R(I) \cong \frac{k[X_1, X_2, X_3, x, y, z]}{\langle x X_2 - y X_3, z X_1 - y X_3 \rangle}, \text{rt}(I) = 1.$$

Proof. By using singular software we can compute the Rees algebra of $R(I)$:

LIB"reesclos.lib";

ringR = 0, (x, y, z), dp;

ideal I = x y, y z, x z;

list L = ReesAlgebra(I);

def Rees = L[1];

setring Rees;

Rees;

ker;

ker[1] = x X₂ - y X₃,

ker[2] = z X₁ - y X₃

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Valla, On the symmetric and Rees algebras of an ideal, *Manuscripta Math.*, 30, 230-255 (1980).
- [2] M. Kuhl, On the symmetric algebra of an ideal, *Manuscripta Math.*, 37, 49-60 (1982).
- [3] D. A. Cox, The moving curve ideal and the Rees algebra, *Theor. Comput.*, 1 23-26, (2008).
- [4] Jason Mccullough and Irena Peeva (2018). *Infinite Graded Free Resolution*. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 13D02.
- [5] D. Eisenbud (1994). *Commutative Algebra with a viewpoint toward algebraic geometry*, Springer.
- [6] Hukaba S. (1989). On complete d sequence and the defining ideals of Rees Algebra. *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 106, 445-458.
- [7] Northcott D. G. and Rees D. (1954). Reductions of ideals in local rings. *Proc. Cam. Philos. Soc.*, 50, 145-158.

- [8] Swanson I. and Huneke C. (2006). *Integral closure of ideals, rings and modules*. Lond. Math. Soc. lec. notes, **336**, Camb. Univ. Press.
- [9] Muinos F. and Vilanova F. P. (2013). *The equation of Rees algebras of equimultiple ideals of deviation one*. Proc. Ame. Math. Soc. *4*, 1241-1254.
- [10] P. Singh and S. Kumar, *Existence of reduction of ideals over semi local ring*. The Mathematics Student, *84* (1-2) 95-107 (2015).
- [11] P. Singh and A. Kumar, *On reduction and relation type of an ideal*. Journal of Scientific Research, Volume 65, Issue 5, (2021).