A STUDY ON GENERALIZED RICCI SOLITONS ON LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Vinod Chandra

Department of Mathematics,
Dhanauri P. G. College Dhanauri, Haridwar, Uttarakhand, INDIA
E-mail: chandravinod8126@gmail.com

Abstract: The LP-Sasakian manifold was investigated in this chapter. At first we introduced historical background of the concern manifold. Next some rudimentary facts and related properties of LP-Sasakian manifold are discussed. After that LP-Sasakian manifold concerning generalized Ricci soliton is studied and investigate main result in the form of theorem that is LP-Sasakian manifold of odd dimension satisfying the generalized Ricci soliton equation is an Einstein manifolds.

M.S.C. (2010): 53D12, 53C15, 53D10, 53C25, 53A30.

Key Words: *LP-Sasakian manifold, Lorentzian para-Sasakian Manifold, Lorentzian Metric, Riemannian manifold, Ricci Soliton, Einstein Manifold.*

1. INTRODUCTION

An developing area of contemporary mathematics is the geometry of contact manifolds. The mathematical formalisation of classical mechanics has given way to the concept of contact geometry [7]. K- contact manifolds and sasakian manifolds are two significant kinds of contact manifolds [1], [20]. There are various researchers that have analyzed K-contact and Sasakian manifolds ([21], [3], [4], [11], [19], [23]) and many others.

The concept of the LP-Sasakian manifold was initially introduced by Matsumoto [13]. Mihai and Rosca defined the same notion independently in [16]. This type of manifold is also discussed in ([14, [22]). A complete regular contact metric manifold M^{2n+1} carries a K-contact structure (φ, ξ, η, g), which is described in terms of almost kaehler structure (J, G) of the base manifold's M^{2n+1} . If the base manifold (M^{2n+1}, J, G) in this case is Kaehlerian, the K-contact structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is Sasakian. If (M^{2n+1}, J, G) is only almost Kaehler then (φ, ξ, η, g) is only K-contact [1]. Recent research in [12] has demonstrated the existence of K-contact manifolds that are not Sasakian. Even yet, Sasakian and contact structures are intermediated by K-contact structures. Numerous writers, including [3, [4], [9], [19], [21], [23], have researched K-contact manifolds.

Let us consider function f on M, then

(1.1) $g(grad\, f, X) = Xf$,

(1.2) $(Hess\, f)(X, \Upsilon) = g(\nabla_X grad\, f, \Upsilon)$,

for all smooth vector fields X, Υ. For a smooth vector field X, we have ([15],[18])

(1.3) $X^b(\Upsilon) = g(X, \Upsilon)$.

The generalized Ricci soliton equation in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is described by [18]

(1.4) $\ell_X g = -2c_1 X^b \cdot X^b + 2c_2 S + 2\lambda g$,

where $\ell_X g$ is the lie derivative of X, defined by

(1.5) $(\ell_X g)(\Upsilon, Z) = g(\nabla_\Upsilon X, Z) + g(\nabla_Z X, \Upsilon)$,

for all vector fields X, Υ, Z and $c_1, c_2, \lambda \in R$. For different values of equation (1.4) is a generalization of killing equation $(c_1 = c_2 = \lambda = 0)$, for soliton $(c_1 = 0, c_2 = -1)$, homotheties $(c_1 = c_2 = 0)$, , vaccum near-horizon geometry equation $(c_1 = 1, c_2 = \frac{1}{2})$ etc. We suggest the reader for further information ([2], [5], [6], [10], [18]).

If $X = grad\, f$, then the equation for the generalized Ricci soliton is [8]

(1.6) $Hess\, f = -c_1 df \cdot df + c_2 S + \lambda g$.

The work in present Chapter motivated by [8], for the fact that relationship between LP-Sasakian and K-contact manifold, so we studied (2n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian para- Sasakian manifold over generalized Ricci soliton.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A (2n+1)-dimension differentiable manifold will be LP-Sasakian manifold [13] [16], if it aquire the (1,1) tensor field φ, vector field ξ, η is a 1 form on M, lorentzian metric g, accept [14],[17]

(2.1) $\varphi^2 = I + \eta(X)\xi,\ \eta(\xi) = -1,\ g(\varphi X, \varphi\Upsilon) = g(X, \Upsilon) - \eta(X)\eta(\Upsilon)$,

(2.2) $\varphi(\xi) = 0,\ \eta(\varphi X) = 0,\ g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),\ g(\varphi X, \Upsilon) = -g(X, \varphi\Upsilon)$,

(2.3) $\nabla_X \xi = \varphi X$,

(2.4) $g(R(\xi,X)\Upsilon,\xi)=\eta(R(\xi,X)\Upsilon)=-g(X,\Upsilon)-\eta(X)\eta(\Upsilon),$

(2.5) $R(\xi,X)\xi=X+\eta(X)\xi,$

(2.6) $S(X,\xi)=(n-1)\eta(X),$

(2.7) $(\nabla_X\varphi)\Upsilon=[g(X,\Upsilon)+\eta(X)\eta(\Upsilon)]\xi+[X+\eta(X)\xi]\eta(\Upsilon),$

as any vector fields, X,Υ on $\chi(M)$.

Additionally, If a manifold's Ricci tensor has the following form given below, it becomes an Einstein manifold:

(2.8) $S(X,\Upsilon)=ag(X,\Upsilon),$

for vector fields X,Υ.

Substituting $X=\Upsilon=\xi$ in (2.6) and then (2.4) and (2.2), we get

(2.9) $a=(n-1),$

Take in account (2.9) , we have from (2.8)

(2.10) $S(X,\Upsilon)=(n-1)g(X,\Upsilon),$

similarly from (2.10) we infer

(2.11) $QX=(n-1)X,$

3. GENERALIZED RICCI SOLITON ON LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

Theorem 3.1. Let (M,φ,ξ,η,g) be a LP-Sasakian manifold then

(3.1) $(\ell_\xi(\ell_X g))(\Upsilon,\xi)=-g(X,\Upsilon)+g(\nabla_\xi\nabla_\xi X,\Upsilon)+\Upsilon g(\nabla_\xi X,\xi),$

for smooth vector fields X,Υ with Υ orthogonal to ξ.

Proof: It is known that

(3.2) $(\ell_\xi(\ell_X g))(\Upsilon,\xi)=\xi((\ell_X g)(\Upsilon,\xi))-(\ell_X g)(\ell_\xi\Upsilon,\xi),$

using (1.5) in (3.2) yields

$$
(3.3)\qquad \begin{aligned}
&(\ell_{\xi}(\ell_{X}g))(\Upsilon,\xi)=\xi(g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\xi)+g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)-g(\nabla_{[\xi,\Upsilon]}X,\xi)\\
&-g(\nabla_{\xi}X,[\xi,\Upsilon])=g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\xi)+g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi)+g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)\\
&+g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\Upsilon)-g(\nabla_{[\xi,\Upsilon]}X,\xi)-g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\xi}\Upsilon)+g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\Upsilon}\xi)\\
&=g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\xi)+g(\nabla_{\Upsilon}X,\nabla_{\xi}\xi)+g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)\\
&-g(\nabla_{[\xi,\Upsilon]}X,\xi)+g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\nabla_{\Upsilon}\xi),
\end{aligned}
$$

by definition of Riemannian curvature tensor, from (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.4)\qquad (\ell_{\xi}(\ell_{X}g))(\Upsilon,\xi)=\mathrm{g}(R(\xi,\Upsilon)X,\xi)+g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)(\ell_{X}g)+\Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\xi),$$

using (2.4) in (3.4) and with Υ orthogonal to ξ, we get

$$(3.5)\qquad \mathrm{g}(R(\xi,\Upsilon)X,\xi)=-g(X,\Upsilon),$$

so, (3.4) may be expressed as

$$(3.6)\qquad (\ell_{\xi}(\ell_{X}g))(\Upsilon,\xi)=-\mathrm{g}(X,\Upsilon)+g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}X,\Upsilon)+\Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}X,\xi),$$

Lemma 3.2: Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let f be a smooth function. Then [15]

$$(3.7)\qquad (\ell_{\xi}(df\cdot df))(\Upsilon,\xi)=\Upsilon(\xi(f))\xi(f)+\Upsilon(f)\xi(\xi(f)),$$

for every vector field Υ.

Theorem 3.2: Let (M,φ,ξ,η,g) is a LP-Sasakian manifold which accept the generalized Ricci soliton equation. Then

$$(3.8)\quad \nabla_{\xi}grad\, f=(\lambda+(n-1)c_2 n)\xi-c_1\xi(f)grad\, f.$$

Proof: Using (2.6) we have

$$(3.9)\qquad \lambda\eta(\Upsilon)+c_2 S(\xi,\Upsilon)=[\lambda+(n-1)]\eta(\Upsilon).$$

Making use of (1.6) and (3.9) implies

$$(3.10)\quad (Hess\, f)(\xi,\Upsilon)=-c_1\xi(f)g(grad,\Upsilon)+[\lambda+(n-1)]\eta(\Upsilon).$$

The lemma thus follows from (3.5) and (1.6), which gives the Hessian definition.

Next, Suppose that is Υ orthogonal to ξ. From Lemma 3.1, and taking $X=grad\, f$, we get

$$(3.11)\quad 2(\ell_{\xi}(Hess\, f)(\Upsilon,\xi)=\Upsilon(f)+g(\nabla_{\xi}\nabla_{\xi}grad\, f,\Upsilon)+\Upsilon g(\nabla_{\xi}grad\, f,\xi),$$

by Lemma (3.2) and above equation, we obtain

$$(3.12)\quad \begin{aligned} 2(\ell_{\xi}(Hess\, f)(\Upsilon,\xi) &= \Upsilon(f)+(\lambda+(n-1)c_2)g(\nabla_{\xi}\xi,\Upsilon)-c_1 g(\nabla_{\xi}(\xi(f)grad\, f),\Upsilon) \\ &+(\lambda+(n-1)c_2))\Upsilon g(\xi,\xi)-c_1\Upsilon(\xi(f)^2), \end{aligned}$$

since and from equation (2.10), we obtain

$$(3.13)\quad 2(\ell_{\xi}(Hess\, f)(\Upsilon,\xi)=\Upsilon(f)-c_1\xi(\xi(f)\Upsilon(f)-c_1\xi(f)g(\nabla_{\xi}(grad\, f,\Upsilon)-2c_1(\xi(f)\Upsilon(\xi(f)),$$

Note that, from equation (2.3) , we have $\ell_{\xi}g=0$ it implies . Applying the Lie derivative to the generalised Ricci soliton equation (1.6) and the aforementioned fact:

$$(3.14)\quad 2(\ell_{\xi}(Hess\, f)(\Upsilon,\xi)=-2c_1(\ell_{\xi}(df\circ df))(\Upsilon,\xi).$$

Using (3.13), (3.14) and Lemma (3.2) we infer that

$$(3.15)\quad \Upsilon(f)[1+c_1\xi\xi(f)+c_1\xi(f^2)]=0.$$

According to Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(3.16)\quad \begin{aligned} c_1\xi(\xi(f)) &= c_1\xi g(\xi,grad\, f) \\ &= c_1 g(\xi,\nabla_{\xi}grad\, f) \\ &= c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2)-c_1^2\xi(f)^2, \end{aligned}$$

by equation (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.17)\quad \Upsilon(f)[1+c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2)]=0.$$

Which implies $\Rightarrow \Upsilon(f)0.$

Provided $1+c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2\neq 0$. Therefore $grad\, f$ is parallel to ξ. Hence $grad\, f$ as $d=\ker\eta$ is nowhere integrable, that is, f is a constant function. Thus the manifold is an Einstein one follows from (1.6), so we concluded that

Theorem 3.3: If (M,φ,ξ,η,g) is a odd-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold that satisfies the generalized Ricci soliton equation with $c_1(\lambda+(n-1)c_2\neq -1$. Then f has a constant value. Additionally, manifold is an Einstein manifold if $c_2\neq 0$. The lemma thus follows from (3.5) and (1.6), which gives the Hessian definition.

References

[1] Blair, D.E., Contact manifolds in Reimannian geometry, Lecture notes in Math. 509, Springer-Verlag., 1976.

[2] Chrusciel, P.T., Reall, H.S., Tod, P.: On non-existence of static vacuum black holes with degenerate components of the event horizon. Classical Quantum Gravity, 23, 549-554 (2006).

[3] De, U.C. and Biswas, S., On K-contact η-Einstein manifolds. Bull. Soc. Math. 16 (1990), 23-28.

[4] De, U.C., De, A., On some curvature properties of K-contact manifolds. Extracta Math. 27 (2012), 125-134.

[5] Deshmukh, S., Aloden, H.: A note on Ricci soliton. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 16 , 48-55 (2011).

[6] Deshmukh, S.: Jacobi-type vector fields on Ricci solitons. Bull. Mathematique de la Societe des Sciences Mathematiques de Roumanie Nouvelle Series. 103, 41-50 (2012).

[7] Geiges, H., A brief history of contact geometry and topology. Expo. Math. 19 (2001), 25-53.

[8] Gopal Ghosh and Uday Chand De, Generalized Ricci solitons on K-contact manifolds, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS E-NOTES, 8 (2) 165-169 (2020) c MSAEN, HTTPS://DOI.ORG/10.36753/MATHENOT.683478.

[9] Guha, N., De, U.C.: On K-contact manifolds. Serdica-Bulgaricae Math. Publ. 19, 267-272 (1993).

[10] Jezierski, J.: On the existance of Kundts metrics and degenerate (or extremal) Killing horizones. Classical Quantum Gravity, 26, 035011, 11pp (2009).

[11] Jun, J-B., Kim, U.K., On 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 34 (1994), 293-301.

[12] Koufogiorgos, T.: Contact metric manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 11, 25-34 (1993).

[13] Matsumoto, K. On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds. *Bull. of Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci.*, 1989, 12, 151–156.

[14] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I. On a certain transformation in a LP-Sasakian manifold. *Tensor, N. S.*, 1988, 47, 189–197.

[15] Mekki, M.E., Cherif, A.M.: Generalised Ricci solitons on Sasakian manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 57 677-682 (2017).

[16] Mihai, I. and Rosca, R. *On Lorentzian P-Sasakian Manifolds, Classical Analysis*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, 155–169.

[17] Mihai, I. A.A. Shaikh and Uday Chand De, On LP-Sasakian Manifolds.

[18] Nurowski. P., Randall, M.: Generalised Ricci solitons. J. Geom. Anal. 26, 1280-1345 (2016).

[19] Prasad, R., Srivastava, V., On ϕ-symmetric K-contact manifolds. IJRRAS 16 (2013), 104-110.

[20] Tanno, S., Isometric immersions of Sasakian manofolds in spheres. K¯odai Math. Sem. Rep. 21 (1969), 448-458.

[21] Tarafdar, D. and De, U.C., On K-contact manifolds. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math de Roumanie 37 (1993), 207-215.

[22] Tripathi, M. M. and De, U. C. Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds and their submanifolds. *J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.*, 2001, 8, 101–105.

[23] Yildiz, A., Ata, E.: On a type of K-contact manifolds. Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. 41, 567-571 (2012).