Twitter content analysis and ordinal Regression use artificial intelligence technology.

data,

Dolly
M.Tech. Scholar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Arya College of Engineering and IT
Jaipur, India
dollysharma2730@gmail.com

Dr. Vishal Shrivastava
Professor, Department of
Computer Science and Engineering,
Arya College of Engineering and IT
Jaipur, India
vishal500371@yahoo.co.in

Abstract- This study uses machine learning to identify sentiment analysis and ordinal Regression on Twitter. The government occasionally conducts surveys to evaluate people's perceptions of the virus regarding mental health, but this technique can be tedious, time-consuming, and expensive. This research examined the introduction of Twitter sentiment analysis and the issue description. Even with the survey, a tiny portion of the population can be contacted. This sample has become too small to anticipate, aid medical professionals in making accurate diagnoses, and assist them in coming up with solutions for ending this epidemic. Free access to massive data can be obtained with Twitter data. The government could depend on this data from preliminary findings even if Twitter data were unreliable since there may be fraudulent tweets. Following that, there was a discussion of sentiment analysis and Twitter. The discussion of Twitter sentiment analysis included various lexicon based, corpus-based, and dictionary-based techniques. Following the discussion of ordinal Regression, the topic of machine learning classification was covered. This included assistance vector machines, linear Regression, decision trees, regression analysis, CNNs, and KNNs.

Keywords—Twitter, sentiment analysis, ordinal Regression, machine learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Sentiment analysis, which also goes by the names "opinion mining" and "emotion Artificial Intelligence," uses text mining, computational linguistics, biometry, and processing of natural language to methodically detect, extract, rate, and analyze emotional states and data obtained. Sentiment research often focuses on the voice in client materials like social platforms, online polls, and reviews.

Typically, sentiment analysis uses very emotive or intense responses to a work, conversation, or event to create the theme related tone of a speaker, author, or other subjects. The position could be a dynamic analysis or judgment (i.e., the intensity of the creator or speaker) or a hope for euphoric responses (otherwise known as the effect desired by the buyer or maker). These days, there are many customer surveys and recommendations on every subject available online, and Surveys on issues like consumer feedback or film flaws, among other things, may be included in audits. Because people enjoy expressing their opinions online, surveys are growing quickly. Several surveys are available for single things, which causes difficulty for customers because they must read through each one before choosing. Therefore, it is crucial to analyze this differentiate customer reviews, and arrange them. Sentiment mining involves compiling the sentiments of comments made by various writers by reviewing a huge Computer program and transfer learning (Which can include) a large number of archives uses technologies. Information retrieval (IR) and computational etymology are two methodologies that this approach uses[1]. Positive, negative, or neutral emotions are classified according to their polarity (neutral). This was crucial to underline that the following three degrees of emotion mining are possible: [2]

- Data file statement classification: During this level, an entire document may be categorized as "positive," "negative," or "neutral."
- Each statement is classified as "positive," "negative," or "reasonable" at this stage, which is where sentiment is classified.
- Based on certain components of the Documents, phrases and archives may all be classified at this level as "positive," "negative," or "non-partisan." The term "perspective-level assessment grouping" is another name for this level.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Twitter sentiment analysis uses machine learning to categorize tweets as favorable, unfavorable, or neutral regarding their overall attitude. Machine learning techniques are frequently trained on a labeled dataset of tweets and associated emotions to achieve this. The mood of newly unread tweets may then be predicted using the algorithm.

While ordinal variables are categorical variables with a natural ordering, ordinal regression analysis extends the supervised learning approach used to predict them. Another example of an ordinal variable relates to how a movie was rated on a scale of 1 to 5. In this case, the issue statement would be "predicting the ordinal rating of a video based on specified features."

III. SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

Part of sentiment analysis is examining people's emotions, perspectives, attitudes, and opinions. That was often referred to as opinion mining. Sentiment analysis identifies and investigates the emotion represented in a text. Sentiment analysis has been employed to identify opinions, show sentiment, and classify polarity. Opinion mining refers to the method of analyzing the opinions expressed online in reviews. Opinion mining is a form of Consumer sentiment for a particular product that is tracked using natural language processing (NLP). A lot has been written on sentiment analysis to document polarity. For instance, movie reviews assist new users in deciding whether to see a certain film. Due to the overwhelming volume of reviews, there are no automatic ways to calculate their sentiment polarity.

Three layers make up the sentiment analysis. The content level, the feature level, and the phrase level.

Content-level: It rates the document as either favorable, negative, or neutral. Document-level sentiment categorization has been the term for it. The sentence's level indicates whether a phrase is neutral, positive, or negative. Sentiment categorization at the sentence level has been the term for it.

Aspect-level: It categorizes sentiments according to certain features of entities. Aspect-level sentiment categorization seems to be the term for it.

IV. Twitter

Twitter is a very well real-time microblogging website that lets users post 140-character tweets or brief pieces of information [3] [4]. Users tweet about many subjects related to their everyday lives to convey their opinions. Twitter has become the perfect tool for gathering public opinion on particular subjects [5]. The primary corpus for sentiment analysis—also known as opinion mining or human language processing—became tweets[6]. By extracting and examining public tweets about their services, goods, markets, and rivals, organizations can now easily monitor their reputations and brands on the Internet. Tweets, reviews, blogs, and other opinion texts have increased with the Internet's growth.

V. Twitter sentiment analysis Tweets and comments show emotion, which can be useful for many applications. [7]. Negative and positive words describe moods, to Natural language processing about analysis to measure tweets' stated opinions. Content analysis is a generic method for separating subjectivity and polarity from a text's semantic direction. Lexicon-based and machine-learning sentiment extraction are the main methods.

A. Lexicon-based approach

This method uses dictionaries. This stage involves determining the orientation of a text by using the document's words' semantic polarity [8]. Unlike a machine learning technique, the lexicon-based method does not require data storage. To determine the orientation of a document, it uses dictionaries or lexicons. A text's Semantic Orientation (SO) serves as a gauge of subjectivity and opinion and captures word and phrase polarity and impact. These terms set the paper's mood. You may either manually or automatically develop an opinion lexicon. Integrating the human process of building the opinion lexicon with other automatic approaches is necessary because it might be time-consuming [9]. This discusses the common lexicon and category-specific lexicon, manual dictionaries. Split words, negation, and blind negation words, as well as default sentiment words with the same emotion value, make up the common lexicon.

B. Corpus-based approach

As was previously said, a corpus-based technique entails creating classifiers from training data. A collection of training examples of an input item and a desired output value. Several ways for sentiment analysis take advantage of this idea; I will categorize them into two groups: using neural networks and classifiers together for sentiment analysis ii) [10].

C. Dictionary-based

The word strategy requires individually compiling a limited set of terms with known orientations and then searching well known datasets for synonyms and antonyms. [11]. Thesaurus and WordNet are two well-known corpora. Once more, until no new words are discovered, the original list includes these newly discovered words. This method cannot find context- and domain-specific opinion words.

VI. Ordinal regression

Ordinal transformation, which categorizes data utilizing naturally ordered labels, was crucial in many data-rich scientific fields. The current approaches are divided into three categories under the generally used taxonomy of ordinal Regression: naïve, countable binary degradation, and cutoff designs [12].

The naïve techniques transform the ordinal labels into numeric values before doing conventional regression or support vector regression [13]. Since the distance between classes has to be unknown, real label values may hurt regression performance. Additionally, rather than being sensitive to their orders, Regression learners are label-sensitive. There are ways to decompose ordinal labels into a number of binary ones that various models may subsequently estimate [14]. For example, converts U-class ordinal issues to U1-ordered ordered classification tasks and trains U-1-1 binary models to be trained using the Using a decision tree learner as a classification technique. The original ranks are encoded.

VII. Machine learning

There are two primary approaches to tweet sentiment classification: dictionary and Regression. Use a variety of machine learning approaches to categorize text and analyze sentiment using data from Twitter. These methods use training and testing data to practice algorithms. To determine a certain dataset's correctness, various techniques, including Multinomial Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression, are utilized.

Supervised: Supervised learning is used to learn input-output functions. To infer a function, it uses many samples and labeled training data. Supervised learning has been used when a task driven methodology or specific inputs may accomplish particular objectives [15]. Most supervised tasks involve classification and Regression. For instance, text classification, also known as supervised learning, becomes a process of determining the tone of a tweet or product review.

Unsupervised: Data-driven unsupervised learning analyses

unlabeled datasets without human intervention. [16]. Common

uses included extracting generative features, identifying pertinent patterns and systems, identifying outcome groupings, and experimental justifications. Popular unsupervised learning problems include grouping, density estimation, feature learning, data preprocessing, frequent pattern, intrusion detection, and others.

K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN)

KNN has become a form of lazy learning or instance-based learning. In this approach, all computation was postponed until classification, and the function can be roughly local. Of all machine learning algorithms, it has been the simplest. The outcome of the KNN classification provides class membership. By receiving the most votes from its closest k neighbors, an item is categorized by a majority vote of those neighbors (k is a small positive integer). Utilizing a similarity metric, the closest neighbor was identified; typically, distance functions are used. The KNN [17] distance function is listed below.

Euclidean distance function

��

√∑(������ −������)²

��=1

Manhattan distance function

��

the conditional probability of occurrence, it is classified and

∑ |������ ��=

− ������|

V. LITERATURE REVIEW

neurons to create layers. [20].

grouped. [19].

Likelihood Class prior probability

��(����|��) =��(����|��)��(��)

��(��)

Posterior Probability

��(����|��) = ��(������|��) × ��(��₂|��) ...

× ��(������|��) × ��(��)

Deep learning model (CNN)

Deep convolution networks process data using multiple array layers. The major use of CNN is pattern recognition. The primary distinction between a CNN and a regular neural network is that a CNN does analysis rather than feature extraction; instead, it accepts input in the form of a two dimensional array. Local respective fields, convolution, and pooling are CNN fundamentals. Neural network layers are connected to input neurons. As you progress from one layer to another, the connections between the layers teach you how much weight each buried neuron has. Shared weights map these input-to-hidden connections. CNN pools previous-level

Training datasets are (a1, b1), (a2,b2), (a3,b3),... (aN, bN).

Support Vector Machine

Support vector machines assess data, specify decision limits, and compute in input space using kernels. Two m-vector sets are input. Then, Classify each vector-representable piece of data. Next, they find the gap between the two classes far from any document. By increasing the buffer, which the distance has determined, indecision was decreased. In addition to supporting classification and Regression, which are helpful for statistical learning theory, SVM also aids in identifying the specific aspects that must be considered to grasp it correctly.

Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression has been the type of Regression utilized for categorization purposes. Logistic Regression links one categorical factor to a single or more independent variable. Regression analysis can identify high energy that optimizes class distance. [18]. The logistic regression classifier's parameters are Temperature =.01 and max iter = 100. Y=e (1+e (b0 + b1*x)) /

In this case, the projected output, bias, and single input coefficient are y, b0, and b1 (x).

Naïve Bayes

Admiral Bayes describes a predictor-independent Bayesian classification technique. Expressed, a classifier using Naive Bayes thinks each character in a class is unrelated to every other feature. Usually, Nave Bayes has been used to classify texts. By

Jayakody and Kumara [2021] To determine the best "model vectorizing" combinations from those mentioned above, this study’s six key comparisons are made. " Logistic Regression with Count Vectorizer" was the most accurate at 88.26%. Calculate true positive and true negative rates[21].

Alsalman [2020] Arabic sentiment analysis of Twitter's positive and negative tweets is proposed. This study by using a corpus-based technique. Stemming, TF-IDF, and DMNB are used in addition to N-gram tokenizers. The suggested sentiment analysis approach has been evaluated on a publicly accessible Twitter dataset. The outcomes of the trials demonstrated how effective the proposed technique was. The technique also outperformed the task and improved accuracy by 0.3%[22].

Rashid et al. [2020] This study used Apache Hive to extract Twitter Streaming Data from Hadoop's HDFS using Flume. After that, the emotion present in the study was analyzed using Apache Mahout's machine-learning classification algorithms. A hybrid Nave Bayes and Decision Tree Algorithm were used to improve streaming Twitter sentiment analysis. Compared to the Naive Bayes Classifier's 81.11% accuracy, the research method's accuracy is 86.44%[23].

There are 1.6 million tweets in the first dataset, "Sentiment140," from Stanford University. "Crowd flower's Data for Everyone library," the second dataset, has 13870 entries. Based on the emotions displayed in both datasets, prior classifications were constructed. On the dataset, only, Sent wordnets, Model

performance, Lm, Svc, and Fully convolutional Classifiers are used and contrasted. These classifiers divide tweets into groups based on whether they convey a favorable or negative attitude. The datasets were run through an ensemble version of a Compressed file, Svm, and Classifier, and the results were compared to those of machine learning techniques. The trained models previously stated it might also be utilized to foretell the tone of new data.

Ho et al. [2019] This study's two-stage data analysis method combine machine learning and combinatorial fusion techniques. The first stage uses a support vector machine, perceptron, random forest, naive Bayes, and logistic Regression (SVM). Then, a portion of these five approaches is fused via combinatorial fusion. Using a Kaggle dataset, they classify each tweet as having a favorable, neutral, or negative sentiment to conduct our research[24].
They demonstrate that while most machine learning algorithms perform well, they can perform much better when paired with additional algorithms with better performance ratios and more diverse cognitive structures.

Miranda et al. [2019] This study used Sentiwordnet and machine learning to create an Indonesian general election sentiment analysis model from Twitter content. The tweet's data was taken to be the username and the actual tweet. Joko Widodo and Prabowo Subianto, two contenders for the 2019 general election, were highlighted in the tweet. Data was gathered throughout the campaign, which lasted from November 13, 2018, to January 11, 2019. Indonesian was used in the tweet. The findings indicated that Joko Widodo had a 74.94% Nave Bayes classification accuracy rate for sentiment classification, whereas Prabowo had a 71.37% accuracy rate[25].

Gupta et al. [2019] This study's primary objective has been to use machine learning methods to assess the sentiment of Twitter messages. Deterministic Regression, Support Vector Machine, Decision Tree Classifier, and Neural Network are the main machine learning methods. Examining results and noting which methods work best[26].

Saad and Yang [2019] This study employs machine learning techniques to do a detailed ordinal regression-based sentiment analysis of tweets. It was advised to pre-process tweets and use a feature extraction technique to get an effective feature set. These components—scoring and balance—are categorized under many headings. The proposed system does sentiment analysis classification using the Random Forest (RF), Methods such as Classifier Linear extrapolation (SVR), Multivariate Regression Modelling (SoftMax), and Classification Trees (DT). This system's actual implementation uses a publically available NLTK corpus-provided Twitter dataset. Machine learning can detect ordinal Regression, according to experiments. Studies also demonstrate that Decision Trees outperform all other algorithms and get the best results[27].

Samantha, Shetty, and Rai [2019] This study searches for a word in tweets or reviews to find their attitudes, then evaluates their polarity as positive or negative. The feature selection of each score word determines Twitter tweet emotions. The Naive Bayes Classifier (NBC) trains, tests, and evaluates tweet sentiment polarity to select the best features. Metrics including accuracy, precision, and time were used to evaluate the performance of Strange Forest, Probabilistic Neural network (pnn, and Logistic Regression (SVM) [28].

Othman et al. [2019] In this paper, a comprehensive average deep convolutional approach based on modified delta TFIDF was introduced, which adds emotion to continuous word representation. The final polarity was decided by a majority vote using the Machine Learning Approach, Vector Machine (svm, and Naïve Bayesian classifiers. Our research's findings are promising and much superior to those of unweighted embeddings and term-inverse document frequency methods (TFIDF) [29].

Bilgin and Senturk [2017] This project aimed to employ Doc2Vec to do Turkish but rather English Twitter sentiment classification. The Doc2Vec method was applied to Semi Supervised learning data labeled Positive, Negative, and Polarity, and the results were recorded[30].

Mishra, Rajnish, and Kumar [2016] In this work, I have gathered these opinions and classed the polarity of the opinions in this work as Positive, Negative, or Neutral. Twitter API can be used to gather data from Twitter for analysis. They are employing the Dictionary-Based Based method to evaluate data submitted by various users of machine learning and dictionary based sentiment analysis. The data can be classified based on polarity. This essay talks about Twitter sentiment analysis tools, relevant research, the framework designers used, a case study to show the work, and the results section that follows. Results unambiguously show that 50% of the opinions gathered are positive, 20% are negative, and the remaining 30% are indifferent[31].

Goel, Gautam, and Kumar [2016] This work present a strategy to enhance the categorization and implement Twitter sentiment 140-trained Naive Bayes. SentiWordNet scores confidence, negative energy, and comparability for terms found in tweets may be used in conjunction with Naive Bayes to increase the categorization accuracy of tweets. This system was built using Python, NLTK, and Twitter APIs[32].

Deepa, Raaji, and Tamilarasi [2019] The suggested method uses feature extraction and dictionary-based techniques to identify the polarity of words from Twitter. A machine learning classifier can be used to compare engineering methods used in the process, including Count Vectorizer, TF-IDF, and Word2Vec. SentiWordNet and VADER dictionaries are also used to score each word. The outcomes make clear that feature extraction performs better than the dictionary-based approach.

The suggested model provides greater polarity detection accuracy[33].

Ibrahim and Yusoff [2015] Based on trainers' assessment, this work proposes classifying tweet sentiment as positive, negative, or neutral. Perception training used 50 Facebook tweets about Malaysia and Maybank. Twenty-seven trainers took part in this study. We asked each trainee to categorize the emotion of 25 tweets using each keyword. The remaining 25 tweets were then trained using Naive Bayes using the results from the classification training as the input. The Naive Bayes sentiment classification findings were then sent to trainers for confirmation. The accuracy of this study, as determined by the total number of correctly identified tweets, was 90% and 14%[34].

Kanakaraj and Guddeti [2015] In this work, Twitter tweets are used to analyze the societal sentiment toward a specific piece of news. The research focused on improving classification accuracy using Machine Learning (ml) techniques, including sentiment classification and interpretation. Ensemble classification assessed sentiment in mined text data. Ensemble classification uses multiple classifiers to solve a classification problem. Ensemble classifiers outperform machine learning classifiers by 3–5% [35].

Meral and Diri [2014] By gathering information from Twitter, sentiment analysis has been carried out in this work. This study used Naive Bayes, Randomized Forest, and Svm Classifiers as algorithms for machine learning to create an intelligent system that compared the results[36].

IX.CONCLUSION

Our method's full independence from the language used in the papers we wish to evaluate is one of its main advantages. This method can create sentiment assessment models in any language. Only N-Grams from the training papers are used as input for the algorithm; no lexicon was utilized. A mechanism to recognize a document's language and use the model to rate it automatically would be a useful and easy future development. Language-based N-Gram Frequency Profiles can classify texts. The hardest thing to perform to design a sentiment analysis tool that is fully functional is to develop a system that will identify all the components of a document. The same technique should then divide the sentences into their proper portions. These components will be sent to our algorithm as rating-pending parameters. The relevant model will then be selected for assessment based on the ontology to which each entity belongs.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Xia, C. Zong, and S. Li, "Ensemble of feature sets and classification algorithms for sentiment classification," *Inf. Sci. (Ny).*, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2010.11.023.

[2] R. Sharma, S. Nigam, and R. Jain, "Polarity Detection at Sentence Level," *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, 2014, doi: 10.5120/15031-3349.

[3] P. Lai, "Extracting Strong Sentiment Trends from Twitter," *Nlpstanfordedu*, 2012.

[4] S. Lohmann, M. Burch, H. Schmauder, and D. Weiskopf, "Visual analysis of microblog content using time-varying co-occurrence highlighting in tag clouds," 2012, doi: 10.1145/2254556.2254701.

[5] A. Pak and P. Paroubek, "Twitter as a corpus for sentiment analysis and opinion mining," 2010, doi: 10.17148/ijarcce.2016.51274.

[6] M. Rambocas and J. Gama, "The Role of Sentiment Analysis," *FEP Work. Pap.*, vol. 489, no. April, pp. 17–18, 2013.

[7] M. Annett and G. Kondrak, "A comparison of sentiment analysis techniques: Polarizing movie blogs," 2008, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-68825-9_3.

[8] J. Kroeze, M. Matthee, and T. Bothma, "Differentiating data-and text-mining terminology," ... *South African Inst. ...*, 2003.

[9] S. Alhojely, "Sentiment Analysis and Opinion Mining: A Survey," *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, 2016, doi: 10.5120/ijca2016911545.

[10] J. Carlos Duarte Santos Oliveira Violante, B. Emanuel da Graça Martins Pavél Pereira Calado, L. Manuel Antunes Veiga Supervisor, and B. Emanuel da Graça Martins, "Sentiment Analysis with Deep Neural Networks Telecommunications and Informatics

Engineering Examination Committee," no. November, 2016.

[11] M. Hu and B. Liu, "Mining and Summarizing Customer Reviews," in *Proceedings of the Tenth ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2004, pp. 168–177, doi: 10.1145/1014052.1014073.

[12] P. A. Gutiérrez, M. Pérez-Ortiz, J. Sánchez-Monedero, F. Fernández-Navarro, and C. Hervás-Martínez, "Ordinal Regression Methods: Survey and Experimental Study," 2016, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2015.2457911.

[13] I. H. Witten, E. Frank, M. A. Hall, and C. J. Pal, *Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques*. 2016.

[14] E. Frank and M. Hall, "A simple approach to ordinal classification," 2001, doi: 10.1007/3-540-44795-4_13. [15] I. H. Sarker, A. S. M. Kayes, S. Badsha, H. Alqahtani, P. Watters, and A. Ng, "Cybersecurity data science: an overview from a machine learning perspective," *J. Big Data*, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40537-020-00318-5.

[16] J. Han, J. Pei, and Y. Yin, "Mining frequent patterns without candidate generation," *SIGMOD Rec. (ACM Spec. Interes. Gr. Manag. Data)*, 2000, doi: 10.1145/335191.335372.

[17] S. Goyal, "Review Paper on Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Data Using Text Mining and Hybrid Classification Approach," *International J. Eng. Dev. Res.*, 2017.

[18] P. K. K. K. Sentamilselvan, D. Aneri, A. C. Athithiya, "Twitter sentimental analysis using machine learning techniques," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, vol.

9, no. 3, pp. 2249–8958, 2020, doi: 10.35940/ijeat.c6281.029320.

[19] B. Mahesh, "Machine Learning Algorithms-A Review Machine Learning Algorithms-A Review View project Self Flowing Generator View project Batta Mahesh Independent Researcher Machine Learning Algorithms-A Review," *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, 2018.

[20] N. Majumder, S. Poria, A. Gelbukh, and E. Cambria, "Deep Learning-Based Document Modeling for Personality Detection from Text," *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.1109/MIS.2017.23.

[21] J. P. U. S. D. Jayakody and B. T. G. S. Kumara, "Sentiment analysis on product reviews on Twitter using Machine Learning Approaches," in *2021 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Application (DASA)*, 2021, pp. 1056–1061, doi: 10.1109/DASA53625.2021.9682291.

[22] H. Alsalman, "An Improved Approach for Sentiment Analysis of Arabic Tweets in Twitter Social Media," 2020, doi: 10.1109/ICCAIS48893.2020.9096850.

[23] M. Rashid, A. Hamid, N. Ahmad, M. B. Rehman, and M. M. Yousuf, "Novel Machine Learning Approach for Sentiment Analysis of Real-Time Twitter Data with Apache Flume," in *2020 Sixth International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Grid Computing (PDGC)*, 2020, pp. 336–340, doi: 10.1109/PDGC50313.2020.9315782.

[24] J. Ho, D. Ondusko, B. Roy, and D. F. Hsu, "Sentiment Analysis on Tweets Using Machine Learning and Combinatorial Fusion," in *2019 IEEE Intl Conf on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, Intl Conf on Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, Intl Conf on Cloud and Big Data Computing, Intl Conf on Cyber Science and Technology Congress (DASC/PiCom/CBDCom/CyberSciTech)*, 2019, pp. 1066–1071, doi: 10.1109/DASC/PiCom/CBDCom/CyberSciTech.2019.00191.

[25] E. Miranda, M. Aryuni, R. Hariyanto, and E. S. Surya, "Sentiment Analysis using Sentiwordnet and Machine Learning Approach (Indonesia general election opinion from the Twitter content)," in *2019 International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech)*, 2019, vol. 1, pp. 62–67, doi: 10.1109/ICIMTech.2019.8843734.

[26] A. Gupta, A. Singh, I. Pandita, and H. Parashar, "Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Posts using Machine Learning Algorithms," in *2019 6th International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)*, 2019, pp. 980–983.

[27] S. E. Saad and J. Yang, "Twitter Sentiment Analysis Based on Ordinal Regression," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 163677–163685, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2952127.

[28] R. B. Samantha, S. M. Shetty, and P. Rai, "Sentiment Analysis Using Machine Learning Classifiers: Evaluation of Performance," in *2019 IEEE 4th International Conference on Computer and Communication Systems (ICCCS)*, 2019, pp. 21–25, doi: 10.1109/CCOMS.2019.8821650.

[29] R. Othman, Y. Abdelsadek, K. Chelghoum, I. Kacem, and R. Faiz, "Improving Sentiment Analysis in Twitter Using Sentiment Specific Word Embeddings," in *2019 10th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data Acquisition and Advanced Computing Systems: Technology and Applications (IDAACS)*, 2019, vol. 2, pp. 854–858, doi: 10.1109/IDAACS.2019.8924403.

[30] M. Bilgin and İ. F. Şentürk, "Sentiment analysis on Twitter data with semi-supervised Doc2Vec," in *2017 International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering (UBMK)*, 2017, pp. 661–666, doi: 10.1109/UBMK.2017.8093492.

[31] P. Mishra, R. Rajnish, and P. Kumar, "Sentiment analysis of Twitter data: A case study on digital India," in *2016 International Conference on Information Technology (InCITe) - The Next Generation IT Summit on the*

Theme - Internet of Things: Connect your Worlds, 2016, pp. 148–153, doi: 10.1109/INCITE.2016.7857607.
[32] A. Goel, J. Gautam, and S. Kumar, "Real-time sentiment analysis of tweets using Naive Bayes," in *2016 2nd International Conference on Next Generation Computing Technologies (NGCT)*, 2016, pp. 257–261, doi: 10.1109/NGCT.2016.7877424.
[33] D. Deepa, Raaji, and A. Tamilarasi, "Sentiment Analysis using Feature Extraction and Dictionary Based Approaches," in *2019 Third International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC)*, 2019, pp. 786–790, doi: 10.1109/I-SMAC47947.2019.9032456.
[34] M. N. M. Ibrahim and M. Z. M. Yusoff, "Twitter sentiment classification using Naive Bayes based on trainer perception," in *2015 IEEE Conference on e Learning, e-Management and e-Services (IC3e)*, 2015, pp. 187–189, doi: 10.1109/IC3e.2015.7403510.
[35] M. Kanakaraj and R. M. R. Guddeti, "Performance analysis of Ensemble methods on Twitter sentiment analysis using NLP techniques," in *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE 9th International Conference on Semantic Computing (IEEE ICSC 2015)*, 2015, pp. 169–170, doi: 10.1109/ICOSC.2015.7050801.
[36] M. Meral and B. Diri, "Sentiment analysis on Twitter," in *2014 22nd Signal Processing and Communications Applications Conference (SIU)*, 2014, pp. 690–693, doi: 10.1109/SIU.2014.6830323.