Effect of coating on different components of the lithium batteries for energy storage

Devyanshu Sachdeva, Navneet Kaur Bhullarb, Kamlesh Kumaric

aDr. Shanti Swarup Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology (Formerly Department of Chemical Engineering & Technology), Panjab University, Sector14, Chandigarh, India

bDepartment of Chemical Engineering, University Institute of Engineering, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India

cDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering & Technology (SLIET), Longowal, Punjab

**Abstract**

Energy storage has grown into a key area of research for both industry and academia in recent times, as the energy issue has gotten worse. Surface coatings play a significant role in the energy storage of various types including chemical, electrochemical, electrical, mechanical and thermal energy. Surface coatings are barriers that are artificial often comprised of electrochemically active or inactive coating materials, placed to the surfaces of different components of lithium ion batteries (LIBs) to provide a better electrochemical performance of the materials by limiting the potentially damaging side reactions. The selection of the right coating material and thickness is crucial which helps in improving different characteristics of the different components of batteries. By expanding the surface area, coatings also assist in improving the efficiency of storage systems. It is possible to incorporate coating materials directly into substrates without the need for further morphological deformations. This chapter focuses on the effect of coating on the different components of LIBs and its usage for energy storage.

**Keywords**: Energy storage materials, coating, lithium ion batteries coatings, energy storage systems

1. **INTRODUCTION**

The outstanding overall performance of LIBs in all aspects, including cost and electrochemistry, has attracted a lot of attention lately [1]. They are extensively used as power sources in numerous kinds of applications because of their high energy densities, high coulombic efficiency, low self-discharge characteristics and wide range of chemical potentials accessible with different electrode designs [2]. The evolution of LIBs next generation with high charge capacities and power densities for electric vehicles (EV) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) which finds applications in aerospace and automotive electronic devices, in particular, has become growing in significance over the past ten years [3–6].

The most significant barrier in battery design is to ensure that electrodes stay in good shape which will result in batteries with outstanding capacity retention and a long cycle life. The different characteristics of different components of the batteries such as cathode, anode, electrolyte and separator are improved via modification by coating some polymer nanocomposites, inorganic particles and inorganic/organic composites [7–9]. The enhancement of thermal and electrochemical stability of the materials of the batteries plays an important role by introducing different types of coatings such as polymer, metal oxide and metal-based coating which further helps to mitigate unfavorable side reactions which enhances the performance of the batteries [10–13]. In context of surface engineering, a coating is a layer of material that is applied to a substrate in order to enhance the surface characteristics and provide various sorts of protection including resistance to corrosion, abrasion and other factors. The size and shape of the component, the service environment in which it will be used, the anticipated duration of its useful life, the underlying materials that are suitable with it, the cost and other variables all play a role in choice of a coating for the for each component of the LIBs. The different applications for the coatings include anti-bacterial, anti-corrosion, anti-fouling, energy storage, fire resistance, optical, self-healing, scratch resistance and shock resistance [14,15].

1. **DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES**
   1. Cathode materials: The significant proportion of cathode materials are transition metal oxides that can be oxidized to higher valences when lithium is eliminated [16,17]. Although oxidizing the transition metal can keep the compound’s charge neutral, substantial composition changes cause numerous phase transitions, necessitating the use of crystalline composition which are stable throughout across a wide range of composition. The charging process, when the majority (preferably all) of the lithium is withdrawn from the cathode, presents a unique challenge for this structural stability. During the discharge, the introduction of lithium into the cathode material, and electrons from the anode lower the valence of the transition metal ions there. The maximum discharge current is governed by the rates of these two processes as well as the accessibility of the lithium ions in the electrolyte to the electrode surface. The cathode’s performance is heavily influenced by the electrode’s microstructure, morphology and inherent electrochemical properties because exchange of lithium ions with the electrolyte takes place at the electrode-electrolyte interface. There has been a lot of research done on the usage of nano-structured electrodes with high interfacial and surface areas to enhance the performance [18–22]. LiCoO2 is the cathode material that is most frequently implemented in LIBs [23] which develops the ɑ-NaFeO2 structure, a distorted rock-salt structure where the cations are arranged in the alternate (111) planes. This arrangement creates planes of lithium ions across which lithiation and delithiation can take place [24]. The alternative cathode materials are being explored in order to reduce cost and increase stability, not withstanding LiCoO2’s effectiveness as a cathode material. The different class of materials such as lithium-nickel based materials, lithium manganese-based materials, vanadium-based materials and phosphate-based materials are better in some or another form in comparison to LiCoO2. The ɑ-NaFeO2 structure of LiNiO2 provides the lower cost and higher energy density but it less ordered and less stable in comparison to LiCoO2 [25,26]. In another set of promising materials, LiMn2O4 in which manganese occupies the octahedral sites whereas the tetrahedral sites are predominantly occupied by lithium which further forms the a spinel structure () which reduced the cost and is safer in comparison to LiCoO2 but it has inferior capacity in comparison to the ɑ-NaFeO2 structure [27–29]. In addition to that there is a phase change occurs during cycling for LiMnO2 [30,31]. In another set, the vanadium oxide forms layered compounds which has multiple valences which makes it a potential material as a electrode material forms orthorhombic and monoclinic for V2O5 and LiV3O8 respectively which provides a high capacities but relative low voltages [16]. The phosphates can also be a promising category of cathode materials having olivine structure (Pnma) i.e., (LiMPO4), in which tetrahedral sites are occupied by the phosphorous whereas octahedral sites are occupied by transition metal. In addition to that, one-dimensional chains of lithium are formed along the (010) direction [32].
   2. Anode Materials: Anode is one of the essential components for the LIBs. Presently, anode material has more potential for advancement than the cathode material. The negative electrode of LIBs was the initial application of lithium metal but due to the ease with which dendrites might develop during the reaction, its commercial use was constrained. There has a been a variety of materials which are being used as anode materials which can be assorted into carbon-based materials, alloying-based materials, transition metal oxide-based materials and metal organic framework-based materials which is due to their enormous porosity and surface area [33]. Over the past 20 years, negative electrode materials made of graphite have been increasingly popular since they are secure and safe for the environment. Nevertheless, there are disadvantages to graphite-based negative electrode materials as well. Specifically, their low specific capacities resulted in problems with low computational efficiency and poor rate performance, which are currently the main reasons why LIBs operate poorly. Therefore, a lot of time and effort has been put into finding appropriate materials for anode but often improving one aspect of performance means sacrificing other qualities. The application of novel materials from MOFs in LIBs is depicted in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Application of novel materials from MOFs in LIBs. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier [33]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Classification | Morphology | MOF utilized | Initial capacity | Cycling stability | Ref |
| Porous structure | Mesoporous ɑ-Fe2O3 | MIL-88 Fe | 940 mAh g-1 at 0.2C | 911 mAh g-1 after 50 cycles | [34] |
| Nitrogen doped carbon nanotubes | ZIF-67 | 102 mAh g-1 at 2000 mA g-1 | Without evident capacity loss over 500 cycles at 2000 mA g-1 | [35] |
| Single-shell hollow structure | CoS2 nanobubble hollow prisms | ZIF-67 | 864 mAh g-1 | 737 mAh g-1 after 200 cycles | [36] |
| CoSe@C nanoboxes | ZIF-67 | 1016 mAh g-1 at 0.2 A g-1 | 860 mAh g-1 after 100 cycles | [37] |
| Co3O4/TiO2 hollow polyhedrons | ZIF-67 | 730 mAh g-1 at 500 mA g-1 | 642 mAh g-1 after 200 cycles at 500 mA g-1 | [38] |
| Hollow Co3O4 microfibers | Co-MOF | 1177.4 mAh g-1 at 100 mA g-1 | 76.7% capacity retention after 200 cycles | [39] |
| MnO@C microcages | Mn-MOF | 1450.5 mAh g-1 at 0.1 A g-1 | 805 mAh g-1 after 2000 cycles at 1 A g-1 | [40] |
| CuO/C microcubes | Cu-MOF | 1150.9 mAh g-1 at 100 mA g-1 | 510.5 mAh g-1 after 200 cycles at 100 mA g-1 | [41] |
| Carbon-coated Fe3O4/VOx Microboxes | Prussian blue | 1138 mAh g-1 at 0.5 A g-1 | 742 mAh g-1 after 400 cycles at 0.5 A g-1 | [42] |
| Multi-Shell hollow structure | Multilayer CuO@NiO hollow spheres | Cu-MOF | 1218 mAh g-1 at 100 mA g-1 | 1061 mAh g-1 after 200 cycles | [43] |
| Hierarchical hollow NiO/Ni/Graphene | Ni-MOF | 1144 mAh g-1 at 200 mA g-1 | Nearly no capacity loss after 1000 cycles | [44] |
| SnO2@Fe2O3 hollow multi-shelled structures | MIL-100 | 1908 mAh g-1 at 100 mA g-1 | 750 mAh g-1 after 100 cycles at 100 mA g-1 | [45] |

* 1.  Electrolyte Materials: The solid electrolyte’s incorporation simplifies cell design, elevates safety and durability and does away with the necessity to contain the liquid electrolyte. Inorganic ceramics and organic polymers are the two main categories of materials utilized as solid electrolytes in LIBs [46] . The mechanical qualities are the most noticeable distinction between these types. Ceramics are better suited for stiff battery designs than other materials such as thin film based devices, because of their large elastic moduli. Contrarily, flexible battery designs benefit from the low elastic moduli of polymers. Additionally, polymers are typically simpler to producer than ceramics, which lowers the cost of production. Ceramics, on the other hand, are better suited to hotter or more hostile settings. The ionic conductivity data from the literature and compares them using Arrhenius-type graphs [46] . This compilation serves as a basis for comparing different electrolyte systems and determining the conductivity ranges for various electrolyte materials. The different classification of electrolyte is depicted in **Fig 1** [46,47].

Figure 1: Different classifications of electrolytes for LIBs


* 1. Separator Materials: The separator has the characteristic of a porous substance which enables lithium ions to pass through it while also serving as electrical insultation between the electrodes. A lithium-ion cell’s safety and performance depend on the electrolyte’s wettability and the contact between the electrodes and separator. To prevent an internal short circuit, the separator also needs to be able to maintain insultation between the electrodes [48]. In the assembly operations for jelly roll and z-fold stack cells, the separator must be mechanically robust enough to endure the stress and compression experience during winding [49,50]. In separator rupture caused by mechanical stresses should be decreased by increase in the mechanical strength [51] whilst higher separator thermal stability can delay the process of melting [52,53]. An internal short circuit event could result from melting or rupturing of the separator [54–56]. **Figure 2** illustrates the flowchart which depicts the importance of separator in the LIBs.

Figure 2: An illustration of the thermal runaway process in a flow chart that emphasizes the crucial roles of the separator and the liquid electrolyte. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier [57].

1. **COATING ON DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF LITHIUM ION BATTERIES**
   1. **CATHODE**

The ability of surface coating for improving different characteristics of LIBs such as thermal stability, capacity retention and rate capability. The different classes of coating materials have been investigated which includes carbon, metal oxides, metal carbonates, metal aluminates, metal fluorides, metal phosphates [58]. The researchers from China worked on the Li1.2Mn0.54Ni0.13Co0.13O2 coated with CaF2 as cathode materials for LIBs which helped in enhancing the electrochemical efficiency of 91.2% of 0.2 C after 80 cycles for coated specimen and showed a discharge capacity of 190 mAh g-1 at 1C after 100 cycles which was higher in comparison to the pristine specimen which might be attributed to the stable structure of the electrolyte/electrode interface, the rapid transition from the layered phase to the spinel phase, and the suppression of electrolyte decomposition [59]. The investigation on Ni-rich layered oxide LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.2O2 cathode material coated with dihexadecyl phosphate showed an initial capacity of 168.7 mAh g-1 at 2 C in comparison to 134.7 mAh g-1 for pristine specimen [60]. Another research group from China worked on the FePO4 coated on LiCoO2 and after 400 cycles, LiCoO2 still performs electrochemically well with initial discharge capacities of 146 and 155 mAh g-1 and higher capacity retention of 88.7 and 82.5% respectively [61]. The researchers from Australia and China investigated on the design and fabrication of cathode LiNi0.9Co0.1O2 which was doped with Al and coated with LiAlO2 which employs an oxalate-assisted deposition and subsequent thermally driven diffusion

approach which has enabled the Ni rich cathode to maintain 97.4% cycle performance after 100 cycles and 127.7 mAh g-1 with a rapid charging capacity and capacity loss of 5.6% only at 20 C for a 500 long cycle life [62]. The investigation on double coated nickel-based cation disordered cathode for LIBs with carbon-Al2O3 coating in which C/Al2O3 coated oxide provided improved long term electrochemical performance with better capacity and cycling retention (around 149 mA h g-1 after 200 cycles at 40 mA g-1 equal to the capacity retention of 76.1%) that reported Ni based DRS oxides [63]. In addition to demonstrating a high specific capacity of 135 mAh g-1 at 10 C, the development of Ti doped and LiYO2 coated on a Ni rich cathode for LIBs also revealed no capacity loss at 1 C for 100 cycles

[64]. **Figure 3** illustrate the typical families of cathode materials [65].

Figure 3: Typical families of cathode materials

* 1. **ANODE**

The coating on the anode of LIBs has a significant impact on the overall performance, durability and safety. The coating provides essential characteristics such as enhancement of safety, protection from undesirable reactions and significantly improved stability and cycle life. The researchers from Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China have investigated development of a facile and efficient by combining ball milling and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method for obtaining carbon coated nanosized Li3VO4 for enhancement conductivity of the material whereas compared to its untreated counterpart, this modified Li3VO4 has better rate performance, a higher reversible capacity (from 225 to 315 mA h g-1), and a higher first-coulombic efficiency (from 68.8% to 79.5%) [66]. In addition to that, the carbon coated nanosized Li3VO4 with only 5% conductive additive exhibits better performance than the micro sized raw Li3VO4­ with 10% conductive additive, showing the important effect of particle size and electronic conductivity on the electrochemical characteristics of Li3VO4 [66]. The researchers from China and Canada collaborated to develop the anode in which the SiO2 nanotubes were coated with biomass derived carbon materials due to which it helped to enhance the conductivity and in addition to that the uniform dispersion of SNTs-@C-PLDF in particular exhibited the finest electrochemical performance corresponding to which it also exhibited high specific capacity and excellent rate capability of 661 mAh g-1 and 262 mAh g-1 respectively at 3000 mA g-1 [67]. SNTs-@C-PLDF exhibited a capacity of 549 mAh g-1 at 1000 mA g-1 after 800 cycles with improved cycling stability. The logic behind the remarkable performance of SNTs@C-PLDF can be attributed to hollow structure of SNTs coupled with highly developed 3D electrode and in addition to that the Li+ movement and account for the SNT’s changing volume cycle which help in having high capacity and high cycling life and rate [67]. The Si anode in LIBs were coated with aluminum oxynitride through atomic layer deposition [68] which helped to elevate the capacity retention to 72.3% in comparison to 13.3% for the bare Si electrode. The promising cycle stability was also observed i.e., after 140 cycles, the reversible capacity increased from 331 mA h g-1 for bare Si electrode to 1297 mAh g-1 for AlOxNy-coated one, and the capacity retention increased from 13 to 72% with the ideal AlOxNy coating (~2 nm) [68]. After cycling, it was observed that the coating considerably reduced the charge transfer and solid electrolyte interface resistance and preserved the structural integration of Si electrodes by preventing electrode delamination and electrolyte degradation from the current collector [68]. **Table 2** represents the comparison of coated with anode for LIBs.

Table 2: Comparison of coated anode materials for LIBs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Anode material | Type of coating | Current density  (A g-1) | Capacity  (mAh g-1) | No. of cycles | Ref. |
| Graphite | AlF3 | - | - | 300 | [69] |
| Silicon | Carbon |  | HAR: 800 | - | [70] |
| Silicon/ graphene/ CNT | Polydopamine carbon | 0.1 | RC: 1946 | 100 | [71] |
| Sn/SnO2 | NDC | 0.1 | DSC: 1058  RDSC: 486.5 | 100 | [72] |
| MoO3 | Carbon | - | 1064 | 50 | [73] |
| 3D NDC/SnO2 | Polypyrrole | 1 | RC: 591 | 1000 | [74] |
| SiOx/C nanotubes | Carbon coating | 0.1 | RC: 702 | 200 | [75] |
| FeS2@C | RGO | 1 | HSC: 820.7 | 300 | [76] |

HRC: High reversible capacity; RC: reversible capacity; NDC: nitrogen doped carbon; DSC: Discharge specific capacity: SC: specific capacity;

RDSC: reversible discharge specific capacity; RGO: reduced graphene oxide

* 1. **ELECTROLYTE:**

The high-performance rechargeable LIBs are the most significant energy storage technology among several technologies available for energy storage technologies [77,78] because of their high energy density and lengthy life-span. The commercial LIBs with liquid electrolytes and ester carbonates, on the other hand, are highly flammable and readily cause safety mishaps while in use [79,80], which restricts their use in a wider range. All solid-state electrolytes have an advantage over conventional liquid electrolytes due to its non-flammability and non-leakage, it emerged as a high-priority solution to the problem. The coating plays an significant role in the enhancement of different characteristics for the electrolyte of LIBs. The researchers from Tsinghua University investigated on the Li-La-Zr-O thin films prepared by sol gel technique which were further annealed for a temperature range of 600-800˚C which showed a reduction in the ionic conductivity from 1.67\*10-6 S cm-1 for 600˚C to 8.53\*10-7 S cm-1 for 800˚C which was due to decreased in lithium concentration, increased defect concentration and enhanced impurity phase [81]. Another group from Austria developed from propylene sulfite-based film forming electrolyte additive for LIBs in which they developed a electrolyte LiClO4/propylene sulfite/propylene carbonate (95:5 by volume) showed a high oxidation stability at a LiMn2O4cathode due to which it showed a great interface behavior [82]. The Li2CO3 layer on the graphite substrate helped in increasing reversibility in the development of solid electrolyte interface which helped in enhancing the stability at the elevated temperatures and in addition to that it exhibited superior stability at elevated temperature, inferior self-delithiation in storage and higher capacity retention over prolonged cycling [83]. The scientific community from Germany developed an electrode/electrolyte which showed an excellent cycle performance for LIBs by slurry-based technology due to its easy production and homogenous nature of the cathode and electrolyte. The combination of double coating and cold pressing ensured a great interaction between composite cathode and solid electrolyte layer and in addition to that the proportion of all components (active material, sulfidic solid electrolyte, carbon and binder) which assisted in delivering the superior performance [84]. It was observed that the materials with the lower porosity and lower surface area showed a better electrochemical performance whereas the solid polymer electrolytes are utilized to protect the Li anode and achieved the initial capacities of 140mAh g-1 whereas the comparison of NCM622 in liquid electrolyte cells showed improvement in the capacities (165 mAh g-1) [84]. The Chinese researchers worked on surface modification of LiCoO2 with solid electrolyte film of Li1.4Al0.4Ti1.6(PO4)3 (LATP) which was developed using a facile solution method that helped in enhancing the electrochemical and structural characteristics at a higher voltage in the half cell with liquid electrolyte. In addition to that the LIBs with PEO based polymer electrolyte modified with 0.5 wt% of LATP which showed superior capacity retention (93.2% after 50 cycles) at high potential of 4.2 V and LATP helped in suppressing the oxidation of PEO at higher voltage [85].

* 1. **SEPARATOR**

In order to increase the performance and safety of LIBs, the coating on the separator is a crucial component. The performance parameters which are enhanced by coating separator such as improved electrochemical performance, improved thermal stability, enhanced safety, reduced internal resistance and electrolyte control. The researchers from China worked on the coating poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) with polyimide which exhibited high ionic conductivity of 1.79 mS cm-1 and excellent mechanical characteristics that also enhances the electrochemical performance i.e., even after 300 cycles at 0.1 C it has retained 77.4% of original capacity and it also has a high heat tolerance, high cycle stability and can function correctly after being heated under 140˚C for 1 hour [86]. The another research group from China developed a polyacrlonitrile/poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) was stimulated with mussel which showed an outstanding electrolyte retention and high porosity of 553.23 % and 82.09% respectively. In addition to that, it also showed an excellent electrochemical performance with excellent ionic conductivity, high specific capacity, wide electrochemical stability window and cycle stability after 600 cycles with r capacity of 2.81mS cm-1, 147 mAh g-1 at 1C, ~5.25 V and 120 mAh g-1 respectively [87]. The scientists from Korea investigated on the influence of size of piezoelectric materials on a separator coating layer which was used for suppressing dendritic Li growth in LIBs which showed that the nanosized particles developed a dense electric field which enables a deposition of Li uniformly due to which it also showed a better electrochemical performance in comparison to its counterparts during 150 cycles [87]. The investigation on the effect of concentration in ceramic/co-polyamide coated polypropylene separators for LIBs in which it was observed that polyimide binder and Al2O3 ceramic in which effectively thwarted the thermal transfer shrinkage (at 150˚C), 10% whereas the Poly(vinylidene fluoride/hexafluoropropylene (PVDF-HFP)) coated propylene separators significantly reduced the unit’s internal short circuit whereas the PVDF-HFP exhibits half cells linked to separator thermal shrinkage (100 minutes at 160˚C) only 40 minutes under the same circumstances [88]. The scientist from Korea investigated on the binder-less grafting of SiO2 nanoparticles onto polyethylene separators for LIBs which exhibited reduced thermal shrinkage (<5% at 120˚C), superior ionic conductivity (0.84mS cm-1) and stronger adhesive strength (>2.5 N cm-1) than the traditional coating comprising of ceramic particles. In addition to that it also exhibited an excellent rate capability and cycling performance of 68mAh g-1 at 5C and 143 mAh g-1 after 200 cycles respectively [89]. **Table 3** depicts the comparison between the different coating on the different separator material and their characteristics.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Separator Material | Coating | Thermal stability/shrinkage | Specific capacity/Discharge retention capcity | Cycling or stability performance | Ref. |
| Polypropylene-polyethylene | Nano-ZrO2 | 120 ˚C | 203.7 mAh g-1 | 4.08 V holding for 12 h at 120˚C | [90] |
| Polypropylene | Tannic acid | 165˚C | 101.9 mAh g-1 | 200 cycles; 9% capacity loss | [91] |
| Polypropylene | Aramid nanofiber | 173.56 ˚C | - | 300 cycles; 87.7% capacity retention | [92] |
| Polyethylene | SiO2 nanoparticles/poly(vinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene) | Thermal Shrinkage @140˚C~23% | 152 mAh g-1 | 200 cycles, 77% capcity retention for 40 nm SiO2 | [93] |
| Polyethylene | Boehmite particles | 140˚C | 150 mAh g-1 | 100 cycles and 96.3% of capacity retention | [94] |
| Polyproylene | Boron nitride nanotubes | 150˚C | 170 mAh g-1 | - | [95] |
| Sodium alginate | Attapulgite nanofiber | 250˚C | 115 mAh g-1 | 700 cycles and 82% capacity retention | [96] |
| Carbon nanofibers/TiO2­ | N doped carbon | - | 1300 mAh g-1 | 0.06% per cycle for 500 cycles | [97] |
| Polypropylene | Anionic MOF | 120˚C | DCR:155 mAh g-1 | 151 mAh g-1 at 600 cycles | [98] |
| Polyactronitrile | Yttrium trifluoride doped PAN based carbon nanofibers | - | 1165.8 mAh g-1 | 821.6 mA h g-1 after 200 cycles | [99] |

Table 3: Comparison of different type of coating on separator material and their characteristics

1. **SUMMARY**

In summary, with the intensifying of the energy crisis, energy storage has emerged as a major topic of research interest for business and the academic community. The need for surface coatings that can store energy mechanically, electrically, chemically, electrochemically and thermally is critical. Materials that are electrochemically active or inert are often used to create surface coatings which is well known that these coatings help in reducing charge transfer resistance across interfaces. The choice of proper coating material is essential for improving electrochemical performance. As more innovative battery materials with some form of surface coating are developed, an in-depth investigation of their impact in lowering cathode material cycle life problems is essential. Coatings assist in enhancing storage systems efficiency by expanding their surface area. Without further morphological deformations, coatings ingredients can be injected into the substrates.

1. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors express their gratitude to Department of Chemical Engineering, Chandigarh University for their cooperation in completing this study.

1. **REFERENCES**

[1] Z. Wang, H. Wang, S. Qi, | Daxiong Wu, J. Huang, X. Li, C. Wang, J. Ma, C.X. Li, Structural regulation chemistry of lithium ion solvation for lithium batteries, EcoMat. 4 (2022) e12200. https://doi.org/10.1002/EOM2.12200.

[2] T. Kim, W. Song, D.Y. Son, L.K. Ono, Y. Qi, Lithium-ion batteries: outlook on present, future, and hybridized technologies, J Mater Chem A Mater. 7 (2019) 2942–2964. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TA10513H.

[3] A. Manthiram, J.C. Knight, S.T. Myung, S.M. Oh, Y.K. Sun, Nickel-Rich and Lithium-Rich Layered Oxide Cathodes: Progress and Perspectives, Adv Energy Mater. 6 (2016) 1501010. https://doi.org/10.1002/AENM.201501010.

[4] M. Arbabzadeh, J.X. Johnson, G.A. Keoleian, P.G. Rasmussen, L.T. Thompson, Twelve Principles for Green Energy Storage in Grid Applications, Environ Sci Technol. 50 (2016) 1046–1055. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.EST.5B03867/SUPPL\_FILE/ES5B03867\_SI\_002.PDF.

[5] B. V. Ratnakumar, M.C. Smart, A. Kindler, H. Frank, R. Ewell, S. Surampudi, Lithium batteries for aerospace applications: 2003 Mars Exploration Rover, J Power Sources. 119–121 (2003) 906–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7753(03)00220-9.

[6] D. Larcher, J.M. Tarascon, Towards greener and more sustainable batteries for electrical energy storage, Nature Chemistry 2014 7:1. 7 (2014) 19–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2085.

[7] X. Yang, H. Xue, Q. Yang, R. Yuan, W. Kang, C.S. Lee, Preparation of porous ZnO/ZnFe2O4 composite from metal organic frameworks and its applications for lithium ion batteries, Chemical Engineering Journal. 308 (2017) 340–346. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2016.09.071.

[8] H. Jeon, Y. Roh, D. Jin, M.H. Ryou, Y.C. Jeong, Y.M. Lee, Crosslinkable polyhedral silsesquioxane-based ceramic-coated separators for Li-ion batteries, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. 71 (2019) 277–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JIEC.2018.11.036.

[9] D. Yan, Y. Huang, C. Fan, X. Wang, J. Yan, H. Lin, D. Jia, J. Zong, W. Wang, G. Wu, Entrapment of polysulfides by Al2O3 modified separator for high energy Li–S redox flow batteries, J Alloys Compd. 770 (2019) 1229–1236. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JALLCOM.2018.08.230.

[10] Z. Wei, N. Zhang, T. Feng, F. Wu, T. Zhao, R. Chen, A copolymer microspheres-coated separator to enhance thermal stability of lithium-sulfur batteries, Chemical Engineering Journal. 430 (2022) 132678. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2021.132678.

[11] R. Vidu, P. Stroeve, Improvement of the thermal stability of li-ion batteries by polymer coating of LiMn2O4, Ind Eng Chem Res. 43 (2004) 3314–3324. https://doi.org/10.1021/IE034085Z/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/IE034085ZF00013.JPEG.

[12] H.W. Ha, N.J. Yun, K. Kim, Improvement of electrochemical stability of LiMn2O4 by CeO2 coating for lithium-ion batteries, Electrochim Acta. 52 (2007) 3236–3241. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECTACTA.2006.09.066.

[13] J.S. Park, X. Meng, J.W. Elam, S. Hao, C. Wolverton, C. Kim, J. Cabana, Ultrathin lithium-ion conducting coatings for increased interfacial stability in high voltage lithium-ion batteries, Chemistry of Materials. 26 (2014) 3128–3134. https://doi.org/10.1021/CM500512N/SUPPL\_FILE/CM500512N\_SI\_001.PDF.

[14] F. Tao, Y. Liu, X. Ren, J. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Miao, F. Ren, S. Wei, J. Ma, Different surface modification methods and coating materials of zinc metal anode, Journal of Energy Chemistry. 66 (2022) 397–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECHEM.2021.08.022.

[15] T.R. Somo, T.E. Mabokela, D.M. Teffu, T.K. Sekgobela, M.J. Hato, K.D. Modibane, Review on the effect of metal oxides as surface coatings on hydrogen storage properties of porous and non-porous materials, Chemical Papers. 75 (2021) 2237–2251. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11696-020-01466-X/METRICS.

[16] J.W. Fergus, Recent developments in cathode materials for lithium ion batteries, J Power Sources. 195 (2010) 939–954. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2009.08.089.

[17] M.S. Whittingham, Lithium batteries and cathode materials, Chem Rev. 104 (2004) 4271–4301. https://doi.org/10.1021/CR020731C/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/CR020731CF00025.JPEG.

[18] G. editor, A. Barron, A. Ignatiev, X. Chen, N. Wu, Z. Lu, M. Stanley, J.L. Blackburn, D. Svedruzic, T.J. McDonald, Y.-H. Kim, P.W. King, M.J. Heben, M. Stanley Whittingham, Inorganic nanomaterials for batteries, Dalton Transactions. (2008) 5424–5431. https://doi.org/10.1039/B806372A.

[19] P.G. Bruce, B. Scrosati, J.M. Tarascon, Nanomaterials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries, Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 47 (2008) 2930–2946. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.200702505.

[20] Y.-G. Guo, J.-S. Hu, L.-J. Wan, Nanostructured Materials for Electrochemical Energy Conversion and Storage Devices, Advanced Materials. 20 (2008) 2878–2887. https://doi.org/10.1002/ADMA.200800627.

[21] Y. Wang, G. Cao, Developments in Nanostructured Cathode Materials for High-Performance Lithium-Ion Batteries, Advanced Materials. 20 (2008) 2251–2269. https://doi.org/10.1002/ADMA.200702242.

[22] C.R. Sides, C.R. Martin, Nanomaterials in Li-Ion Battery Electrode Design, (2007) 75–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-46106-9\_3.

[23] E. Antolini, LiCoO2: formation, structure, lithium and oxygen nonstoichiometry, electrochemical behaviour and transport properties, Solid State Ion. 170 (2004) 159–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SSI.2004.04.003.

[24] J. Akimoto, Y. Gotoh, Y. Oosawa, Synthesis and Structure Refinement of LiCoO2Single Crystals, J Solid State Chem. 141 (1998) 298–302. https://doi.org/10.1006/JSSC.1998.7966.

[25] T. Amriou, B. Khelifa, H. Aourag, S.M. Aouadi, C. Mathieu, Ab initio investigation of the Jahn–Teller distortion effect on the stabilizing lithium intercalated compounds, Mater Chem Phys. 92 (2005) 499–504. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATCHEMPHYS.2005.01.061.

[26] H. Liu, Y. Yang, J. Zhang, Reaction mechanism and kinetics of lithium ion battery cathode material LiNiO2 with CO2, J Power Sources. 173 (2007) 556–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2007.04.083.

[27] M. Thackeray, A. de Kock, W.I.F. David, Synthesis and structural characterization of defect spinels in the lithium-manganese-oxide system, Mater Res Bull. 28 (1993) 1041–1049. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(93)90142-Z.

[28] S.G. Stewart, V. Srinivasan, J. Newman, Modeling the Performance of Lithium-Ion Batteries and Capacitors during Hybrid-Electric-Vehicle Operation, J Electrochem Soc. 155 (2008) A664. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2953524/XML.

[29] N. Takami, H. Inagaki, T. Kishi, Y. Harada, Y. Fujita, K. Hoshina, Electrochemical Kinetics and Safety of 2-Volt Class Li-Ion Battery System Using Lithium Titanium Oxide Anode, J Electrochem Soc. 156 (2009) A128. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.3043441/XML.

[30] J. Molenda, M. Ziemnicki, J. Marzec, W. Zajac, M. Molenda, M. Bućko, Electrochemical and high temperature physicochemical properties of orthorhombic LiMnO2, J Power Sources. 173 (2007) 707–711. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2007.05.054.

[31] Q. Liu, D. Mao, C. Chang, F. Huang, Phase conversion and morphology evolution during hydrothermal preparation of orthorhombic LiMnO2 nanorods for lithium ion battery application, J Power Sources. 173 (2007) 538–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2007.03.077.

[32] A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, Phospho‐olivines as Positive‐Electrode Materials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries, J Electrochem Soc. 144 (1997) 1188–1194. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1837571/XML.

[33] H. Cheng, J.G. Shapter, Y. Li, G. Gao, Recent progress of advanced anode materials of lithium-ion batteries, Journal of Energy Chemistry. 57 (2021) 451–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECHEM.2020.08.056.

[34] X. Xu, R. Cao, S. Jeong, J. Cho, Spindle-like mesoporous α-Fe 2O 3 anode material prepared from MOF template for high-rate lithium batteries, Nano Lett. 12 (2012) 4988–4991. https://doi.org/10.1021/NL302618S/SUPPL\_FILE/NL302618S\_SI\_001.PDF.

[35] P. Xiong, X. Zhao, Y. Xu, Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes Derived from Metal–Organic Frameworks for Potassium-Ion Battery Anodes, ChemSusChem. 11 (2018) 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1002/CSSC.201701759.

[36] L. Yu, J.F. Yang, X.W. (David) Lou, Formation of CoS2 Nanobubble Hollow Prisms for Highly Reversible Lithium Storage, Angewandte Chemie. 128 (2016) 13620–13624. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANGE.201606776.

[37] H. Hu, J. Zhang, B. Guan, X.W.D. Lou, Unusual Formation of CoSe@carbon Nanoboxes, which have an Inhomogeneous Shell, for Efficient Lithium Storage, Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 55 (2016) 9514–9518. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.201603852.

[38] W. Xu, X. Cui, Z. Xie, G. Dietrich, Y. Wang, Integrated Co3O4/TiO2 Composite Hollow Polyhedrons Prepared via Cation-exchange Metal-Organic Framework for Superior Lithium-ion Batteries, Electrochim Acta. 222 (2016) 1021–1028. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECTACTA.2016.11.071.

[39] Y. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Yang, H. Gan, X. Cai, X. Guo, B. Xu, M. Lü, A. Yuan, Facile synthesis of porous hollow Co3O4 microfibers derived-from metal-organic frameworks as an advanced anode for lithium ion batteries, Ceram Int. 43 (2017) 9945–9950. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CERAMINT.2017.05.004.

[40] C. Hou, Z. Tai, L. Zhao, Y. Zhai, Y. Hou, Y. Fan, F. Dang, J. Wang, H. Liu, High performance MnO@C microcages with a hierarchical structure and tunable carbon shell for efficient and durable lithium storage, J Mater Chem A Mater. 6 (2018) 9723–9736. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TA02863J.

[41] H. Yin, X.X. Yu, Q.W. Li, M.L. Cao, W. Zhang, H. Zhao, M.Q. Zhu, Hollow porous CuO/C composite microcubes derived from metal-organic framework templates for highly reversible lithium-ion batteries, J Alloys Compd. 706 (2017) 97–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JALLCOM.2017.02.215.

[42] Z.W. Zhao, T. Wen, K. Liang, Y.F. Jiang, X. Zhou, C.C. Shen, A.W. Xu, Carbon-coated Fe3O4/VOx hollow microboxes derived from metal-organic frameworks As a high-performance anode material for lithium-ion batteries, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 9 (2017) 3757–3765. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSAMI.6B15110/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/AM-2016-151105\_0005.JPEG.

[43] W. Guo, W. Sun, Y. Wang, Multilayer CuO@NiO Hollow Spheres: Microwave-Assisted Metal-Organic-Framework Derivation and Highly Reversible Structure-Matched Stepwise Lithium Storage, ACS Nano. 9 (2015) 11462–11471. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSNANO.5B05610/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/NN-2015-05610H\_0006.JPEG.

[44] F. Zou, Y.M. Chen, K. Liu, Z. Yu, W. Liang, S.M. Bhaway, M. Gao, Y. Zhu, Metal organic frameworks derived hierarchical hollow NiO/Ni/graphene composites for lithium and sodium storage, ACS Nano. 10 (2016) 377–386. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSNANO.5B05041/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/NN-2015-05041R\_0006.JPEG.

[45] J. Zhang, J. iawei Wan, J. iangyan Wang, H. ao Ren, R. Yu, L. Gu, Y. Liu, S. Feng, D. Wang, D. Zhang, D.W. an, D. ang, D. Ren, D. Wang, Y. Liu, S. Feng, R. Yu, L. Gu, Hollow Multi-Shelled Structure with Metal–Organic-Framework-Derived Coatings for Enhanced Lithium Storage, Angewandte Chemie. 131 (2019) 5320–5325. https://doi.org/10.1002/ANGE.201814563.

[46] J.W. Fergus, Ceramic and polymeric solid electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries, J Power Sources. 195 (2010) 4554–4569. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2010.01.076.

[47] Q. Li, J. Chen, L. Fan, X. Kong, Y. Lu, Progress in electrolytes for rechargeable Li-based batteries and beyond, Green Energy & Environment. 1 (2016) 18–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEE.2016.04.006.

[48] C.F.J. Francis, I.L. Kyratzis, A.S. Best, Lithium-Ion Battery Separators for Ionic-Liquid Electrolytes: A Review, Advanced Materials. 32 (2020) 1904205. https://doi.org/10.1002/ADMA.201904205.

[49] S. Kalnaus, Y. Wang, J.A. Turner, Mechanical behavior and failure mechanisms of Li-ion battery separators, J Power Sources. 348 (2017) 255–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2017.03.003.

[50] J. Xu, L. Wang, J. Guan, S. Yin, Coupled effect of strain rate and solvent on dynamic mechanical behaviors of separators in lithium ion batteries, Mater Des. 95 (2016) 319–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2016.01.082.

[51] J. Chen, Y. Yan, T. Sun, Y. Qi, X. Li, Deformation and fracture behaviors of microporous polymer separators for lithium ion batteries, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 14904–14914. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA00983E.

[52] Q. Wang, Y. Yu, J. Ma, N. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, G. Cui, Electrospun melamine resin-based multifunctional nonwoven membrane for lithium ion batteries at the elevated temperatures, J Power Sources. 327 (2016) 196–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2016.07.063.

[53] S. Wang, L. Lu, X. Liu, A simulation on safety of LiFePO4/C cell using electrochemical–thermal coupling model, J Power Sources. 244 (2013) 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2013.03.100.

[54] C.M. Costa, M.M. Silva, S. Lanceros-Méndez, Battery separators based on vinylidene fluoride (VDF) polymers and copolymers for lithium ion battery applications, RSC Adv. 3 (2013) 11404–11417. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RA40732B.

[55] V. Deimede, C. Elmasides, Separators for Lithium-Ion Batteries: A Review on the Production Processes and Recent Developments, Energy Technology. 3 (2015) 453–468. https://doi.org/10.1002/ENTE.201402215.

[56] R.E. Sousa, M. Kundu, A. Gören, M.M. Silva, L. Liu, C.M. Costa, S. Lanceros-Mendez, Poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-chlorotrifluoroethylene) (PVDF-CTFE) lithium-ion battery separator membranes prepared by phase inversion, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 90428–90436. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA19335D.

[57] M. Yuan, K. Liu, Rational design on separators and liquid electrolytes for safer lithium-ion batteries, Journal of Energy Chemistry. 43 (2020) 58–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JECHEM.2019.08.008.

[58] Z. Chen, Y. Qin, K. Amine, Y.K. Sun, Role of surface coating on cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries, J Mater Chem. 20 (2010) 7606–7612. https://doi.org/10.1039/C0JM00154F.

[59] X. Liu, J. Liu, T. Huang, A. Yu, CaF2-coated Li1.2Mn0.54Ni0.13Co0.13O2 as cathode materials for Li-ion batteries, Electrochim Acta. 109 (2013) 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECTACTA.2013.07.069.

[60] L. Zeng, K. Shi, B. Qiu, H. Liang, J. Li, W. Zhao, S. Li, W. Zhang, Z. Liu, Q. Liu, Hydrophobic surface coating against chemical environmental instability for Ni-rich layered oxide cathode materials, Chemical Engineering Journal. 437 (2022) 135276. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2022.135276.

[61] G. Li, Z. Yang, W. Yang, Effect of FePO4 coating on electrochemical and safety performance of LiCoO2 as cathode material for Li-ion batteries, J Power Sources. 183 (2008) 741–748. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2008.05.047.

[62] H. Yu, Y. Cao, L. Chen, Y. Hu, X. Duan, S. Dai, C. Li, H. Jiang, Surface enrichment and diffusion enabling gradient-doping and coating of Ni-rich cathode toward Li-ion batteries, Nature Communications 2021 12:1. 12 (2021) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24893-0.

[63] Z. Yu, H. Huang, Y. Liu, X. Qu, Y. Zhou, A. Dou, M. Su, H.H. Wu, L. Zhang, K. Dai, Z. Guo, T. Wan, M. Li, D. Chu, Design and tailoring of carbon-Al2O3 double coated nickel-based cation-disordered cathodes towards high-performance Li-ion batteries, Nano Energy. 96 (2022) 107071. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NANOEN.2022.107071.

[64] H. Zheng, Z. Wang, L. Chen, H. Jiang, C. Li, Integrating trace Ti-doping and LiYO2-coating to stabilize Ni-rich cathodes for lithium-ion batteries, Particuology. 80 (2023) 74–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PARTIC.2022.12.003.

[65] R. Chen, T. Zhao, X. Zhang, L. Li, F. Wu, Advanced cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries using nanoarchitectonics, Nanoscale Horiz. 1 (2016) 423–444. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6NH00016A.

[66] G. Shao, L. Gan, Y. Ma, H. Li, T. Zhai, Enhancing the performance of Li 3 VO 4 by combining nanotechnology and surface carbon coating for lithium ion batteries, J Mater Chem A Mater. 3 (2015) 11253–11260. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA02094H.

[67] D. Sui, M. Yao, L. Si, K. Yan, J. Shi, J. Wang, C.C. Xu, Y. Zhang, Biomass-derived carbon coated SiO2 nanotubes as superior anode for lithium-ion batteries, Carbon N Y. 205 (2023) 510–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CARBON.2023.01.039.

[68] H. Zhu, M.H.A. Shiraz, L. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, Atomic layer deposited aluminum oxynitride coating for high-performance Si anode in lithium-ion batteries, Appl Surf Sci. 578 (2022) 151982. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSUSC.2021.151982.

[69] F. Ding, W. Xu, D. Choi, W. Wang, X. Li, M.H. Engelhard, X. Chen, Z. Yang, J.G. Zhang, Enhanced performance of graphite anode materials by AlF3 coating for lithium-ion batteries, J Mater Chem. 22 (2012) 12745–12751. https://doi.org/10.1039/C2JM31015E.

[70] M. Yoshio, H. Wang, K. Fukuda, T. Umeno, N. Dimov, Z. Ogumi, Carbon-Coated Si as a Lithium-Ion Battery Anode Material, J Electrochem Soc. 149 (2002) A1598. https://doi.org/10.1149/1.1518988/XML.

[71] F. Wang, S. Lin, X. Lu, R. Hong, H. Liu, Poly-dopamine carbon-coated stable silicon/graphene/CNT composite as anode for lithium ion batteries, Electrochim Acta. 404 (2022) 139708. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECTACTA.2021.139708.

[72] R. Li, S. Nie, C. Miao, Y. Xin, H. Mou, G. Xu, W. Xiao, Heterostructural Sn/SnO2 microcube powders coated by a nitrogen-doped carbon layer as good-performance anode materials for lithium ion batteries, J Colloid Interface Sci. 606 (2022) 1042–1054. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2021.08.112.

[73] M.F. Hassan, Z.P. Guo, Z. Chen, H.K. Liu, Carbon-coated MoO3 nanobelts as anode materials for lithium-ion batteries, J Power Sources. 195 (2010) 2372–2376. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2009.10.065.

[74] X. Liu, S. Zhu, Y. Liang, Z. Li, S. Wu, S. Luo, C. Chang, Z. Cui, 3D N-doped mesoporous carbon/SnO2 with polypyrrole coating layer as high-performance anode material for Li-ion batteries, J Alloys Compd. 892 (2022) 162083. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JALLCOM.2021.162083.

[75] Z. Wang, L. Kong, Z. Guo, X. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Zhang, Bamboo-like SiOx/C nanotubes with carbon coating as a durable and high-performance anode for lithium-ion battery, Chemical Engineering Journal. 428 (2022) 131060. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2021.131060.

[76] R. Yang, C. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Chen, M. Wei, Construction of FeS2@C coated with reduced graphene oxide as high-performance anode for lithium-ion batteries, Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry. 918 (2022) 116467. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JELECHEM.2022.116467.

[77] Q. Zhao, S. Stalin, C.Z. Zhao, L.A. Archer, Designing solid-state electrolytes for safe, energy-dense batteries, Nature Reviews Materials 2020 5:3. 5 (2020) 229–252. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0165-5.

[78] F. Zhao, J. Liang, C. Yu, Q. Sun, X. Li, K. Adair, C. Wang, Y. Zhao, S. Zhang, W. Li, S. Deng, R. Li, Y. Huang, H. Huang, L. Zhang, S. Zhao, S. Lu, X. Sun, A Versatile Sn-Substituted Argyrodite Sulfide Electrolyte for All-Solid-State Li Metal Batteries, Adv Energy Mater. 10 (2020) 1903422. https://doi.org/10.1002/AENM.201903422.

[79] S. Kim, H. Oguchi, N. Toyama, T. Sato, S. Takagi, T. Otomo, D. Arunkumar, N. Kuwata, J. Kawamura, S. ichi Orimo, A complex hydride lithium superionic conductor for high-energy-density all-solid-state lithium metal batteries, Nature Communications 2019 10:1. 10 (2019) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09061-9.

[80] Z. Zheng, H.H. Wu, H. Liu, Q. Zhang, X. He, S. Yu, V. Petrova, J. Feng, R. Kostecki, P. Liu, D.L. Peng, M. Liu, M.S. Wang, Achieving Fast and Durable Lithium Storage through Amorphous FeP Nanoparticles Encapsulated in Ultrathin 3D P-Doped Porous Carbon Nanosheets, ACS Nano. 14 (2020) 9545–9561. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSNANO.9B08575/ASSET/IMAGES/MEDIUM/NN9B08575\_M004.GIF.

[81] R.J. Chen, M. Huang, W.Z. Huang, Y. Shen, Y.H. Lin, C.W. Nan, Sol–gel derived Li–La–Zr–O thin films as solid electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries, J Mater Chem A Mater. 2 (2014) 13277–13282. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA02289K.

[82] G.H. Wrodnigg, T.M. Wrodnigg, J.O. Besenhard, M. Winter, Propylene sulfite as film-forming electrolyte additive in lithium ion batteries, Electrochem Commun. 1 (1999) 148–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2481(99)00023-5.

[83] S.S. Zhang, K. Xu, T.R. Jow, Effect of Li2CO3-coating on the performance of natural graphite in Li-ion battery, Electrochem Commun. 5 (2003) 979–982. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECOM.2003.09.014.

[84] T. Ates, M. Keller, J. Kulisch, T. Adermann, S. Passerini, Development of an all-solid-state lithium battery by slurry-coating procedures using a sulfidic electrolyte, Energy Storage Mater. 17 (2019) 204–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENSM.2018.11.011.

[85] Q. Yang, J. Huang, Y. Li, Y. Wang, J. Qiu, J. Zhang, H. Yu, X. Yu, H. Li, L. Chen, Surface-protected LiCoO2 with ultrathin solid oxide electrolyte film for high-voltage lithium ion batteries and lithium polymer batteries, J Power Sources. 388 (2018) 65–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2018.03.076.

[86] M. Li, K. Wang, F. Shen, Y. Bai, C. Yang, J. Liu, J. Yan, Y. Chen, X. Han, An electrolyte-locked thermally stable composite separator for safe lithium ion batteries, J Alloys Compd. 938 (2023) 168543. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JALLCOM.2022.168543.

[87] M. Guo, J. Xiong, X. Jin, S. Lu, Y. Zhang, J. Xu, H. Fan, Mussel stimulated modification of flexible Janus PAN/PVDF-HFP nanofiber hybrid membrane for advanced lithium-ion batteries separator, J Memb Sci. 675 (2023) 121533. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2023.121533.

[88] Y. Lee, H. Lee, T. Lee, M.H. Ryou, Y.M. Lee, Synergistic thermal stabilization of ceramic/co-polyimide coated polypropylene separators for lithium-ion batteries, J Power Sources. 294 (2015) 537–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2015.06.106.

[89] W. Na, K.H. Koh, A.S. Lee, S. Cho, B. Ok, S.W. Hwang, J.H. Lee, C.M. Koo, Binder-less chemical grafting of SiO2 nanoparticles onto polyethylene separators for lithium-ion batteries, J Memb Sci. 573 (2019) 621–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2018.12.039.

[90] L. Liu, Y. Wang, C. Gao, C. Yang, K. Wang, H. Li, H. Gu, Ultrathin ZrO2-coated separators based on surface sol-gel process for advanced lithium ion batteries, J Memb Sci. 592 (2019) 117368. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2019.117368.

[91] L. Pan, H. Wang, C. Wu, C. Liao, L. Li, Tannic-Acid-Coated Polypropylene Membrane as a Separator for Lithium-Ion Batteries, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 7 (2015) 16003–16010. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSAMI.5B04245/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/AM-2015-04245A\_0010.JPEG.

[92] S. Hu, S. Lin, Y. Tu, J. Hu, Y. Wu, G. Liu, F. Li, F. Yu, T. Jiang, Novel aramid nanofiber-coated polypropylene separators for lithium ion batteries, J Mater Chem A Mater. 4 (2016) 3513–3526. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA08694A.

[93] H.S. Jeong, S.Y. Lee, Closely packed SiO2 nanoparticles/poly(vinylidene fluoride-hexafluoropropylene) layers-coated polyethylene separators for lithium-ion batteries, J Power Sources. 196 (2011) 6716–6722. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2010.11.037.

[94] C. Yang, H. Tong, C. Luo, S. Yuan, G. Chen, Y. Yang, Boehmite particle coating modified microporous polyethylene membrane: A promising separator for lithium ion batteries, J Power Sources. 348 (2017) 80–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPOWSOUR.2017.02.078.

[95] M.M. Rahman, S. Mateti, Q. Cai, I. Sultana, Y. Fan, X. Wang, C. Hou, Y. Chen, High temperature and high rate lithium-ion batteries with boron nitride nanotubes coated polypropylene separators, Energy Storage Mater. 19 (2019) 352–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENSM.2019.03.027.

[96] Q. Song, A. Li, L. Shi, C. Qian, T.G. Feric, Y. Fu, H. Zhang, Z. Li, P. Wang, Z. Li, H. Zhai, X. Wang, M. Dontigny, K. Zaghib, A.H. (Alissa) Park, K. Myers, X. Chuan, Y. Yang, Thermally stable, nano-porous and eco-friendly sodium alginate/attapulgite separator for lithium-ion batteries, Energy Storage Mater. 22 (2019) 48–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENSM.2019.06.033.

[97] Y. Yang, H. Xu, S. Wang, Y. Deng, X. Qin, X. Qin, G. Chen, N-doped carbon-coated hollow carbon nanofibers with interspersed TiO2 for integrated separator of Li-S batteries, Electrochim Acta. 297 (2019) 641–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELECTACTA.2018.12.009.

[98] J. Li, L. Chen, F. Wang, Z. Qin, Y. Zhang, N. Zhang, X. Liu, G. Chen, Anionic metal-organic framework modified separator boosting efficient Li-ion transport, Chemical Engineering Journal. 451 (2023) 138536. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2022.138536.

[99] N. Deng, Z. Peng, X. Tian, Y. Li, J. Yan, Y. Liu, W. Kang, Yttrium trifluoride doped polyacrylonitrile based carbon nanofibers as separator coating layer for high performance lithium-metal batteries, J Colloid Interface Sci. 634 (2023) 949–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2022.12.081.