

Metal-Organic Frameworks and Derived Materials for Futuristic Energy Application: Oxygen Evolution Reactions

Mahesh D. Burud, Amit R. Supale, Sandip R. Sabale

1. Department of Chemistry, Bharati Vidyapeeth's Dr. Patangrao Kadam Mahavidyalaya, Sangli, Maharashtra, 416416 India

2. P. G. Department of Chemistry, Jaysingpur College, Jaysingpur, Maharashtra, 416101 India

Corresponding authors mail:

amitsupale@gmail.com (Dr. Amit R. Supale)

srsabale@gmail.com (Dr. Sandip R. Sabale)

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Synthesis
3. Electrocatalytic applications
4. Scope
5. References

Introduction:

Metal-organic frameworks are newly discovered crystalline and highly porous solid materials having various chemical and physical properties¹, many research communities have grabbed immense attention due to achieving a great variety of aesthetically fascinating structures that could be more interesting for extensive applications in many areas related to high porous materials, including separation, catalysis, storage, drug delivery, electrocatalysts, which completely rely on the pore size, shape or morphology as well as the host-guest interplays between them². MOFs are highly porous and crystalline materials, the inorganic secondary building units (SBU), metal ions or clusters and organic ligands or polydentate organic ligands could be utilized to construct excellent network structures, much abundant diversity and flexibility in the structure and composition of MOFs and, can be tuned from angstroms to nanometers by controlling the length of bi and multi-podal rigid ligands and different metal ions, made up valuable and remarkable material for various applications^{3,4}. MOFs exhibited a huge variety of uniformly distributed metal sites or complexes in a highly porous structure of organic ligands, due to their higher porosity (up to 90% free volume), superior internal pores, and ultra-high internal surface areas. MOFs possess micro and mesoporous materials and ultrahigh surface areas of 10,000 m²/g, which is more than zeolites

and activated carbons, measured by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET)⁵. To study on the synthetic design or construction of MOFs and their various applications have been pioneered by groups including, Yaghi⁶, Moore⁷, Robson⁸, Kitagawa⁹, and Férey¹⁰, in 1990, more than 20,000 MOF structures have been reported and some MOFs are commercially producing in tons' scale by BASF, available at Sigma-Aldrich, MOF technologies and strem Chemicals. The MOFs HKUST – 1, Fe-BTC, MIL-53, and ZIF-8 are most presently used which plays a vital role in gas storage¹¹, chemical sensing¹², drug delivery, proton conductivity, catalysis¹³ chiller¹⁴, and energy conversion. The mixed-metal strategy, by which a MOF framework with two different metal nodes was prepared, was utilized with MOF-74 for potential adsorption chiller applications. The Ni salt precursor was partially replaced during synthesis with the relatively inexpensive Zn salt. The bimetallic MOF-74 containing only a fraction of Ni was shown to provide efficient H₂O adsorption performance, while utilizing the more inexpensive Zn salt thus significantly mitigated the material costs of industrial scale synthesis/applications¹⁴ and in industrial applications¹⁵ such as textile industries, oleo-chemicals, transportation, food packaging, all electric automobile prototypes and respiratory systems.




Figure 1. Metal Organic framework.

In the past few decades, enormous efforts have been made to develop new MOF structures and explore their variety of applications in sustainable energy¹⁴. Nevertheless, MOFs show drawbacks like poor conductivity and chemical stability that obstruct their use in large-scale applications with full potential. In order to achieve appropriate and realistic applications of MOFs, it is desirable to introduce new functionality to increase further the properties such as conductivity, chemical stability, and so on. The MOFs combine with various functional materials which mitigate the deficiency of both the components and fortunately enhance the active performance. The investigation of MOF composites furnishes new synthesis protocols for highly active performance with well-sophisticated architecture composite materials¹⁶. The MOF composites materials are composed of one MOF and one or more functional materials including, graphene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), metal nanoparticles (NPs), polyoxometalates (POMs), quantum dots (QDs), polymers, and biomolecules, can be effectively combined and therefore, develop new physical and chemical properties, and remarkably enhanced the active performance of the composite materials¹⁷. The enhanced performance of the MOF composite materials due to the synergistic combination of MOF and other functional materials makes them suitable for a variety of applications. To achieve appropriate MOF composite materials by utilizing existing literature on porous crystals. MOFs have good flexibility, high porosity with uniform crystallinity, and functional materials having various kinds of unique properties like catalytic, magnetic, optical, and electrical conductivity, after combining make them superior active composite materials for various applications

2. Synthesis

Synthesis of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) involves the combination of metal ions or clusters with organic ligands to create highly ordered, porous, and crystalline structures (Figure 1). MOFs have attracted significant attention in various fields due to their tunable properties and wide range of applications. Selection of appropriate metal ions or clusters and organic ligands that will form the desired MOF structure with the desired properties^{18,19}. The choice of metal ion and ligand will dictate the final structure and characteristics of the MOF. There are various known methods²⁰ to synthesizing MOFs such as solvothermal or hydrothermal synthesis, slow diffusion synthesis, Microwave-Assisted synthesis, Electrochemical synthesis, Mechanochemical synthesis, Sonochemical synthesis etc. (Figure 2). These methods are less expensive, rapid, high yield and good yield cleaner products^{21,22}.




Figure 2. Synthetic methods used to obtain MOFs.

The general steps for synthesizing MOFs are as follows:

Solvo(Hydro)thermal Method:

The most common approach to MOF synthesis is the solvothermal method. In this method, the metal ions and organic ligands are mixed together in a solvent at an elevated temperature in a sealed container (autoclave) to promote the formation of the MOF crystals. The choice of solvent is crucial as it affects the formation and growth of MOF crystals. The reactions are carried out using polar solvents in Teflon-lined autoclaves at temperature from 100 to 400°C with long reaction periods from hours to sometimes days. The reactions temperature may be increased in order to achieve easy bond formation, appropriate crystallization, and kinetically inert metal ions are utilized. The increased temperature may also show a virtuous impact on the morphology of the crystal structure, while lengthened reaction time may lead to a good composition of the final product. The cooling rate of reaction must be very slow; which notable affect the crystal growing process. Most frequently solvents are used such as ethanol, methanol, water, acetone, dimethylformamide (DMF), diethylformamide (DEF), acetonitrile, and their mixtures. In the solvo(hydro)thermal reaction conditions, the starting reagent undergoes surprizing chemical transformations, which are not possible under simple milder synthetic routes, leading to forming of new ligands in situ.

Slow Diffusion Method:

Another method involves slow diffusion, where a metal salt solution is layered on top of a solution containing the organic ligand. Slow mixing allows for controlled nucleation and crystal growth, resulting in well-defined MOF structures. In this method, low boiling point solvents are used to speed up the process, performed at room temperature no need for an energy source. At the point of interface layer's crystals are formed, after slow diffusion of the precipitate solvent converted into separate layers. The slow diffusion technique is mainly used for products that are not completely soluble. The advantage of this method is that low cost and without heat energy crystals are formed.

Microwave-Assisted Synthesis:

Microwave-Assisted Synthesis have been depending on the reaction between electromagnetic waves with a movable electric charge. The polar part in the solution tries to self-orient in an electromagnetic and oscillating field, owing to that the molecules convert their alignment permanently. The collision between the molecules will take place by using the appropriate frequency, leading to an enhancement in the kinetic energy of the system. Thus homogeneous and high heating rate is possible during the reaction. Microwave irradiation can accelerate the MOF synthesis process, reducing reaction times and improving the purity and crystallinity of the resulting MOF.

Sonochemical Method:

Sonochemistry branch of research deals with ultrasonic waves and the chemical transformation of molecules via high ultrasonic radiations. The reaction solution is irradiated with ultrasound radiation bubbles are formed and produce hot spots of a very short lifetime with a high pressure and temperature, which encourage the chemical reactions and rapid formation of crystals²³. Ultrasonic waves can assist in the formation of MOFs by promoting mixing and enhancing mass transfer, leading to faster and more efficient synthesis.

Mechanochemical Synthesis:

In mechanochemical synthesis, MOFs can be formed by grinding the metal salts and organic ligands together in the absence of solvent. This technique is gaining popularity as it is environmentally friendly and can lead to the formation of new MOF structures. In 2006 first MOF was reported using this method. There are multiple reasons to synthesize MOF in this way as environmental issues. Reactions are carried out in the absence of organic solvent at room temperature within the short time range of 10 to 60 minutes, and giving a large yield of product is very advantageous. At some times metal oxides are used as a starting material except metal salts, resulting in the formation of water as by product.

Electrochemical synthesis:

Electrochemical synthesis of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) is an innovative approach to fabricating these porous materials, which are composed of metal ions or clusters linked by organic ligands. Electrochemical synthesis, offers several unique advantages such as fine-tuned control over reaction parameters such as current density, potential, and electrode composition, which can influence the size, shape, and crystallinity of MOFs, and the direct deposition of MOFs onto conductive substrates. This can be advantageous for applications such as catalysis, sensing, and energy storage, require less solvent compared to traditional methods, making them more environmentally friendly and efficient. The electrochemical synthesis of MOFs generally involves the use of a conductive electrode, often made of materials like metal

or conductive polymers, immersed in a solution containing metal ions and organic ligands. Applying a potential or current to the electrode initiates the formation of MOFs through reduction or coordination reactions between the metal ions and ligands. The growth of the MOF crystals on the electrode surface can be controlled by adjusting the electrochemical parameters. Researchers continue to explore and develop new electrochemical methods to create MOFs with enhanced properties and functionality for various technological applications.


It's important to note that the specific synthesis method and conditions can vary depending on the chosen metal ion, organic ligand, and intended application of the MOF.

3. Electrocatalytic application: Oxygen Evolution Reactions (OER)

To alleviate the environmental pollution and probable shortage of energy, develop sustainable energy storage and conversion technologies for renewable energy production. The electrochemical water splitting is an efficient method, that offers a renewable, clean, less expensive process, in which water is splitting into oxygen and hydrogen ($\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2$) has an important and promising strategy to convert renewable light and electrical energy into chemical fuel as a renewable energy²⁴. Hydrogen gas is the highest mass-energy density fuel with its clean combustion in air, considered as the fuel of the future. The efficient water splitting is greatly hindered by the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER), which is an obstacle one-half reaction involving the sluggish kinetics of the four-electron transfer. Therefore, it is important to explore a highly efficient and cost effective OER electrocatalyst is a critical requirement to diminish the activation barriers, and accelerate the reaction, Consequently, enhances the efficiency of energy conversion. This chapter focus particular attention is dedicated to oxygen evolution reaction, which occurs at anode, and requires a more potential above the minimum set of thermodynamics.

Thermodynamics of Water Splitting:

The thermodynamic potential for the electrochemically water splitting, $2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l}) - 2\text{H}_2(\text{g}) + \text{O}_2(\text{g})$, is $E_0 = -1.23 \text{ V}$. This overall reaction involves two half reactions, relying on the pH of electrolyte the reaction is carried out. Scheme 1 shows the two half reactions and the related thermodynamic potentials for the exceedingly pH = 0 as acidic and pH = 14 as basic. The reaction carried out in acidic conditions, water is oxidized to produce gaseous oxygen, protons and electrons at the anode and the recombination of protons and electrons to produce gaseous H_2 at the cathode²⁵. The reaction carried out in basic conditions, the oxidation of hydroxyl anions to produce oxygen, water and electrons at the anode and the reaction of water and electrons to produce gaseous H_2 a hydroxyl anion at the cathode²⁶. The two half reactions are associated to as the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).



Scheme 1: Half reactions of water-splitting in aqueous acid and base.

The potential (E) of any reaction at pH = 0 is identical to the standard potential (E^0), which is defined for this pH, presuming that the assessment of E_0 for HER is 0.00V. The potential for every half reaction is completely rely on the Nernst equation, which at unit partial pressure of H_2 is given by $E_{\text{HER}} = -0.59 \times \text{pH V}$ for HER and O_2 is given by $E_{\text{OER}} = 1.23 - 0.59 \times \text{pH V}$ for OER. Since the experiments are carried out at different pH values, it is suitable to use potential to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). Therefore, E_{OER} vs. the RHE is always 1.23 V.

Whatever the condition of pH, the exact potential necessary for the water splitting is higher cause of reaction barriers corresponds to the catalyst utilized at the anode and cathode. This additional potential, known as the overpotential, is a measure of the current density passed via the electrolyzer. Thus, increase in the overpotential with increasing the current density, and the performance of the several catalysts compared with the fix current density, which frequently taken to be 10 mA/cm^2 , depending on the superficial area of the anode. The OER and HER overpotential is symbolized by η_{OER} and η_{HER} , respectively. The η_{OER} and η_{HER} , depend on the current density (j) is calculated by the Tafel equation, $\beta = d\eta_{\text{OER}}/d\ln(j)$, where β is the Tafel slope. The equation elucidates the catalytic activity of OER catalyst.

In the process of HER at the cathode, overpotential required as low as 50 mV at 10 mA/cm^2 and for the OER at the anode, the overpotential required typically as low as 300 mV. Since η_{OER} is considerably larger than the η_{HER} , while maximum research in the field of the electrocatalyst water splitting has focused on the findings and the development of catalyst with low value of η_{OER} , and understanding the chemical compositions and structure affect the magnitude of parameters. It should be notice that, the various OER catalyst exhibited different value, which are compared on the basis of the value of η_{OER} at fixed anodic current density, the change in the value of η_{OER} due to the change in the preparation at different ways.

Catalysts for the OER

To encourage the OER catalyst under basic as well as acidic conditions, numerous reviews, book chapters, and articles have been published. In this chapter, we concentrate on the basic condition of OER catalysts. All publications exhibited that, Precious-metal electrocatalysts, such as IrO_2 and RuO_2 are exceptional catalysts for OER^{27,28} in basic conditions but less stable in acidic conditions, which shows excellent OER catalytic activity in

the basic conditions but some limitations and obstacles of the catalyst to the commercialization and large-scale production of catalyst, such as the scarcity and high cost.

Therefore, extensive research has been carried out on the alternative way for OER catalysts, the first raw transition metal oxides exhibit that excellent activity towards oxygen evolution, which attracts more attention for enhancing the intrinsic activity as well as the potential candidates for water splitting electrocatalysts. Accordingly, Chao Huang et al. successfully synthesized CoNPs@CNT composite material of Co and CNT through one step chemical vapour deposition method in alkaline condition, which exhibits a small overpotential of 380 mV at 10 mV/cm², with small Tafel slope of 82.2 mV/dec and shows excellent structural and electrochemical stability²⁹. MOF derived composite material shows promising electrocatalytic activity for OER, the Jiahui Zhang et al. synthesized hallow Co₃O₄-C/rGO-W composites material through pyrolysis of ZIF-67/GO-W precursor. The as prepared nanocomposite electrode material shows appreciable activity towards OER, the overpotential is as 382 mV at current density 10 mV/cm² and low tafel slope at 62 mV/dec with optimistic stability³⁰. Transition metal chalcogenides (TMCs), have great interest for oxygen evolution reaction, are promising candidate for this, Xiaobin Liu, et al. synthesized MOF-Derived CoSe₂ microspheres as high performance electrocatalyst for OER, here, Co-MOF is transform into CoSe₂ microspheres at distinct temperatures. The CoSe₂-450 microspheres exhibits admirable activity in 1.0 M KOH electrolytic solution, shows overpotential of 330 mV at current density 10 mV/cm² and low Tafel slope of 82.2 mV/dec, with good electrochemical durability³¹. The high conductivity of boron plays a major role in the electrocatalysts, Yanqiang Li et al. successfully developed MOF derived Co-B/C catalyst based on the Co-MOF and boron as a precursor. The high conductivity of the carbon and the Co-B enhance the catalytic activity for OER. The derived material shows low overpotential of 320 mV at 10 mA/cm² with long term stability without loss of performance after 20 hrs³². The three-dimensional nanomaterials play an important role in the electrochemistry, the 3D hierarchical ZIF-67/CoNiAl-LDH/NF synthesized through hydrothermal method by Feng Li and group. The 3D hierarchical architecture of the material enhances catalytic activity for OER cause of the electrocatalytic active surface area and the synergistic effect between the LDH nanoplatelets building blocks and 2D ZIF-67 nano arrays. The overpotential for the prepared electrocatalyst is small as 330 mV the current density 10 mV /cm² and low Tafel slope near about 88 mv/dec³³. Jahangeer Ahmed et al. recently developed a nanocomposite material NiMoO₄-NRs@RGO via simple hydrothermal route. The fabricated composite material shows superior electrocatalytic activity for oxygen evolution reaction in alkaline solution (0.5 M KOH), exhibits low overpotential of 185 mV for OER and very low tafel slope 54 mV/dec with excellent stability³⁴. Shaowei Chen and group developed promising electrocatalyst for OER, based on the Fe-Co synthesise FeSe₂@CoSe₂ nanoparticles which are supported on the rGO. In the catalyst CoSe₂ phase was situated on the surface of the FeSe₂ and formed a huge number of active sites for OER, which shows low overpotential as 260 mV at 10 mV/cm² in alkaline condition with strong stability³⁵.




Figure 3. The Overall electrochemical study (a) OER polarization curves; (b) Linear Tafel slopes; (c) C_{dl} values; (d) EIS spectra with the inset displays the equivalent circuit model; (e) Investigation of the stability by chronoamperometry; (f) Stability of the LSV curves before and after 10,000 cycles. Reproduced from ref. [29]. Copyright Elsevier.

Yadong Li and group developed new strategy to partial pyrolysis of trimetallic NiCoFe-MOF-74 as precursor. In this strategy the MOF structure was preserved for substrate diffusion, which produce highly active nanoparticles. The constructed nanostructure shows amazing electrocatalytic activity, and stability with low overpotential as 238 mV at 10 mA/cm² and the small Tafel slope of 29 mV/dec³⁶. Changsheng Cao et al. fabricated remarkable electrocatalyst based on the thin Ni(Fe)-MOF nanosheet as a precursor. The MOF nonocluster is perfectly binding with the surface of NF. The synthesised NiFe-NFF electrode material exhibits considerable OER activity in basic condition. The overpotential of 227 mV achieve at 10 mA/cm² with long term durability³⁷. Yingwei Li and group successfully developed Co based MOF derived electrocatalyst through controlled two step pyrolysis process with nanoarchitectures embedded in hollow nitrogen-doped carbon polyhedral. The optimized Co₃O₄/HNCP-40 shows remarkable activity for OER. The Overpotential is low as 333 mV at 10 mA/cm² and a very small Tafel slope of 69 mV/dec with long term stability³⁸.

Table 2. Summary of data on NPs in MOF catalysts for OER.

Sr. No.	Catalyst	Overpotential (mV)	Tafel slope (mV/dec)	Electrolyte	Stability tests	Ref.
1	CoNPs@CNT	380 mV	82.2	1 M KOH	20 h	29
2	Co ₃ O ₄ -C/rGO-W	382	62	0.1M KOH	500 cycles	30
3	CoSe ₂	330	79	1 M KOH	5000 s	31
4	Co-B/C	320	75	1 M KOH	20 h	32
5	ZIF-67/CoNiAl-LDH/NF	303	88	1 M KOH	8	33
6	NiMoO ₄ -NRs@RGO	185	54	0.5 M KOH	1000 s	34

7	FeSe ₂ @CoSe ₂ /rGO-2	260	36.3	1 M KOH	1000 cycles	35
8	NiCo/Fe ₃ O ₄ /MOF-74	238	29	1 M KOH	36 h	36
9	NiFe-NFF	227	38.9	1 M KOH	1000 cycles	37
10	yolk@shell Co ₃ O ₄ /HNCP-40	333	69	1 M KOH	1000 CV	38

Scope: This chapter represents the recent development in the field of MOF, its derived nanomaterials and its composites provide a promising material for excellent oxygen evolution reactions. Extensive research is going on, cause of its extraordinary advantages such as high specific surface area, porosity, adjusting the composition, or porosity, and optimizing the structure. By using different types of synthetic methods with a variety of ligands and metal clusters, achieve various morphologies such as nanocubes, nanorods, nanotubes, nanosheets, and nanowires and excellent catalytic properties. The highly porous structural combination, versatile nature of chemicals, and structural alteration of MOFs, with its low cost of production, has given many opportunities in the future to develop novel, multifunctional, large-scale, and commercialized MOF and its composites.

References:

- (1) Wang, Q.; Astruc, D. State of the Art and Prospects in Metal–Organic Framework (MOF)-Based and MOF-Derived Nanocatalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120* (2), 1438–1511. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00223>.
- (2) Zhou, H.-C. “Joe”; Kitagawa, S. Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs). *Chem Soc Rev* **2014**, *43* (16), 5415–5418. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS90059F>.
- (3) Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O’Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. The Chemistry and Applications of Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Science* **2013**, *341* (6149), 1230444. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1230444>.
- (4) Wang, S.; McGuirk, C. M.; d’Aquino, A.; Mason, J. A.; Mirkin, C. A. Metal-Organic Framework Nanoparticles. *Adv. Mater. Deerfield Beach Fla* **2018**, *30* (37), e1800202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201800202>.
- (5) Furukawa, H.; Ko, N.; Go, Y. B.; Aratani, N.; Choi, S. B.; Choi, E.; Yazaydin, A. Ö.; Snurr, R. Q.; O’Keeffe, M.; Kim, J.; Yaghi, O. M. Ultrahigh Porosity in Metal-Organic Frameworks. *Science* **2010**, *329* (5990), 424–428. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1192160>.
- (6) Yaghi, O. M.; Li, H. Hydrothermal Synthesis of a Metal-Organic Framework Containing Large Rectangular Channels. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117* (41), 10401–10402. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00146a033>.
- (7) Gardner, G. B.; Venkataraman, D.; Moore, J. S.; Lee, S. Spontaneous Assembly of a Hinged Coordination Network. *Nature* **1995**, *374* (6525), 792–795. <https://doi.org/10.1038/374792a0>.
- (8) Hoskins, B. F.; Robson, R. Design and Construction of a New Class of Scaffolding-like Materials Comprising Infinite Polymeric Frameworks of 3D-Linked Molecular Rods. A Reappraisal of the Zinc Cyanide and Cadmium Cyanide Structures and the Synthesis and Structure of the Diamond-Related Frameworks [N(CH₃)₄][CuIZnII(CN)₄] and

- Cu₄[4,4',4'',4''']-Tetracyanotetraphenylmethane]BF₄.XC₆H₅NO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112* (4), 1546–1554. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00160a038>.
- (9) Munakata, M.; Kuroda-Sowa, T.; Maekawa, M.; Hirota, A.; Kitagawa, S. Building of 2D Sheet of Tetrakis(Methylthio)Tetrathiafulvalenes Coordinating to Copper(I) Halides with Zigzag and Helical Frames and the 3D Network through the S.Cntdot. .Cntdot. .Cntdot.S Contacts. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34* (10), 2705–2710. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00114a032>.
- (10) Riou, D.; Roubeau, O.; Férey, G. Composite Microporous Compounds. Part I: Synthesis and Structure Determination of Two New Vanadium Alkyldiphosphonates (MIL-2 and MIL-3) with Three-Dimensional Open Frameworks. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **1998**, *23* (1), 23–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811\(98\)00042-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811(98)00042-0).
- (11) Baumann, A. E.; Burns, D. A.; Liu, B.; Thoi, V. S. Metal-Organic Framework Functionalization and Design Strategies for Advanced Electrochemical Energy Storage Devices. *Commun. Chem.* **2019**, *2* (1), 86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-019-0184-6>.
- (12) Kreno, L. E.; Leong, K.; Farha, O. K.; Allendorf, M.; Van Duyne, R. P.; Hupp, J. T. Metal–Organic Framework Materials as Chemical Sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112* (2), 1105–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200324t>.
- (13) Sabale, S. R.; Zheng, J.; Vemuri, V. R. S.; Yu, X.-Y.; McGrail, B. P.; Motkuri, R. K. Recent Advances in Metal-Organic Frameworks for Heterogeneous Catalyzed Organic Transformations. *Synth. Catal. Open Access 11Article No 5* **2016**.
- (14) Liu, J.; Zheng, J.; Barpaga, D.; Sabale, S.; Arey, B.; Derewinski, M. A.; McGrail, B. P.; Motkuri, R. K. A Tunable Bimetallic MOF-74 for Adsorption Chiller Applications. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *2018* (7), 885–889. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800042>.
- (15) Silva, P.; Vilela, S. M. F.; Tomé, J. P. C.; Almeida Paz, F. A. Multifunctional Metal–Organic Frameworks: From Academia to Industrial Applications. *Chem Soc Rev* **2015**, *44* (19), 6774–6803. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CS00307E>.
- (16) Derbe, T.; Sani, T.; Amare, E.; Girma, T. Mini Review on Synthesis, Characterization, and Application of Zeolite@MOF Composite. *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *2023*, 8760967. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/8760967>.
- (17) Zhu, Q.-L.; Xu, Q. Metal–Organic Framework Composites. *Chem Soc Rev* **2014**, *43* (16), 5468–5512. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60472A>.
- (18) Lee, Y.-R.; Kim, J.; Ahn, W.-S. Synthesis of Metal-Organic Frameworks: A Mini Review. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2013**, *30* (9), 1667–1680. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-013-0140-6>.
- (19) Zhang, L.; Liand, F.; Luo, L. Preparation Methods of Metal Organic Frameworks and Their Capture of CO₂. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *108* (4), 042104. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/108/4/042104>.
- (20) Sabale, S.; Barpaga, D.; Yao, J.; Kovarik, L.; Zhu, Z.; Chatterjee, S.; McGrail, B. P.; Motkuri, R. K.; Yu, X.-Y. Understanding Time Dependence on Zinc Metal–Organic Framework Growth Using in Situ Liquid Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (4), 5090–5098. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b19991>.
- (21) Stock, N.; Biswas, S. Synthesis of Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs): Routes to Various MOF Topologies, Morphologies, and Composites. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112* (2), 933–969. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200304e>.
- (22) Raptopoulou, C. P. Metal-Organic Frameworks: Synthetic Methods and Potential Applications. *Materials* **2021**, *14* (2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14020310>.
- (23) Xu, H.; Zeiger, B. W.; Suslick, K. S. Sonochemical Synthesis of Nanomaterials. *Chem Soc Rev* **2013**, *42* (7), 2555–2567. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35282F>.

- (24) Li, X.; Cheng, Z.; Wang, X. Understanding the Mechanism of the Oxygen Evolution Reaction with Consideration of Spin. *Electrochem. Energy Rev.* **2021**, *4* (1), 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41918-020-00084-1>.
- (25) Hunter, B. M.; Gray, H. B.; Müller, A. M. Earth-Abundant Heterogeneous Water Oxidation Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116* (22), 14120–14136. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00398>.
- (26) Kudo, A.; Miseki, Y. Heterogeneous Photocatalyst Materials for Water Splitting. *Chem Soc Rev* **2009**, *38* (1), 253–278. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B800489G>.
- (27) Lee, Y.; Suntivich, J.; May, K. J.; Perry, E. E.; Shao-Horn, Y. Synthesis and Activities of Rutile IrO₂ and RuO₂ Nanoparticles for Oxygen Evolution in Acid and Alkaline Solutions. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3* (3), 399–404. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jz2016507>.
- (28) Wang, C.; Jin, L.; Shang, H.; Xu, H.; Shiraishi, Y.; Du, Y. Advances in Engineering RuO₂ Electrocatalysts towards Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *32* (7), 2108–2116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccllet.2020.11.051>.
- (29) Huang, C.; Zhang, B.; Luo, Y.; Xiao, D.; Tang, K.; Ruan, Q.; Yang, Y.; Gao, B.; Chu, P. K. A Hybrid Co NPs@CNT Nanocomposite as Highly Efficient Electrocatalyst for Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2020**, *507*, 145155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.145155>.
- (30) Zhang, J.; Li, F.; Chen, W.; Wang, C.; Cai, D. Facile Synthesis of Hollow Co₃O₄-Embedded Carbon/Reduced Graphene Oxides Nanocomposites for Use as Efficient Electrocatalysts in Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Electrochimica Acta* **2019**, *300*, 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.01.100>.
- (31) Liu, X.; Liu, Y.; Fan, L.-Z. MOF-Derived CoSe₂ Microspheres with Hollow Interiors as High-Performance Electrocatalysts for the Enhanced Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *J Mater Chem A* **2017**, *5* (29), 15310–15314. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA04662F>.
- (32) Li, Y.; Xu, H.; Huang, H.; Gao, L.; Zhao, Y.; Ma, T. Synthesis of Co–B in Porous Carbon Using a Metal–Organic Framework (MOF) Precursor: A Highly Efficient Catalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2018**, *86*, 140–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2017.12.011>.
- (33) Xu, J.; Zhao, Y.; Li, M.; Fan, G.; Yang, L.; Li, F. A Strong Coupled 2D Metal–Organic Framework and Ternary Layered Double Hydroxide Hierarchical Nanocomposite as an Excellent Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Electrochimica Acta* **2019**, *307*, 275–284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.03.210>.
- (34) Ahmed, J.; Alam, M.; Majeed Khan, M. A.; Alshehri, S. M. Bifunctional Electro-Catalytic Performances of NiMoO₄-NRs@RGO Nanocomposites for Oxygen Evolution and Oxygen Reduction Reactions. *J. King Saud Univ. - Sci.* **2021**, *33* (2), 101317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.101317>.
- (35) Zhu, G.; Xie, X.; Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Shen, X.; Xu, K.; Chen, S. Nanocomposites Based on CoSe₂-Decorated FeSe₂ Nanoparticles Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide as High-Performance Electrocatalysts toward Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (22), 19258–19270. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b04024>.
- (36) Wang, X.; Xiao, H.; Li, A.; Li, Z.; Liu, S.; Zhang, Q.; Gong, Y.; Zheng, L.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, C.; Wang, D.; Peng, Q.; Gu, L.; Han, X.; Li, J.; Li, Y. Constructing NiCo/Fe₃O₄ Heteroparticles within MOF-74 for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (45), 15336–15341. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08744>.
- (37) Cao, C.; Ma, D.-D.; Xu, Q.; Wu, X.-T.; Zhu, Q.-L. Semisacrificial Template Growth of Self-Supporting MOF Nanocomposite Electrode for Efficient Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29* (6), 1807418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201807418>.

- (38) Ding, D.; Shen, K.; Chen, X.; Chen, H.; Chen, J.; Fan, T.; Wu, R.; Li, Y. Multi-Level Architecture Optimization of MOF-Templated Co-Based Nanoparticles Embedded in Hollow N-Doped Carbon Polyhedra for Efficient OER and ORR. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (9), 7879–7888. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b02504>.