

Effect of Parameters on Friction Stir Welding of Dissimilar Metals-A review

Priyadarsini Morampudi

Department of Mechanical Engineering, VNR Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, 500090, Telangana, India.

ABSTRACT

Effective welding techniques were developed as a result of the need for stronger and lighter constructions. Among these, friction stir welding process (FSW) is a very recent technology and has been extensively investigated in recent decades. In this method, welding is accomplished by the friction between a revolving tool and work materials to be fused. FSW is a new and innovative joining technique that does not require melting, casting or solidification of the weld zone. It is a versatile, eco-friendly and energy efficient method that can weld high-strength aerospace materials and other metals that are hard to weld by conventional method. This research effort focuses on the FSW process in dissimilar metals, such as i) optimizing the welding process parameters, traverse speed, tool material, design, tool rotation speed and tilted angle (ii) mechanical properties of joints.

Keywords—FSW, weld speed, tilt angle, TRS.

I. INTRODUCTION

Friction stir welding process is a novel welding technique that does not require melting, casting or solidification of the weld zone, it was first introduced by The Welding Institute (TWI), Cambridge, UK, in 1991[1]. FSW is a cost-effective and efficient method for joining materials that are hard to weld by conventional methods such as aluminum alloys and magnesium, etc. As welding occurs solely through the forging effect and no material melting occurs, the mechanical qualities of the materials to be bonded are not diminished during FSW. It also avoids the problems of defects like gas bubbles or cracks that can happen in traditional welding methods that involve melting the metal. Moreover, it's a green process because it doesn't emit any harmful gases or smoke that come from heating and vaporizing the metal. The types of defects that can occur in FSW are different from those in traditional welding methods. Some common defects in FSW are onion rings, tunneling, porosity, lack of consolidation, excessive flash, kissing bonds and root flaws. These defects can be avoided by adjusting the welding parameters according to the material properties. The quality and performance of FSW depend on several parameters, such as shape and material of the tool, the welding speed, the travel speed of the tool, tilt angle, axial load, shoulder diameter and the material properties of the work-piece[2]. Fig.1 shows the FSW process with different parameters. The FSW procedure helps to form a weld by converting mechanical energy to thermal energy without the need for heat from outside sources. This approach can also be used to weld incompatible and difficult-to-weld materials. Here how FSW works:

A. Frictional Heat Generation: FSW is a technique that joins two pieces of material by using a rotating tool that creates heat and pressure without melting or consuming the material. The tool has a special shape that creates heat and pressure between the material pieces, softening and mixing them.

B. Plasticization: The material does not melt from the heat generated by friction. Instead, it becomes softer and more pliable, allowing it to be shaped by the tool.

C. Mechanical Stirring: As the tool rotates, it presses and mixes the softened material. The material surrounds around the tool and joins together along the joint line. This creates a strong bond in the solid state.

D. Weld Formation: The tool moves along the joint line, creating a weld bead that connects the two material pieces. The material does not melt at any point during this process.

FSW is performed by two different joint types, such as the lap joint and the butt joint. It does not need protecting gases and welding materials with minimal distortion and no porosity .FSW is crucial for the purpose of welding lightweight metal alloys like magnesium, copper and aluminum alloys which aid in automotive, aerospace, and marine applications. Tool is crucial to the processes involved in connecting materials. [3][4][5]. The FSW involves heating the two dissimilar materials via friction, and as a result, residual stresses are created. This is important because the linear coefficient thermal expansion of the welded work piece has a significant impact on the wilding's fatigue behavior [6].




Fig.1. A schematic diagram of FSW with parameters [7]

II LITERATURE ON FSW IMPORTANT FACTORS

E.Tool design, tool material and tool tilt angle

The current study must pay special attention to the, tool material ,tool pin profiles and quality. Typically, the selection of tool materials is determined by the work piece's hardness.

Won-Bae Lee et al.[8] Welded titanium and stainless steel employing the friction stir welding technique with composite carbide as a filler material. They demonstrated the significance of this tool material's excellent wear resistance and persistent toughness. They discovered by contrasting the strengths of two different tools—one composed of Ti6Al-4 V alloy and the other of WC-Co alloy.

P.S. Gowthaman et.al.[9] used tap screw- threaded pin tool madeup of AISI H13 and studied the mechanical and corrosion properties of AA2024 /AA7075 dissimilar metal welded joints with the varying TRS from 1000 to 1400rpm, welding speed 20mm/min and 40mm/min and maintain 4KN axial force kept constant. The results shows that the UTS, YS increased when weld speed decreased from 20 mm/min and 1000rpm. No corrosion was found for the welds in the period of 48hrs.

S. Delijaicov et.al [10] examined tri-dissimilar junctions using titanium alloy Ti6Al4V with aluminum alloys 2024-T4 and 7475-T6. A butt weld was produced by positioning the Al alloys at the top of the welding process. The titanium alloy was layered over the Al alloy to form a lap welding at the bottom of the weld. Rotation, weld speed, and tilt parameters were changed. The tool's measurements were 20 mm for shoulder diameter and 80 mm for length, and it was manufactured of H13 steel. Residual stress and micro hardness on the aluminum side exhibit a high correlation; reduced micro hardness due to a high residual stress value. On the Ti side, residual stress is temperature dependent; The high temperature resulted in a low stress value.

Mohammed M. Hasan et al. [11] investigated the FSW of AA7075-AA6061 alloys using the RSM with central composite design in relation to the rotational and axial speed, tool tilt angle, and tool shape. They used five concave-shouldered tools with various probe profiles. They discovered that a sound weld with a high weld strength, a wider nugget area, and superior surface quality can be produced using a welding tool with a threaded truncated cone pin and single flat.

Sadeesh P et al. [12] welded the AA2024 and AA6061 by friction stir welding with different Rotation speed (710,1000rpm), weld speed 28,40 mm/min and maintained tilting angle 2° using different tool geometries (cylindrical pin, stepped pin, squared pin, cylindrical threaded pin and tapered pin). From the results UTS 209 MPa, 194 MPa and micro hardness 105.15 HV, 135.6 HV were recorded for cylindrical threaded pin and squared pin profiles respectively. For a squared pin, the joint efficiency is 87%, while for a cylindrical threaded pin, it is 80%.

Uttam Acharya et al. [13] studied the effect of force and torque produced during friction stir welding to evaluating and controlling the final weld's quality. AA6092/ 17.5SiCp-T6 composite plates with a 6 mm thickness are welded using a taper pin tool, at 1000, 1500, and 2000 rpm tool rotational speeds, with a 2 mm/sec fixed traverse speed and a tilt angle of 2°. Tensile strength was used as a measure to examine the impact of particle size in the weld zone. A greater strength and elongation was attained by the welded sample with the finer-sized particles.

P. Xue et al. [14] welded 1060 aluminium and pure copper with Rotation speed 600rpm), Traverse speed 50(mm/min) by using large taper pin tool geometry. The tensile shear force increased to 2680 N, when the Al plate at advancing side and the joints failed in the Al side's Hazardous Area in the lap Al-Cu joint.

Omar S. Salih et al. [15] understanding of how the mechanical and metallurgical characteristics of AMC are affected by FSW with different tool rotation speed (1500, 1800 and 2100 rpm) and traverse speed (25,50 and 100 mm/min) to generate AA6092/SiC/17.5p-T6 AMC joints. The tool was made of AISI H13 with a 6° flat edge, featureless concave shoulder that measured 16 mm in diameter. It also had an M6 threaded cylindrical pin with a domed end that was 2.8 mm long. The joint that was welded at a rotation speed of 1500 rpm and 100 mm/min traverse speed had the best tensile characteristics out of all the weld joints that were examined and microstructural characteristics are controlled by FSW settings, the best joint performance was obtained when a tool rotation speed of 1500 rpm and a traverse speed of 25, 50 mm/min were combined.

F. Friction stir welding parameters

In FSW, the process variables are axial force, welding speed (WS), tool rotation speed (TRS), rake angle, and tool geometry. These variables determine the frictional heat generated and the weld quality.

K. Kalaiselvan, N. Murugan [16] studied the impact of tool rotation speed, Traverse speed, axial force on the tensile strength of composite joints made of AA6061-B₄C. The current study concentrated on how FSW factors affected the Al-B₄C composite joints' tensile strength. From the findings, the joint that was produced with a 12% reinforcement, an axial force of 10 kN, a weld speed of 1.3 mm/s, and TRS of 1000 r/min exhibited a greater UTS in comparison to the other joints.

Mohammadreza Aali [17] examined the impact of spindle rotation on the qualities of the weld using a novel form of titanium alloy, Ti4Al2V, and discovered that the weld's hardness increased as rotational speed increased and also further discovered that in order to prevent certain flaws like pitting, the tool's transverse and rotational speeds should be properly coordinated.

J. Zapata et al.[18] investigated the impact of WS, residual stresses and rotational speed on the AA2024-T3 and AA6061-T6 dissimilar welds. From the results authors found the magnitude of the longitudinal residual stresses decreases as the rotating speed increases and when compared to the impact of rotational speed, the welding speed had little or no impact on residual stress.

J.F. Guo et al. [19] Used FSW to join AA7075/ AA6061 alloys under various process settings Rotation speed (1200rpm), Traverse speed 2,3,5mm/min and tilt angle of 2.5° by using threaded conical probe geometry. In the weld, the AA6061 and AA7075 alloys have both shown a little decrease in micro hardness when compared to their respective base metals. The greatest UTS recorded in condition D5 was 245 MPa, which is 32% greater than what is needed according to the AWS standard for FSW.

Madhav Raturi et al.[20] examined the impact of process variables such as feed rate, TRS and pin geometry as well as the use of preheating, on dissimilar FSW between Al6061-T6 and Al 7075-T651 alloys. When appropriate tool pin profiles are employed, the TRS and feed rate are observed to be the most significant factors.

Sheng Zhao et al.[21] Studied the effect of geometry of the tool on AA6061 FSW to TRIP steel with different Rotation speed (1800,1200rpm), Traverse speed 60,120(mm/min) by using large and small taper pin tool.

Y.J. Kwon et al [22] performed dissimilar FSW between 2mm thickness of Mg and aluminium alloy plates and maintained TRS from 800 to 1600 rpm and at a 300 mm/min constant weld speed . Defect-free welds were obtained at TRS of 1000, 1200, and 1400 rpm, and the surface morphology of the welds got smoother as the tool rotation speed was raised. The maximum UTS was achieved 132 MPa at 1000 rpm.

G. Material of the welded samples

Yongxian Huang et al. [23] welded the ultra-thin 6061-T4 sheet was successfully via micro friction stir welding (μ FSW). Tensile strength was first increased with increasing welding speed and then dropped at higher welding speeds than 500mm/min. When the triflat pin was used, the maximum values of the FSW joint's tensile strength and elongation were 220.3 MPa and 11.7%.

Shalom Akhai et.al [24] goal of this work is to use RSM to analyse the strength of the weld results of Al6061 and 8011 composites that were created by FSW. The RSM technique was used, taking into account the following process parameters: axial force (AF) of 5, 6, and 7 KN; WS of 75, 100, and 125 mm/min; and rotating speed (RS) of 1,200, 1300, and 1400 rpm. This study found that, during run no. 8, with parameters of RS 1400 rpm, WS 100 mm/min, and AF 7 KN, the best welding strength produced was 127 MPa.

T Vuherer et al.[25] Studied the fatigue and mechanical characteristics of the alloyed AA-2024 aluminium alloy, which is welded using the FSW technique. The welding regime B-II with the middle welding speed of 116 mm/min corresponds to the best mechanical characteristics. According to the experimental results of the fatigue testing, the welding regime B-II (welding speed 116 mm/min) for both the LCF and HCF region corresponds to the highest fatigue strength.

Pradeep Johnson , N. Murugan [26] studied 3mm-thick AISI 321 stainless steel sheets were joined using FSW. When compared to the base metal, the weld joints that were created at 500 and 700 rpm had substantially higher strengths, measuring roughly 88% and 93%. Consequently, the weld stir zones' hardness was considerably

higher than the base metal's hardness. FSW samples showed superior hardness, better yield strength, and consequently increased tensile strength and decreased ductility to base metal.

Table .1 Welding parameters, mechanical properties of FSW dissimilar joints

S.no	Material	Tool Rotation Speed (rpm)	Weld Speed (mm/min)	Axial Force (KN)	Tilt angle/offset (°/mm)	Tool Geometry	Tool Material	UTS (MPa)	YS (MPa)	Hardness (HV)
Surakarta Sahu [27]	magnesium (AZ31B) alloy and dual-phase steel (DP600)	800 and 1600	50,100, and 150		2°	Cylindrical Pin	tungsten carbide tool			
S. Jayaprakash Retacted [28]	aluminum alloys 5083 and 7068	800, 1000, 1200, 1400	30,40, 50,60	3, 4, 5, and 6		Taper cylindrical tool, Triangular tool, straight cylindrical tool	High speed tool (HSS)	Taper 276 MPa, Triangular 286 MPa, Straight 275 MPa		Taped 75HV, Triangular 86 HV, Straight 82HV
Neves Manuel [29]	AA2017-T4, AA6082-T6, and AA5083-H111	500	60,120,230			threaded pin, pyramidal pin, progressive threaded pin, progressive pyramidal pin	H13 steel	562 TP-230 304 MPa		
Janusz Torzewski [30]	AA7020/AA5083	(400, 800, and 1200	100, 200, 300	17 kN	2°	threaded conical pin	---	303 MPa, 800 rpm and 200 mm/min	157	
Yongseng Meng [31]	AZ31B magnesium alloy and Q235 low carbon steel	650, 750, 850, and 950	55, 65, 75, and 85		3°	truncated cone-shaped stirring pin with threads	W-Re cemented carbide	189.4 MPa 850rpm, 75mm/min		
Banglont Fu [32]	6061-T6 aluminum alloy to AZ31B magnesium alloy	600–800	30–60		0.3 mm	frustum-shaped right-hand threaded pin	H13 tool steel	175 MPa was obtained at 700 rpm and 50		

								mm/min		
G. Mrudula[33]	Al6061-T6 and 6082-T6	900 and 1200	30	5	2°	tapered cylindrical and threaded tool	H13, D2 and SS316	178.75 N/mm ² when H13 tool used	149.33 N/mm ² when SS-316 is used	11.8 when D2 is used
K. Palani [2]	8011-H24 and 6061-T6 aluminum alloys	1200	50	-	-	hexagonal pin profile, addition of SiC and Al ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	D2 grade steel	98.58 % SiC nanoparticles, 94.28 with Al ₂ O ₃		
Navneet Khanna [34]	AA 6061-T6 and AA 8011-h14	1070	50	2°/1mm		tapered pin tool	high-carbon high-chromium tool steel	77.88 MPa		21.96%
S. Balamuru gan [35]	AA6061-T6 and AA5052-H32	800, 950, 1100	30, 60, 80	-	-	square, cylinder, triangle	H13 tool steel	181 MPa Square tool with 1100 rpm and 60 mm/min	-	-

IV. CONCLUSIONS

From the several research articles the following conclusions are drawn

- Friction stir welding is the ideal method to utilize when combining various aluminum alloys that are long in length and where a high-quality weld is required.
- Friction stir welding is used to try to weld materials with high melting points. Some of these materials, such as magnesium, titanium and steel have been successfully welded using the friction stir welding procedure.
- Furthermore, the amount of heat generation and strength of FSW joints are significantly influenced by welding parameters such tool rotation speed, weld speed and axial force.
- Rotation speed has the biggest impact on joint efficiency, while traverse speed and axial force have varying effects on the tensile strength of AMC joints.
- Different tools are employed depending on the melting temperature of the work pieces to be welded.
- The life of the tool and joint efficiency may be increased by using innovative tool designs with frustrum shapes, or self-optimized shapes, surface heat treatment procedures, and pin surface coating with a substance that is compatible with the substrate.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. Murr, "Handbook of materials structures, properties, processing and performance," *Handb. Mater. Struct. Prop. Process. Perform.*, pp. 1–1152, 2015, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-01815-7.
- [2] K. Palani *et al.*, "Influence of Friction Stir Processing Parameters on Tensile properties and Microstructure of Dissimilar AA 8011-H24 and AA 6061-T6 aluminum alloy joints in Nugget Zone," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 390, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/390/1/012108.
- [3] W. Y. Li, J. F. Li, Z. H. Zhang, D. L. Gao, and Y. J. Chao, "Metal Flow during Friction Stir Welding of 7075-T651 Aluminum Alloy," *Exp. Mech.*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1573–1582, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s11340-013-9760-3.
- [4] I. Küçükkrendeci, "Mechanical and microstructural properties of en AW-6060 aluminum alloy joints produced by friction stir welding," *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Tech. Sci.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 475–478, 2015, doi: 10.1515/bpasts-2015-0054.
- [5] P. Srinivasulu, G. K. M. Rao, and M. S. Gupta, "Evaluation of Bending Strength of Friction Stir Welded Aa 6082 Aluminum Alloy Butt Joints," vol. 8354, no. 4, pp. 1262–1270, 2015.
- [6] I. V. Vysotskiy, S. S. Malopheyev, S. Y. Mironov, and R. O. Kaibyshev, "Optimization of Friction-Stir Welding of 6061-T6 Aluminum Alloy," *Phys. Mesomech.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 402–429, 2020, doi: 10.1134/S1029959920050057.
- [7] O. S. Salih, H. Ou, W. Sun, and D. G. McCartney, "A review of friction stir welding of aluminium matrix composites," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 86, pp. 61–71, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2015.07.071.
- [8] W. B. Lee and S. B. Jung, "Effect of microstructure on mechanical properties of friction-welded joints between Ti and AISI 321 stainless steel," *Mater. Trans.*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 2805–2811, 2004, doi: 10.2320/matertrans.45.2805.
- [9] P. S. Gowthaman and B. A. Saravanan, "Determination of weldability study on mechanical properties of dissimilar Al-alloys using Friction stir welding process," *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 44, no. xxxx, pp. 206–212, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2020.08.599.
- [10] S. Delijaicov, D. Y. Yakabu, B. De Macedo, H. B. Resende, and M. H. F. Batalha, "Characterization of the surface and mechanical properties of the friction stir welding in tri-dissimilar joints with aluminum alloys and titanium alloy," *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 95, no. 1–4, pp. 1339–1355, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-017-1306-x.
- [11] M. M. Hasan, M. Ishak, and M. R. M. Rejab, "Influence of machine variables and tool profile on the tensile strength of dissimilar AA7075-AA6061 friction stir welds," *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 90, no. 9–12, pp. 2605–2615, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00170-016-9583-3.
- [12] P. Sadeesh *et al.*, "Studies on friction stir welding of aa 2024 and aa 6061 dissimilar metals," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 75, pp. 145–149, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2013.11.031.
- [13] U. Acharya, B. S. Roy, and S. C. Saha, "Torque and force perspectives on particle size and its effect on mechanical property of friction stir welded AA6092/17.5SiC p -T6 composite joints," *J. Manuf. Process.*, vol. 38, no. October 2018, pp. 113–121, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jmapro.2019.01.009.
- [14] P. Xue, B. L. Xiao, D. Wang, and Z. Y. Ma, "Achieving high property friction stir welded aluminium/copper lap joint at low heat input," *Sci. Technol. Weld. Join.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 657–661, 2011, doi: 10.1179/1362171811Y.0000000018.
- [15] O. S. Salih, H. Ou, X. Wei, and W. Sun, "Microstructure and mechanical properties of friction stir welded AA6092/SiC metal matrix composite," *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*, vol. 742, no. August 2018, pp. 78–88, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.msea.2018.10.116.
- [16] K. Kalaiselvan and N. Murugan, "Role of friction stir welding parameters on tensile strength of AA6061-B4C composite joints," *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China (English Ed.)*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 616–624, 2013, doi: 10.1016/S1003-6326(13)62507-8.
- [17] M. Aali, "Investigation of Spindle Rotation Rate Effects on the Mechanical Behavior of Friction Stir Welded Ti 4Al 2V Alloy," *J. Weld. Join.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 81–91, 2020, doi: 10.5781/jwj.2020.38.1.9.
- [18] J. Zapata, M. Toro, and D. López, "Residual stresses in friction stir dissimilar welding of aluminum alloys," *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 229, no. 2016, pp. 121–127, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2015.08.026.
- [19] J. F. Guo, H. C. Chen, C. N. Sun, G. Bi, Z. Sun, and J. Wei, "Friction stir welding of dissimilar materials between AA6061 and AA7075 Al alloys effects of process parameters," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 56, pp. 185–192, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2013.10.082.
- [20] M. Raturi, A. Garg, and A. Bhattacharya, "Joint strength and failure studies of dissimilar AA6061-AA7075 friction stir welds: Effects of tool pin, process parameters and preheating," *Eng. Fail. Anal.*, vol. 96, no. August 2018, pp. 570–588, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.engfailanal.2018.12.003.
- [21] S. Zhao *et al.*, "Effects of tool geometry on friction stir welding of AA6061 to TRIP steel," *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 261, no.

December 2017, pp. 39–49, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2018.06.003.

- [22] Y. J. Kwon, I. Shigematsu, and N. Saito, “Dissimilar friction stir welding between magnesium and aluminum alloys,” *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 62, no. 23, pp. 3827–3829, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2008.04.080.
- [23] Y. Huang *et al.*, “Microstructures and mechanical properties of micro friction stir welding (μ FSW) of 6061-T4 aluminum alloy,” *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1084–1091, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2017.10.010.
- [24] S. Akhai, P. Srivastava, V. Sharma, and A. Bhatia, “Investigating weld strength of AA8011-6062 alloys joined via friction-stir welding using the RSM approach,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1950, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1950/1/012016.
- [25] T. Vuherer, J. Kramberger, D. Milčić, M. Milčić, and S. Glodež, “Fatigue behaviour of friction stir welded AA-2024 aluminium alloy sheets,” *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 659, no. 1, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/659/1/012032.
- [26] P. Johnson and N. Murugan, “Microstructure and mechanical properties of friction stir welded AISI321 stainless steel,” *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 3967–3976, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.02.023.
- [27] S. Sahu, O. Mypati, S. K. Pal, M. Shome, and P. Srirangam, “Effect of weld parameters on joint quality in friction stir welding of Mg alloy to DP steel dissimilar materials,” *CIRP J. Manuf. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 35, pp. 502–516, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.cirpj.2021.06.012.
- [28] S. Welding and A. Aa, “Retracted : Effect of Tool Profile Influence in Dissimilar Friction,” vol. 2021, 2023.
- [29] N. Manuel, D. Beltrão, I. Galvão, R. M. Leal, J. D. Costa, and A. Loureiro, “Influence of tool geometry and process parameters on torque, temperature, and quality of friction stir welds in dissimilar al alloys,” *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 14, no. 20, 2021, doi: 10.3390/ma14206020.
- [30] J. Torzewski, M. Łazińska, K. Grzelak, I. Szachogłuchowicz, and J. Mierzyński, “Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Dissimilar Friction Stir Welded Joint AA7020/AA5083 with Different Joining Parameters,” *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 5, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15051910.
- [31] Y. Meng *et al.*, “Friction stir butt welding of magnesium alloy to steel by truncated cone-shaped stirring pin with threads,” *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 291, no. November 2020, p. 117038, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2020.117038.
- [32] B. Fu, G. Qin, F. Li, X. Meng, J. Zhang, and C. Wu, “Friction stir welding process of dissimilar metals of 6061-T6 aluminum alloy to AZ31B magnesium alloy,” *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 218, no. 2015, pp. 38–47, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2014.11.039.
- [33] G. Mrudula, P. Bhargavi, and A. Krishnaiah, “Effect of tool material on mechanical properties of friction stir welded dissimilar aluminium joints,” *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 38, pp. 3306–3313, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2020.10.042.
- [34] N. Khanna, P. Sharma, M. Bharati, and V. J. Badheka, “Friction stir welding of dissimilar aluminium alloys AA 6061-T6 and AA 8011-h14: a novel study,” *J. Brazilian Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s40430-019-2090-3.
- [35] S. Balamurugan, K. Jayakumar, and K. Subbaiah, “Influence of Friction Stir Welding Parameters on Dissimilar Joints AA6061-T6 and AA5052-H32,” *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 11985–11998, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s13369-021-05773-7.