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**Abstract:**

This paper explores the transformative potential of Augmented Reality (AR) in education, emphasizing its ability to create immersive and engaging learning experiences. As one of the world’s largest education systems, India stands at the forefront of adopting AR technologies, which cater to a diverse student population increasingly accustomed to digital interactions. The literature review presents a comprehensive overview of AR's definition, characteristics, and its emerging trends, highlighting its applications across various educational contexts. Furthermore, the paper discusses the benefits of AR in enhancing student engagement, improving learning outcomes, and supporting diverse learners, including those with cognitive and learning disabilities. Methodologically, the study analyzes recent research findings sourced primarily from established academic databases while identifying the challenges that hinder AR’s broader implementation in educational settings. The conclusion emphasizes the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration among educators, technologists, and instructional designers to effectively harness AR’s potential. The paper also outlines future research directions and potential innovations, underscoring AR's capacity to reshape teaching and learning paradigms.
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1. **Introduction**

Today, India boasts of one of the largest education systems with about 1.49 Mn schools, 9.5 Mn teachers, and nearly 265 Mn students. Being the world’s most populous nation, India boasts a remarkable demographic advantage with a substantial youth population. Nearly one out of every four individuals falls between the ages of 15 and 29, fuelling the demand for a highly skilled and capable workforce across a wide range of industries and sectors.

The education sector in India was estimated to be worth $117 Bn and is expected to reach $313 Bn by FY30.[87]

The rapid advancement of technology has had a profound impact on all areas of life, including education. This shift has contributed to the rise of educational technology, an interdisciplinary field that incorporates technological tools into the learning process. [1] As a result, teaching methods, learning environments, and instructional approaches have undergone significant changes. The integration of technology in education was further accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic .[2,3]

 Background on Augmented Reality (AR)

Today’s students, often described as digital natives, have grown up in a world saturated with digital technology. They are highly skilled at using digital devices and media as part of their daily lives.[4] With instant access to information from virtually anywhere, the way students acquire knowledge and stay informed has changed dramatically.[5] Furthermore, they seek to build their identities within dynamic, socially connected communities, prioritizing immediate responses and continuous connectivity. [6] This shift has drastically altered their educational expectations and requirements, leading to a reassessment of conventional teaching practices.

As a result, students’ educational needs and perspectives on effective learning have undergone significant changes. They now seek personalized, meaningful learning experiences in more dynamic and engaging environments that motivate them to actively participate and improve their performance.[7] Rather than being passive listeners, students prefer to be involved in the educational process as active participants.[8]

Moreover, learning becomes more natural, meaningful, and efficient when it centers on student inquiry, fosters the development of 21st-century skills, addresses social issues, and integrates information and communication technologies (ICT).[9,10] When cutting-edge technologies are utilized in a student-centered approach, they enhance learning by creating deeper, more meaningful educational experiences. [11]As digital devices and emerging technologies rapidly become part of teaching and learning activities, traditional, less effective tools are being replaced, while educational processes are enhanced, and new methods and approaches are introduced.[12,13]

Importance of AR in education

To provide high-quality education and meet students' evolving needs, the adoption of technology-enhanced learning is essential. However, it is crucial to focus on students’ skills, knowledge, personality traits, interests, and preferences while continuously motivating, encouraging, and engaging them.[14] Tools such as augmented reality (AR) and gamification can significantly improve the educational process and support the development of 21st-century skills, which encompass intrapersonal, interpersonal, and cognitive competencies—all fundamental to learning.[15]

Due to its immersive, interactive, and engaging nature, augmented reality can be applied across various subjects at all educational levels, offering educational benefits and creating new learning opportunities.[16,17] Gamification, by integrating game elements into teaching, has a positive impact on the educational process. It makes learning more intriguing, motivating, and engaging, potentially leading to enhanced student performance.[18,19]

 To meet the evolving needs and expectations of students, education is increasingly incorporating new technologies and technological paradigms into its processes.[20].The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of integrating new technologies and adopting innovative approaches in education, underlined the necessity to transform traditional learning environments and activities.[21]

The user can interact with virtual objects in their physical environment using AR. For instance, this is beneficial when the user has to interact with virtual objects while also remaining aware of their physical environment. Digital overlays could guide students through complex activities such as learning how to repair a complex machine or conduct a medical procedure. An example of this would be adding a virtual model of an artwork to a classroom or overlaying text and images on historical sites.

To better understand its implications for Higher Education, knowing what exactly AR is. Hence, augmented reality elements are imagery, sound effects, or text that enhance the existing reality. There are numerous approaches to education and training that equip individuals with the specific information and skills they require. These methods include traditional classroom lectures supplemented by textbooks, as well as learning through computers, handheld devices, and other electronic tools. The choice of educational innovations often depends on an individual’s access to technology and the surrounding infrastructure. In our rapidly evolving society, where vast amounts of information and knowledge are readily available, it is essential to adopt and apply this information at the right time and place to maintain efficiency in both academic and professional environments. Augmented Reality (AR) is one technology that significantly alters the location and timing of education and training. This literature review explores AR, its applications in education and training, and its potential impact on the future of learning.

[88] AR objects are usually created by processing several photos or creating a 3-D model based on a real object. In addition to QR codes, other markers such as geolocation data and object recognition features can also be used to place virtual objects on the screen. Depending on the nature of the app, users can either view or interact with virtual objects in augmented reality. Most AR applications run on smartphones and tablets, so no special equipment is needed. As a result, AR is particularly suitable for Education. Teachers can use printable markers in the classroom with many educational apps. Some objects pop out of the markers when the kids point their smartphones at them.

Zoom classes have become common among students, but some are also beginning to use AR applications at home for a more interactive learning experience. For example, Google Expeditions aims to expand Education beyond the classroom with AR content. Expeditions can engage students on virtual field trips and present interesting facts about specific landmarks they see as they explore a particular region.

Additionally, AR offers promising tools to engage students with cognitive and learning disabilities, such as autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, dyslexia, or other learning disabilities, in the classroom and remotely. AR can be used to assist students with learning disabilities by modifying textbooks or flashcards to help augment physical learning tools.

Augmented Reality technology will remain one of the most essential in the coming years. Its broad scope of applications and usages spanning various industries consolidates its core position.

**II. Literature Review**

 **Definition of Augmented Reality**

Researchers in computer science and educational technology have offered diverse definitions of augmented reality (AR). Milgram, Takemura, Utsumi, and Kishino (1994) outlined two approaches to defining AR: a broad approach and a more restrictive one. In the broad sense, AR is described as "augmenting natural feedback to the operator with simulated cues" (p. 283). Conversely, the restricted approach focuses on the technological aspect, defining AR as "a form of virtual reality where the participant’s head-mounted display is transparent, allowing a clear view of the real world" (p. 283).

Some researchers have defined AR based on its key features. Azuma (1997) proposed that AR systems possess three essential characteristics: a combination of real and virtual environments, real-time interaction, and precise 3D alignment of virtual and real objects.

Klopfer (2008) argued against a restrictive definition of AR, suggesting that it could apply to any technology that meaningfully integrates real and virtual information. Klopfer and Squire (2008) broadly defined AR as "a situation in which a real-world context is dynamically overlaid with coherent location- or context-sensitive virtual information" (p. 205). In this scenario, AR offers technology-mediated immersive experiences where the real and virtual worlds merge (Klopfer & Sheldon, 2010), enhancing users' interactions and engagement (Dunleavy, Dede, & Mitchell, 2009).

For educators and designers, a broader definition of AR is more beneficial, as it allows for the creation and implementation of AR using a variety of technologies, such as desktop computers, handheld devices, and head-mounted displays (Broll et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2010b; Liu, 2009). In this sense, AR is not confined to specific technologies and should be viewed from a wider perspective. It enhances the affordances of the real world by providing contextual and additional information, enriching learners' experiences of reality (Squire & Klopfer, 2007). While AR is often associated with technology, its concept should extend beyond technological boundaries.[86]

**Emerging technologies and trends in AR**

The top Augmented Reality (AR) trends in 2024 are diverse and innovative, signaling significant technological advancements and applications across industries. Connecting the physical and digital worlds gives users a new quality, which is why they are used in many industries.

### Generative AI Solutions and Multi-Sensory Technology

Integrating generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) with AR is expected to enhance the creation of digital worlds, artwork, and realistic characters. There is also a focus on multi-sensory technology, including haptic gloves and devices that stimulate senses like smell, to create more immersive experiences​​.

### Advancements in AR Hardware

Apple’s improvements in motion capture and people occlusion are notable, as is the potential of LiDAR scanning for creating detailed floor plans and (AR) Augmented Reality measurement tools. The competition between Apple’s ARKit and Google’s ARCore continues, with both striving to enhance Augmented Reality experiences through sophisticated hardware and software​​.

### WebAR and Cross-Platform AR

WebAR, which doesn’t require additional software downloads, offers essential but accessible AR experiences. Cross-platform AR, on the other hand, balances performance and accessibility, catering to a broader range of devices but with some limitations compared to native apps​​.

### AR in Retail and Live Shopping

AR is increasingly used in retail for virtual try-ons and to enhance the shopping experience. Live shopping, where Augmented Reality plays a crucial role in product demonstrations and interactions, is expected to grow significantly, with sales projected to reach significant figures in the USA alone by 2024.

### AR in Diverse Industries

The application of AR in education, healthcare, and marketing is evolving rapidly. Augmented Reality brings textbooks to life with interactive 3D models in education, while in healthcare, it aids in surgeries and medical training. Marketing is utilizing AR for interactive campaigns and virtual product try-ons​​.

### AR-Based Gaming

Gaming is a significant driver for AR, with developers creating better AR devices and integrating AR elements into traditional games. Startups like Mohx-games and [smar.toys](http://smar.toys/) advance multiplayer AR game development and combine AR gameplay with physical toys​​.

### Mobile AR Tools

Smartphone technology advances enable more accurate and immersive mobile Augmented Reality experiences. Tools for tracking, image recognition, and 3D rendering are becoming more sophisticated, aiding in developing AR apps for various industries​​.

### Wearables and AR Controllers

Wearable AR devices, such as [smart glasses](https://nsflow.com/blog/what-are-smart-glasses) and head-mounted displays, are gaining traction. They offer hands-free experiences and are particularly useful in industries like manufacturing and logistics. Startups like ARKH are developing wearable AR controllers for more intuitive interactions​​.

### Harmonious Fusion of Virtual Reality and AR

### There is a growing trend of blending Virtual and Augmented Reality to create mixed-reality experiences. This integration allows for a new wave of AR experiences in gaming, storytelling, and immersive simulations​​.

### AR Super Apps

The development of AR super apps is on the rise, offering a range of augmented experiences within a single platform. These apps aim to provide seamless transitions between various Augmented Reality applications, enhancing user convenience and engagement​​.

Considering the trends in new technologies and the best possible user experience, AR technology will continue to evolve and expand its impact in various sectors, offering more immersive, interactive, and innovative experiences.[89]

 **Benefits of AR for learners and educators**

 Augmented reality (AR) enhances users' perception of their physical environment by adding virtual objects and data to their sensory experience. Specifically, AR utilizes computer technologies to create a mixed reality where real and virtual elements coexist in real-time [22–28]. As a versatile and interactive tool, AR becomes even more powerful when combined with other cutting-edge technologies [29]. Its ability to present interactive content and alter users' perceptions has had a significant impact across many fields, including education [30]. By blending the real world with digital information, AR creates innovative learning environments that promote active, interconnected learning. AR's close ties to education, e-learning, gamification, and human-computer interaction, along with its use of 3D models and animations, improve memory retention and increase motivation [31].

AR breaks down the barriers of traditional education, offering high-quality learning experiences anytime and anywhere [32]. Its growing popularity [33] and proven effectiveness in teaching and learning have led to a rise in both the number and quality of studies focused on AR in educational contexts [34]. Recent systematic reviews, scientific mappings, and bibliometric studies have highlighted the benefits of student-centered AR integration in education, while also addressing some of its challenges and limitations [27,35–40]. The immersive, engaging, and realistic experiences AR offers can support learning environments that encourage inclusive, collaborative, autonomous, problem-based, and ubiquitous learning [17,41–44]. Compared to traditional learning setups, AR-based environments are more interactive [45], while also saving time, money, and resources [46]. Students tend to find these experiences more engaging and enjoyable, which increases their motivation and active participation in learning activities. This leads to improved academic performance, knowledge acquisition, long-term memory retention, and cognitive development [47–56]. As students experience the benefits of AR-enhanced learning, they develop positive attitudes toward technology-supported education and digital inclusion.

While AR has some limitations, its advantages far outweigh them. AR helps break down barriers in formal education, promoting high-quality learning anywhere and anytime. It can be implemented at all educational levels, benefiting both teachers and students alike [16,17,32,36,57–59]. Moreover, AR plays a key role in preparing future professionals for a technology-driven world by providing necessary training [60]. However, to fully harness the educational potential of AR, it is essential to adopt pedagogical approaches tailored to specific learning contexts [61]. As AR is closely linked to real-world applications and continues to mature, it can be integrated into a variety of educational subjects [62–64]. These subjects include STEM education [65–67], geometry [68], physics [44], chemistry [64,69], astronomy [70], mathematics [50], medical and healthcare education [71–73], anatomy [74], art [48], physical education [75,76], geography [77], music [78], natural science [49], environmental science [79], language learning [80,81], history and cultural heritage [82,83], and vocational education [84], among others.

**III. Methodology**

The selection process for the articles in this paper began by reviewing the abstracts to determine relevance. If the abstract appeared suitable, the entire paper was examined to extract key findings and the article's main focus. Several articles initially identified through their titles or keywords were excluded during this process if neither the abstract nor the full article primarily centered on augmented reality (AR).

This study focuses on papers discussing AR and its applications. The latest research findings related to AR in various sectors were taken into account, while information from social media platforms like WhatsApp, Facebook, or television was intentionally excluded. Most of the literature was sourced from Web of Science and Google Scholar.

The article is organized into distinct sections for clarity and ease of understanding. AR is discussed in detail, additionally, the applications of AR in various fields are explored. The paper concludes with discussions on future research directions and the limitations of the current work.

**IV. Future Scope**

As an emerging technology, augmented reality (AR) holds vast potential for future applications across various sectors. While some industries have already been transformed by AR, many others are in the process of adopting this technology. The integration of AR with artificial intelligence (AI) presents even greater opportunities, as AR systems currently rely heavily on human programming to display virtual objects in real-world environments. By incorporating AI, AR experiences can become more dynamic and responsive.

In the field of education, AR has the potential to revolutionize learning. With AR-enabled systems, students can interact with concepts that were once confined to the pages of textbooks, creating more immersive and engaging learning experiences.

AR allows educators to recreate real-world scenarios, utilize immersive learning environments, and offer students hands-on experiences that effectively bridge the gap between theory and practice. As these technologies continue to evolve, they are expected to completely transform teaching and learning methods. Schools are encouraged to invest more time, resources, and training into integrating AR into their curricula.

Augmented reality can make textbooks more interactive by incorporating dynamic diagrams, while virtual reality can provide immersive simulations, allowing students to engage with real-world scenarios in a safe environment. These innovations aim to overcome the limitations of traditional classroom settings while enhancing student engagement. Researchers and scholars are encouraged to explore further developments in these technologies and investigate new approaches for deploying them in education.

**V. Limitations of AR in education**

Despite the growing applicability of augmented reality (AR), several limitations still hinder its broader acceptance and implementation. One major challenge reported by researchers is the complexity of AR systems, which many users and workers find difficult to navigate. Technical issues related to the configuration of AR devices, such as screen resolution, camera quality, battery life, and internet speed, also affect the user experience

Another limitation is the visibility and audio quality of AR systems, particularly in outdoor environments where bright sunlight and noisy surroundings reduce their effectiveness . Additionally, there is a lack of awareness and understanding among workers regarding the potential applications of AR in various industries, and training users to operate AR systems can be time-consuming

The development and use of AR technologies are also inherently complex, often requiring skilled personnel for implementation. The rapid pace of technological advancements further complicates matters, as users may struggle to keep up with new developments, making it harder for industries to adopt AR solutions

As a result of these challenges, many users find AR applications difficult to use . However, ongoing research and innovation in AR technology are expected to address these limitations in the near future, making AR more accessible and user-friendly.

**VI. Conclusion**

Augmented Reality (AR) technology holds the potential to transform education by offering a more immersive and engaging learning experience for students. By utilizing such technology, students can engage with a range of visuals, audio cues, and simulations that can enhance their interest in the subject matter. Furthermore, AR can be employed to create captivating virtual environments, enriching the overall learning experience.

To create effective AR solutions for education, it is crucial to assemble teams of specialists from various fields. Realistic and impactful outcomes require the design and coordination of multidisciplinary research projects that enhance both content and learning environments. Educators must collaborate with researchers to develop AR interfaces that enhance learning. While software and hardware technologies are essential for producing AR applications, there is also a significant need for instructional designers in educational technology to develop meaningful learning activities specifically tailored to AR environments.

Although the integration of AR in education is still in its early stages, the potential of these technologies is poised to significantly alter the educational landscape, offering greater advantages for students, teachers, and educational institutions.

**VII. References**

1.Augmented Reality and Gamification in Education: A Systematic Literature Review of Research, Applications, and Empirical Studies Georgios Lampropoulos 1,2,\* , Euclid Keramopoulos 1 , Konstantinos Diamantaras 1 and Georgios Evangelidis

2. Daniel, S.J. Education and the COVID-19 Pandemic. PROSPECTS 2020, 49, 91–96. [CrossRef]

3. Pokhrel, S.; Chhetri, R.A. Literature Review on Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Teaching and Learning. High. Educ. Future 2021, 8, 133–141. [CrossRef]

 4. Prensky, M. Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants Part 2: Do They Really Think Differently? Horizon 2001, 9, 1–6. [CrossRef]

 5. Chang, C.Y.; Lai, C.L.; Hwang, G.J. Trends and Research Issues of Mobile Learning Studies in Nursing Education: A Review of Academic Publications from 1971 to 2016. Comput. Educ. 2018, 116, 28–48. [CrossRef]

6. Admiraal, W.; Huizenga, J.; Akkerman, S.; Dam, G.T. The Concept of Flow in Collaborative Game-Based Learning. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2011, 27, 1185–1194. [CrossRef]

7. Anastasiadis, T.; Lampropoulos, G.; Siakas, K. Digital Game-Based Learning and Serious Games in Education. Int. J. Adv. Sci. Res. Eng. 2018, 4, 139–144. [CrossRef]

8. Crisol-Moya, E.; Romero-López, M.A.; Caurcel-Cara, M.J. Active Methodologies in Higher Education: Perception and Opinion as Evaluated by Professors and Their Students in the Teaching-Learning Process. Front. Psychol. 2020, 11, 1703. [CrossRef]

 9. Zeidler, D.L.; Sadler, T.D.; Simmons, M.L.; Howes, E.V. Beyond STS: A Research-Based Framework for Socioscientific Issues Education. Sci. Educ. 2005, 89, 357–377. [CrossRef]

10. Barab, S.; Dede, C. Games and Immersive Participatory Simulations for Science Education: An Emerging Type of Curricula. J. Sci. Educ. Technol. 2007, 16, 1–3. [CrossRef]

11. Billingsley, G.; Smith, S.; Smith, S.; Meritt, J. A Systematic Literature Review of Using Immersive Virtual Reality Technology in Teacher Education. J. Interact. Learn. Res. 2019, 30, 65–90.

12. Zawacki-Richter, O.; Latchem, C. Exploring Four Decades of Research in Computers & Education. Comput. Educ. 2018, 122, 136–152. [CrossRef]

13. Hughes, J.; Thomas, R.; Scharber, C. Assessing Technology Integration: The RAT–Replacement, Amplification, and TransformationFramework. In Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference, Orlando, FL, USA, 19 March 2006; Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE): Waynesville, NC, USA, 2006; pp. 1616–1620.

14. Robinson, R.; Molenda, M.; Rezabek, L. Facilitating Learning. In Educational Technology; Routledge: London, UK, 2013; pp. 27–60.

15. National Research Council. Education for Life and Work: Developing Transferable Knowledge and Skills in the 21st Century; National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2012. [CrossRef]

16. Akçayır, M.; Akçayır, G. Advantages and Challenges Associated with Augmented Reality for Education: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Educ. Res. Rev. 2017, 20, 1–11. [CrossRef]

17. Wu, H.-K.; Lee, S.W.-Y.; Chang, H.-Y.; Liang, J.-C. Current Status, Opportunities and Challenges of Augmented Reality in Education. Comput. Educ. 2013, 62, 41–49. [CrossRef]

18. Nah, F.F.-H.; Zeng, Q.; Telaprolu, V.R.; Ayyappa, A.P.; Eschenbrenner, B. Gamification of Education: A Review of Literature. In Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2014; pp. 401–409. [CrossRef]

19. Majuri, J.; Koivisto, J.; Hamari, J. Gamification of Education and Learning: A Review of Empirical Literature. In Proceedings of the 2nd International GamiFIN Conference, Pori, Finland, 21–23 May 2018.

 20. Burbules, N.C.; Callister, T.A. Watch IT: The Risks and Promises of Information Technologies for Education; Routledge: London, UK, 2018.

21. Ratten, V.; Jones, P. Covid-19 and Entrepreneurship Education: Implications for Advancing Research and Practice. Int. J. Manag. Educ. 2021, 19, 100432. [CrossRef]

 22. Caudell, T.P.; Mizell, D.W. Augmented Reality: An Application of Heads-up Display Technology to Manual Manufacturing Processes. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Kauai, HI, USA, 7–10 January 1992; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1992; Volume 2, pp. 659–669. [CrossRef]

23. Azuma, R.T. A Survey of Augmented Reality. Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ. 1997, 6, 355–385. [CrossRef]

24. Johnson, L.; Levine, A.; Smith, R.; Stone, S. The 2010 Horizon Report; New Media Consortium: Austin, TX, USA, 2010.

25. Carmigniani, J.; Furht, B.; Anisetti, M.; Ceravolo, P.; Damiani, E.; Ivkovic, M. Augmented Reality Technologies, Systems and Applications. Multimed. Tools Appl. 2011, 51, 341–377. [CrossRef]

26. Lee, K. Augmented Reality in Education and Training. TechTrends 2012, 56, 13–21. [CrossRef]

27. Chen, P.; Liu, X.; Cheng, W.; Huang, R. A Review of Using Augmented Reality in Education from 2011 to 2016. Innov. Smart Learn. 2017, 13–18. [CrossRef]

28. Lampropoulos, G.; Keramopoulos, E.; Diamantaras, K. Enhancing the Functionality of Augmented Reality Using Deep Learning, Semantic Web and Knowledge Graphs: A Review. Vis. Inform. 2020, 4, 32–42. [CrossRef]

29. Lampropoulos, G.; Keramopoulos, E.; Diamantaras, K. Semantically Enriched Augmented Reality Applications: A Proposed System Architecture and a Case Study. Int. J. Recent Contrib. Eng. Sci. IT Ijes 2022, 10, 29–46. [CrossRef]

30. Kesim, M.; Ozarslan, Y. Augmented Reality in Education: Current Technologies and the Potential for Education. Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci. 2012, 47, 297–302. [CrossRef]

 31. Hincapie, M.; Diaz, C.; Valencia, A.; Contero, M.; Güemes-Castorena, D. Educational Applications of Augmented Reality: A Bibliometric Study. Comput. Electr. Eng. 2021, 93, 107289. [CrossRef]

32. Goff, E.E.; Mulvey, K.L.; Irvin, M.J.; Hartstone-Rose, A. Applications of Augmented Reality in Informal Science Learning Sites: A Review. J. Sci. Educ. Technol. 2018, 27, 433–447. [CrossRef]

33. Bacca-Acosta, J.L.; Baldiris, S.; Fabregat, R.; Graf, S.; Kinshuk. Augmented Reality Trends in Education: A Systematic Review of Research and Applications. J. Educ. Technol. Soc. 2014, 17, 133–149.

34. Karakus, M.; Ersozlu, A.; Clark, A.C. Augmented Reality Research in Education: A Bibliometric Study. EURASIA J. Math. Sci. Technol. Educ. 2019, 15, em1755. [CrossRef]

 35. Sirakaya, M.; Alsancak-Sırakaya, D. Trends in Educational Augmented Reality Studies: A Systematic Review. Malays. Online J. Educ. Technol. 2018, 6, 60–74. [CrossRef]

36. López-Belmonte, J.; Moreno-Guerrero, A.-J.; López Núñez, J.A.; Pozo Sánchez, S. Analysis of the Productive, Structural, and Dynamic Development of Augmented Reality in Higher Education Research on the Web of Science. Appl. Sci. 2019, 9, 5306. [CrossRef]

37. López-Belmonte, J.; Moreno-Guerrero, A.-J.; López-Núñez, J.-A.; Hinojo-Lucena, F.-J. Augmented Reality in Education. A Scientific Mapping in Web of Science. Interact. Learn. Environ. 2020, 1–15. [CrossRef]

 38. Avila-Garzon, C.; Bacca-Acosta, J.; Kinshuk; Duarte, J.; Betancourt, J. Augmented Reality in Education: An Overview of Twenty-Five Years of Research. Contemp. Educ. Technol. 2021, 13, ep302. [CrossRef]

 39. Alvarez-Marin, A.; Velazquez-Iturbide, J.A. Augmented Reality and Engineering Education: A Systematic Review. IEEE Trans. Learn. Technol. 2021, 14, 817–831. [CrossRef]

40. Mystakidis, S.; Christopoulos, A.; Pellas, N. A Systematic Mapping Review of Augmented Reality Applications to Support STEM Learning in Higher Education. Educ. Inf. Technol. 2021, 27, 1883–1927. [CrossRef]

 41. Billinghurst, M.; Kato, H.; Poupyrev, I. The Magicbook-Moving Seamlessly Between Reality and Virtuality. IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl. 2001, 21, 6–8. [CrossRef]

42. Martín-Gutiérrez, J.; Fabiani, P.; Benesova, W.; Meneses, M.D.; Mora, C.E. Augmented Reality to Promote Collaborative and Autonomous Learning in Higher Education. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2015, 51, 752–761. [CrossRef]

43. Lin, C.-Y.; Chai, H.-C.; Wang, J.; Chen, C.-J.; Liu, Y.-H.; Chen, C.-W.; Lin, C.-W.; Huang, Y.-M. Augmented Reality in Educational Activities for Children with Disabilities. Displays 2016, 42, 51–54. [CrossRef]

44. Fidan, M.; Tuncel, M. Integrating Augmented Reality into Problem Based Learning: The Effects on Learning Achievement and Attitude in Physics Education. Comput. Educ. 2019, 142, 103635. [CrossRef]

 45. Chen, C.; Wang, C.-H. Employing Augmented-Reality-Embedded Instruction to Disperse the Imparities of Individual Differences in Earth Science Learning. J. Sci. Educ. Technol. 2015, 24, 835–847. [CrossRef]

46. Gavish, N.; Gutiérrez, T.; Webel, S.; Rodríguez, J.; Peveri, M.; Bockholt, U.; Tecchia, F. Evaluating Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality Training for Industrial Maintenance and Assembly Tasks. Interact. Learn. Environ. 2015, 23, 778–798. [CrossRef]

47. Radu, I. Why Should My Students Use AR? A Comparative Review of the Educational Impacts of Augmented-Reality. In Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE international symposium on mixed and augmented reality (ISMAR), Atlanta, GA, USA, 5–8 November 2012; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2012; pp. 313–314. [CrossRef]

48. Di Serio, Á.; Ibáñez, M.B.; Kloos, C.D. Impact of an Augmented Reality System on Students’ Motivation for a Visual Art Course. Comput. Educ. 2013, 68, 586–596. [CrossRef]

 49. Chiang, T.H.; Yang, S.J.; Hwang, G.-J. An Augmented Reality-Based Mobile Learning System to Improve Students’ Learning Achievements and Motivations in Natural Science Inquiry Activities. J. Educ. Technol. Soc. 2014, 17, 352–365.

50. Coimbra, M.T.; Cardoso, T.; Mateus, A. Augmented Reality: An Enhancer for Higher Education Students in Math’s Learning? Procedia Comput. Sci. 2015, 67, 332–339. [CrossRef] 51. Ozdemir, M.; Sahin, C.; Arcagok, S.; Demir, M.K. The Effect of Augmented Reality Applications in the Learning Process: A Meta-Analysis Study. Eurasian J. Educ. Res. 2018, 18, 165–186. [CrossRef]

 52. Khan, T.; Johnston, K.; Ophoff, J. The Impact of an Augmented Reality Application on Learning Motivation of Students. Adv. Hum. -Comput. Interact. 2019, 2019, 7208494. [CrossRef] 53. Ibáñez, M.B.; Portillo, A.U.; Cabada, R.Z.; Barrón, M.L. Impact of Augmented Reality Technology on Academic Achievement and Motivation of Students from Public and Private Mexican Schools. A Case Study in a Middle-School Geometry Course. Comput. Educ. 2020, 145, 103734. [CrossRef]

54. Sahin, D.; Yilmaz, R.M. The Effect of Augmented Reality Technology on Middle School Students’ Achievements and Attitudes Towards Science Education. Comput. Educ. 2020, 144, 103710. [CrossRef]

55. Sotiriou, S.; Bogner, F.X. Visualizing the Invisible: Augmented Reality as an Innovative Science Education Scheme. Adv. Sci. Lett. 2008, 1, 114–122. [CrossRef]

56. Yuen, S.C.-Y.; Yaoyuneyong, G.; Johnson, E. Augmented Reality: An Overview and Five Directions for AR in Education. J. Educ. Technol. Dev. Exch. 2011, 4, 11. [CrossRef] Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 6809 34 of 43

57. Cheng, K.-H.; Tsai, C.-C. Affordances of Augmented Reality in Science Learning: Suggestions for Future Research. J. Sci. Educ. Technol. 2013, 22, 449–462. [CrossRef]

58. Cabero-Almenara, J.; Barroso-Osuna, J. The Educational Possibilities of Augmented Reality. J. New Approaches Educ. Res. 2016, 5, 44–50. [CrossRef]

59. Alkhattabi, M. Augmented Reality as e-Learning Tool in Primary Schools’ Education: Barriers to Teachers’ Adoption. Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn. Ijet 2017, 12, 91. [CrossRef]

60. Iatsyshyn, A.; Kovach, V.; Romanenko, Y.; Deinega, I.; Iatsyshyn, A.; Popov, O.; Kutsan, Y.; Artemchuk, V.; Burov, O.; Lytvynova, S. Application of Augmented Reality Technologies for Preparation of Specialists of New Technological Era. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Augmented Reality in Education, Kryvyi Rih, Ukraine, 22 March 2019; pp. 181–200.

 61. Garzón, J.; Kinshuk; Baldiris, S.; Gutiérrez, J.; Pavón, J. How Do Pedagogical Approaches Affect the Impact of Augmented Reality on Education? A Meta-Analysis and Research Synthesis. Educ. Res. Rev. 2020, 31, 100334. [CrossRef]

62. Bower, M.; Howe, C.; McCredie, N.; Robinson, A.; Grover, D. Augmented Reality in Education–Cases, Places and Potentials. Educ. Media Int. 2014, 51, 1–15. [CrossRef]

 63. Garzón, J.; Pavón, J.; Baldiris, S. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Augmented Reality in Educational Settings. Virtual Real. 2019, 23, 447–459. [CrossRef]

64. Cai, S.; Wang, X.; Chiang, F.-K. A Case Study of Augmented Reality Simulation System Application in a Chemistry Course. Comput. Hum. Behav. 2014, 37, 31–40. [CrossRef]

65. Ibáñez, M.-B.; Delgado-Kloos, C. Augmented Reality for STEM Learning: A Systematic Review. Comput. Educ. 2018, 123, 109–123. [CrossRef]

66. Sırakaya, M.; Alsancak-Sırakaya, D. Augmented Reality in STEM Education: A Systematic Review. Interact. Learn. Environ. 2020, 1–14. [CrossRef]

67. Osadchyi, V.; Valko, N.; Kuzmich, L. Using Augmented Reality Technologies for STEM Education Organization. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series; IOP Publishing Ltd.: Bristol, UK, 2021; Volume 1840, p. 012027. [CrossRef]

68. Gargrish, S.; Mantri, A.; Kaur, D.P. Augmented Reality-Based Learning Environment to Enhance Teaching-Learning Experience in Geometry Education. Procedia Comput. Sci. 2020, 172, 1039–1046. [CrossRef]

69. Irwansyah, F.S.; Yusuf, Y.; Farida, I.; Ramdhani, M.A. Augmented Reality (AR) Technology on the Android Operating System in Chemistry Learning. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering; IOP Publishing: Ltd: Bristol, UK, 2018; Volume 288, p. 012068. [CrossRef]

70. Zhang, J.; Sung, Y.-T.; Hou, H.-T.; Chang, K.-E. The Development and Evaluation of an Augmented Reality-Based Armillary Sphere for Astronomical Observation Instruction. Comput. Educ. 2014, 73, 178–188. [CrossRef]

 71. Carlson, K.J.; Gagnon, D.J. Augmented Reality Integrated Simulation Education in Health Care. Clin. Simul. Nurs. 2016, 12, 123–127. [CrossRef]

 72. Eckert, M.; Volmerg, J.S.; Friedrich, C.M. Augmented Reality in Medicine: Systematic and Bibliographic Review. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019, 7, e10967. [CrossRef]

73. Tang, K.S.; Cheng, D.L.; Mi, E.; Greenberg, P.B. Augmented Reality in Medical Education: A Systematic Review. Can. Med. Educ. J. 2020, 11, e81. [CrossRef]

74. Ma, M.; Fallavollita, P.; Seelbach, I.; Von Der Heide, A.M.; Euler, E.; Waschke, J.; Navab, N. Personalized Augmented Reality for Anatomy Education. Clin. Anat. 2016, 29, 446–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Chang, K.-E.; Zhang, J.; Huang, Y.-S.; Liu, T.-C.; Sung, Y.-T. Applying Augmented Reality in Physical Education on Motor Skills Learning. Interact. Learn. Environ. 2020, 28, 685–697. [CrossRef]

76. Soltani, P.; Morice, A.H. Augmented Reality Tools for Sports Education and Training. Comput. Educ. 2020, 155, 103923. [CrossRef]

 77. Turan, Z.; Meral, E.; Sahin, I.F. The Impact of Mobile Augmented Reality in Geography Education: Achievements, Cognitive Loads and Views of University Students. J. Geogr. High. Educ. 2018, 42, 427–441. [CrossRef]

78. Serafin, S.; Adjorlu, A.; Nilsson, N.; Thomsen, L.; Nordahl, R. Considerations on the Use of Virtual and Augmented Reality Technologies in Music Education. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE virtual reality workshop on k-12 embodied learning through virtual & augmented reality (KELVAR), Los Angeles, CA, USA, 19 March 2017; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2017. [CrossRef]

79. Sermet, Y.; Demir, I. Virtual and Augmented Reality Applications for Environmental Science Education and Training. In New Perspectives on Virtual and Augmented Reality; Routledge: London, UK, 2020; pp. 261–275. [CrossRef]

80. Liu, P.-H.E.; Tsai, M.-K. Using Augmented-Reality-Based Mobile Learning Material in EFL English Composition: An Exploratory Case Study. Br. J. Educ. Technol. 2013, 44, 1–4. [CrossRef]

81. Perry, B. Gamifying French Language Learning: A Case Study Examining a Quest-Based, Augmented Reality Mobile LearningTool. Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci. 2015, 174, 2308–2315. [CrossRef]

82. Challenor, J.; Ma, M. A Review of Augmented Reality Applications for History Education and Heritage Visualisation. Multimodal Technol. Interact. 2019, 3, 39. [CrossRef]

83. Ibañez-Etxeberria, A.; Gómez-Carrasco, C.J.; Fontal, O.; García-Ceballos, S. Virtual Environments and Augmented Reality Applied to Heritage Education. An Evaluative Study. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 2352. [CrossRef]

84. Radosavljevic, S.; Radosavljevic, V.; Grgurovic, B. The Potential of Implementing Augmented Reality into Vocational Higher Education Through Mobile Learning. Interact. Learn. Environ. 2018, 28, 404–418. [CrossRef]

85.Abdullah M. Al-Ansi, Mohammed Jaboob, Askar Garad, Ahmed Al-Ansi,

Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education,Social Sciences & Humanities Open,Volume 8, Issue 1,2023,

100532,ISSN 2590-2911,https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100532.

(https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590291123001377)

86.Wu, H. K., Lee, S. W. Y., Chang, H. Y., & Liang, J. C. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers & education*, *62*, 41-49.

87. https://www.investindia.gov.in/

88. https://www.naukri.com/code360/

89. https://nsflow.com