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**INTRODUCTION:**

 Wearable devices have emerged as a major trend in technology, particularly for tracking daily activities. Modern activity trackers are advanced versions of pedometers, offering enhanced intelligence and precision, and they extend far beyond simply measuring walking distances. These devices have gained popularity due to their ability to provide real-time access to information, with applications encompassing health, fitness, diet, and aging 1. Wearable monitoring devices are essential for managing chronic diseases and tracking vital signs such as heart rate, blood oxygen levels, respiration, and body fat. They offer noninvasive sensing, local processing, user feedback, and communication capabilities. An example is the Holter monitor, which records patients' cardiac activities over 24 hours during their normal routines 2. As technology becomes more integrated into daily life, consumers are increasingly using consumer-grade software and hardware to manage their health. Smart wearables, such as smartwatches, rings, and wristbands, are consumer-grade electronic devices that can be worn as accessories or embedded in clothing. These devices possess high processing power and are equipped with various sophisticated sensors that provide new health insights 3. Wearable sensors are advanced analytical devices that combine the features of point-of-care systems with mobile connectivity in self-contained units. These devices allow continuous monitoring of an individual's biometrics in a non-invasive or minimally invasive manner, detecting minor physiological changes from baseline values over time. Although wearables have existed for decades, such as the Holter monitor from the 1960s used to measure the heart's electrical activity, modern innovations have significantly expanded their capabilities 4-7. The primary objective of wearable health monitors is to deliver real-time health metrics, enabling proactive health management and the early identification of potential problems. The effectiveness and user acceptance of these devices depend heavily on their design and functionality, which include factors like comfort, accuracy, connectivity, and battery life. With technological advancements, these monitors are becoming increasingly sophisticated, offering a wider range of features and an enhanced user experience.

**HISTORY:**

 The history of wearable technology traces back to the 13th century with the invention of spectacles by English friar Roger Bacon. Based in Paris, Bacon outlined the scientific principles behind corrective lenses in his work, Opus Majus, around 1266 8. These early glasses, designed for ease of use and vision enhancement, can be considered the first iteration of smart glasses 9. The first portable mechanical watch, known as the Pomander or Bisamapfeluhr in German, emerged at the beginning of the 16th century 10. Moving to smart rings, the earliest known example is the Abacus Ring from the early 17th century during the Qing Dynasty 11. This ring featured a compact abacus with ten parallel wires and nine beads on each, designed to assist traders, laying the groundwork for modern wearable computers and smart rings 12. The evolution of portable cameras began with the Pigeon Camera, developed by German inventor Julius Neubronner in 1907 13. Early portable wireless systems, initially bulky and carried by cavalry horses, were redesigned for field communications 14. A significant advancement in portable radio came with the "packset" system, later known as the "walkie-talkie," developed by Donald Hings in 1937 15. Wristwatches became crucial for planning and coordinating various military operations, leading to their widespread adoption and marketing 16. Concurrently, the first wired hands-free devices were integrated with flight helmets for the navy and pilots 17. Following World War II, a major development in wearable technology was the introduction of VR. In 1960, Morton Heilig patented the "Stereophonic Television Head-Mounted Display," marking a significant step in the evolution of wearable technology 18.

 In 1961, MIT researchers Edward O. Thorpe and Claude Shannon developed a concealed timing device within a shoe that could accurately predict the landing spot of a roulette ball 19. This innovation is recognized as the first wearable computer hidden in a shoe 20. The details of this invention were later unveiled in 1998. Around the same time, Hugo Gernsback introduced TV glasses, which weighed approximately 140 grams and used two battery-powered cathode-ray tubes to create a stereoscopic viewing experience 21-22. In 1972, Alan Lewis invented a digital camera-case computer aimed at predicting roulette wheel outcomes 23. The year 1998 saw the advent of wearable payment technology, exemplified by devices like the Apple Watch and Android Wear, with the introduction of the mBracelet. This wrist-wearable computer was designed for financial transactions at ATMs, featuring three slots for interchangeable iButton buttons and a three-color LED grid for connecting to the host. The mBracelet also had a plug-in interface that allowed users to exchange messages by shaking hands 24. In 2001, Plantronics launched the M1000 Wireless Headset, followed by the lighter M1500 version. The M1500 combined an M1000 Bluetooth headset with a Bluetooth mobile phone adapter that plugged directly into the headset jack, offering Bluetooth headset functionality to all mobile phone users. In early 2012, Eric Migicovsky envisioned a device capable of displaying messages from selected smartphones, including Android and Apple devices. He established the company Inpulse (later Allerta) and released the initial version of the smartwatch, which was noted for its bold and original design 25.

**CURRENT MARKER AND INDUSTRY TRENDS IN SENSOR AND WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 In 2016, the wearable technology market reached 33.9 million units. Research by On World predicts that 2017 will see a global shipment of 515 million sensors for wearable, implantable, or mobile health and fitness devices, a dramatic increase from the 107 million units shipped in 2012. This period from 2012 to 2017 is expected to see a 552% rise in shipments of wearable health and fitness devices, which will comprise over 80% of the mobile sensing health and fitness device market. Furthermore, On World forecasts that by 2017, 18.2 million health and wellness wireless sensor network (WSN) systems, excluding sports and fitness devices, will be shipped worldwide, generating annual revenue of $16.3 billion. Over the next five years, cloud-connected services are anticipated to account for 53% of these revenues 26.

**CLASSIFICATION OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

Wearable devices can be broadly categorized into four main types based on their applications and usage.

**Lifestyle and Healthcare:**

Wearable technology includes devices such as sports and activity monitors, like smart bands and Lark sleep sensors, which track movements during sleep and other sleep disorders, as well as physical activities 27.

**E-Textiles:**

E-textiles, also known as smart garments, smart clothing, smart textiles, or smart fabrics, integrate digital components such as batteries, lights, and computers. These smart textiles are categorized into aesthetic and performance-enhancing types. Aesthetic textiles include features like light-up elements and color-changing fabrics, while performance-enhancing textiles are designed for sports and military applications, offering benefits such as body temperature regulation, wind resistance reduction, and muscle vibration control 28.

**E-Patches:**

E-patches are another form of wearable technology that adhere to the skin to deliver specific doses of medication transdermally. Predicting human activities is crucial in sports, exercise, and healthcare. Researchers at the University of California, San Diego, have developed a flexible wearable sensor and patch that can measure blood alcohol levels through sweat using a temporary tattoo applied to the skin. This data can be transmitted via Bluetooth from the patch sensor. Additionally, wearable multi-sensing patches can periodically measure lactate levels in sweat, as well as other metabolites, electrolytes, and temperature, transmitting this data in real-time for analysis 29.

**Smart Eyewear:**

 Smart eyewear is another significant category of head-mounted wearable devices. Google Glass, one of the first to be launched, was initially aimed at helping children with autism. Vuzix smart glasses offer functionalities such as email access, YouTube video streaming, voice prediction, and touch-pad controls. SOLOS glasses provide real-time data for cyclists, including speed, cadence, heart rate, and power zones. Eyesight Raptor glasses display mapping data, heart rate information, and other metrics. The ODG R7 AR Glasses feature 720p lenses for transparent video display, while the SAFILO X line includes sensors like a 3-axis accelerometer, gyroscope, magnetometer, UV, temperature, and pressure sensors, facilitating tracking of exercise, brain activity, and meditation. In 2017, VUE glasses introduced controls for calls and music 30.

**DESIGN OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 Modern wearable technology has progressed significantly, achieving measurement accuracy comparable to that of regulated medical instruments. This advancement has blurred the distinction between consumer and medical devices. Initially, wearables such as watches, shoes, and headsets were focused on biophysical monitoring, tracking metrics like physical activity, heart rate, and body temperature 31-33. Following the success of these first-generation wearables, the emphasis is now shifting towards non-invasive or minimally invasive biochemical and multi-modal monitoring. This shift signifies a step towards personalized healthcare. Second-generation wearables encompass innovative designs like on-skin patches, tattoos, tooth-mounted films, contact lenses, textiles, microneedles, and injectable devices. These devices typically use bio-fluids and biorecognition elements to detect specific analytes and generate measurable signals. While many of these technologies are still in the prototype phase, some notable commercial exceptions include the Freestyle Libre glucose monitoring system and the Gx Sweat Patch 31. Wearable biochemical and biophysical sensors are being applied in disease management and wellness 34. Additionally, these devices are now being used in animal health monitoring, benefiting both pets and livestock 35.

**WEARABLE DEVICE IN HEALTH MONITORING:**

**a) Motion Trackers:**

 The measurement of human movement through motion tracking has numerous valuable applications across fields such as sports, medicine, and other studies. These include assessing fall risk, quantifying sports exercises, studying human habits, and monitoring the elderly. Wearable trackers are becoming increasingly popular for two primary reasons: they can motivate users to exercise more during their daily workouts and provide activity measurement data via smartphones, eliminating the need for manual calculations 36. To accurately monitor human motion, 3-axis accelerometers, magnetometers, and gyroscope sensors are used, each serving a unique purpose. Additionally, these sensors are useful in the domain of ubiquitous computing for human activity recognition 37.

**1) Motion measurement in body tracking:**

 A novel design introduced by Bertolotti et al. 38 facilitates objective measurement of trunk and limb movements for evaluating human body balance and control capabilities. This system incorporates a 72 MHz, 32-bit CPU (STM32F303VC; STMicroelectronics, Geneva, Switzerland) featuring a high-performance ARM Cortex-M4, 32-bit RISC core, capable of interfacing with multiple sensors via high-performance SPI and I2C modes. The sensors used in this study (STMicroelectronics sensors) possess a linear range and sensitivity suitable for accurately measuring body movements. The system performs online processing, including data acquisition from various sensors, filtering, and data generation, at frequencies up to 72 MHz. Body movements are tracked using 9DoF sensors, which consist of an accelerometer, a magnetometer, and a gyroscope. The full-scale values of these sensors can be adjusted through specific commands from the microcontroller 39-40. The entire device, encompassing the circuit board, Bluetooth module, and battery, measures 60 mm × 35 mm × 20 mm and is encased in transparent plastic to facilitate the observation of LED indicators. The device supports three types of movement monitoring: short-term, long-term, and full-body. For short-term monitoring, the device connects to a PC for real-time result observation. In long-term monitoring, data is both observed and stored locally. The third type involves a body network where multiple units are placed on the subject's body and connected to a gateway unit. This gateway can have local memory or a wireless connection to a PC or handheld device, enabling comprehensive body movement monitoring during exercises 41.

**2. Commercially available user devices applied in research papers:**

 Long-term monitoring is essential in healthcare, as it enables ongoing data collection for enhanced diagnostic capabilities. This process requires devices to temporarily store data in memory before transmitting it to a cloud server or medical station for permanent storage. Effective data buffering necessitates both SD memory and RAM. Additionally, compatibility with Bluetooth and Wi-Fi is critical for data transmission. While many devices come with proprietary software, some allow for custom application development, though this is not universal. Firmware also plays a crucial role, especially for customizing development kits, but not all manufacturers provide this capability. This study reviews nine research devices, focusing on their significant features. Key constraints for wearable devices include size, battery life, weight, and the ability to integrate additional sensors. Real-time data visualization is a common user demand, making accurate fitness tracking a pivotal aspect in device selection. Device performance can vary significantly based on component quality and software integration. Conducted in Korea, this study evaluates the accuracy and user-friendliness of four wearable devices selected from the top ten on the market, providing detailed information on each device's commercial name, country of origin, capabilities, and cost 32.

**b) Vital Signs Measurement:**

 Numerous wearable devices have been developed for monitoring vital health metrics, often focusing on specific parameters like ECG, EEG readings, or skin temperature. Recent advancements have sought to produce multi-functional wearables for comprehensive vital signs monitoring. Despite the availability of various remote ECG monitoring solutions—both in academic literature and industry—challenges persist regarding their implementation, power consumption, and overall performance efficiency.

**1) Body-worn smart clothing:**

 To create a comprehensive health monitoring system, a recent study introduced the concept of ‘smart clothing,’ which integrates various physiological signals into a unified data center. Effective implementation of such systems requires the integration of smartphones, mobile apps, cloud computing, and big data analytics. Although many research approaches have been proposed and implemented, existing solutions often fall short for long-term health monitoring. Traditional methods, which typically capture a limited set of physiological signals, are inadequate for chronic disease management in a comprehensive health system 42. Smart clothing differs from conventional wearable devices primarily in its sensor deployment. In smart clothing, sensors are seamlessly embedded into the textile, which requires careful consideration of sensor quality, placement, flexible cable design, signal acquisition, low-power wireless communication, and user comfort. The fabric must be comfortable for the wearer while focusing on monitoring essential vital signs 43

**2) Wire-based wearable devices: limited physiological and environmental parameters measurement**

Sanfilippo and Pettersen proposed an innovative wire-based medical monitoring approach that integrates multiple vital sign measurements. Their system utilizes the e-Health Sensor Platform V2.0, a biometric shield for Arduino and Raspberry Pi, which, although not officially licensed for medical monitoring, allows for extensive research in health measurement. This platform employs ten sensors to track EEG, ECG, body temperature, and includes an emergency push button, facilitating detailed health monitoring and investigation 44.

**BIOENGINEERING PRINCIPLES OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 Physical activity has a well-established inverse relationship with adverse cardiovascular events and overall mortality, making it a key component of the American Heart Association's 'Life’s Simple 7' recommendations for heart health. Traditionally, physical activity levels were often self-reported during clinic visits, a method limited by inadequate detail, recall bias, and a lack of objective measurement in everyday settings. For example, a common self-reported activity like "I walk five times a week for 30 minutes" fails to provide essential information such as intensity, distance, and periods of inactivity. With advancements in digital health technology, these outdated subjective reporting methods are being replaced. Wearable devices and smartphones now utilize various sensors to accurately monitor physical activity and energy expenditure. A primary tool in these devices is the triaxial accelerometer, which measures linear acceleration across three planes. Another important sensor is the gyroscope, which tracks angular motion 45.

Wearables also incorporate the Global Positioning System (GPS) and barometers to further refine physical activity tracking accuracy. GPS operates through a network of at least 24 satellites that transmit signals to determine their precise positions and timing using highly accurate atomic clocks. By applying complex calculations that include signal emission times, the speed of light, and principles from Einstein’s relativity, a GPS receiver calculates distances from at least four satellites. However, GPS effectiveness can be affected by satellite positioning, signal obstructions, building reflections, atmospheric conditions, and receiver design. Barometers, on the other hand, measure changes in altitude to estimate the number of stairs climbed and detect falls, as atmospheric pressure decreases with altitude. Yet, barometric measurements can be prone to inaccuracies due to natural variations in temperature and pressure that may be misinterpreted as changes in altitude. While the integration of multiple sensors into wearables improves the precision of physical activity and energy expenditure estimates, it can also increase the demand on battery life 46.

**Heart rate and rhythm sensors:**

 Heart rate (HR) measurements, both at rest and during physical activity, are important indicators of cardiovascular disease risk. A high resting HR in healthy individuals is associated with an increased risk of coronary artery disease and overall mortality. For patients with heart failure (HF), a high resting HR also correlates with poorer outcomes. Additionally, inadequate HR recovery following exercise is linked to a higher incidence of adverse cardiovascular events. HR variability (HRV) is a crucial measure associated with cardiovascular risk in both healthy individuals and those with reduced ejection fraction in HF. Commercial wearable devices typically use either electrocardiography (ECG) or photoplethysmography (PPG) to monitor HR and heart rhythm 47. These devices measure beat-to-beat intervals and apply algorithms for rhythm classification. ECG sensors, which come in various forms, are considered the gold standard for accurate HR and rhythm measurement. Continuous monitoring devices such as chest-strap monitors and ECG patches, though accurate, are often bulky and limited in functionality compared to smartwatches. Some smartwatches can record a single-lead ECG when a finger is placed on the device, which can be useful for diagnosing common arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation (AF). However, single-lead ECGs have limitations and may not be sufficient for diagnosing complex arrhythmias or myocardial infarction (MI) without additional techniques. PPG sensors measure changes in microvascular blood volume by translating pulse waves into tachograms. These sensors are typically used continuously during exercise and intermittently during rest and sleep to conserve battery life. When combined with single-lead ECG, PPG tachograms can assist in identifying arrhythmias 48-49.

**Blood pressure sensors:**

 Hypertension, a major global health issue, can be more effectively screened using accurate blood pressure (BP) measurements provided by consumer wearables. These devices can help identify conditions like nocturnal or exercise-induced hypertension, which are linked to adverse outcomes. The Heart Guide wristwatch (Omron, Japan), which includes a built-in cuff, was evaluated against an ambulatory BP device. In office settings, BP readings were taken twice with each device in alternating intervals, while in ambulatory settings, patients used the Heart Guide device alongside an upper-arm BP machine, which measured BP at 30-minute intervals over a 24-hour period 50.

**Biochemical sensors:**

 Biochemical sensors in wearables can measure body fluid electrolytes using electrochemical transducers, offering insights into plasma volume status and analyte concentrations. However, their accuracy may be influenced by factors such as skin temperature, contamination, and hair density. While continuous glucose monitors are clinically validated, they are challenging to integrate into consumer wearables and are primarily used as standalone devices. Non-invasive sweat and saliva sensors, although promising, require further evaluation before widespread adoption. Biomechanical sensors embedded in clothing or footwear, such as ballistocardiograms and seismocardiograms, aim to continuously monitor cardiac output, lung fluid volume, and weight, potentially assisting in HF management. Emerging technologies, including flexible, tattoo-like microfluidic sensors, hold promise for non-invasive, continuous hemodynamic monitoring but require extensive clinical validation 51-52.

**POLYMERS USED IN BIOSENSORS:**

 Polymers used in biosensors can be divided into thermoplastic polymers, thermosetting polymers, elastomers, liquid crystalline polymers, polymer gels, piezoelectric polymers, intrinsically conductive polymers, and polymer composites, etc.

**Elastomers:**

 Elastomers are renowned for their rubber-like elasticity, with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) being a prominent example extensively utilized in fields such as microfluidics, micropumps, electronic skin, and wearable sensors. PDMS is favored for its chemical inertness, stability across a broad temperature range, adjustable mechanical properties, transparency, and the capacity to bond selectively via ultraviolet light, which is essential for integrating electronic components onto substrates 53-54. Flexible sensors constructed from PDMS can incorporate conductive materials such as silver nanowires (AgNWs), silver nanoparticles, graphene, reduced graphene oxide (rGO), carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and carbon black (CB). Zhang et al. engineered an adhesive wearable sensor specifically designed for challenging environments like hairy scalps. They developed a composite sensor combining CNTs with PDMS, featuring a surface with conical microstructure arrays (CMSAs) to address the difficulties associated with sensor attachment to scalp hair 55.

**Thermosetting polymers:**

 These materials are produced through the irreversible curing of a viscous polymer. Polyimide (PI) film is renowned for its exceptional thermal stability, resistance to strong acids and bases, and high mechanical strength. These attributes make PI suitable for various processing techniques and as a foundational material for sensors. Nonetheless, PI is generally not transparent and does not perform well under high pressure, which limits its use in flexible, transparent wearable sensors. The advent of colorless polyimide (CPI) has broadened the scope for developing high-performance, flexible sensors 56.

**Thermoplastic Polymers:**

 Thermoplastic polymers, such as thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU), are characterized by their ability to reversibly transition between solid and liquid states 57. TPU is known for its superior elasticity, chemical resistance, ease of processing, and cost-effectiveness. It also shows a notable compatibility with a range of carbon and metal nanomaterials. Incorporating cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) into TPU further enhances its properties, leading to sensors with remarkable tensile strength, a wide sensing range, excellent electrical conductivity, and high sensitivity. The integration of a porous, cracked bionic structure with TPU’s inherent tensile properties can significantly boost sensor sensitivity 58.

**Liquid crystalline polymers**:

 Liquid crystalline polymers (LCPs) can form stable mesophases under specific conditions of temperature, pressure, and concentration, exhibiting both fluidity and solid-like anisotropy. These polymers can modulate light propagation in response to external stimuli, making them suitable for optical sensor applications such as ionic skin, photon skin, and electronic skin. Bai et al. developed a dual-sensing ionic skin (DSI-skin) inspired by chameleon skin. This advanced material integrates electromechanical and mechanochromic components, offering multiple sensing capabilities along with antibacterial properties and an antifreeze energy supply. The DSI-skin incorporates Al3+ ions to enhance ionic conductivity and employs highly substituted hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC) to create cholesteric liquid-crystal structures within a PASCA hydrogel matrix. Additionally, polymer-dispersed liquid crystal (PDLC) devices, which can alternate between transparent and opaque states upon voltage application, show promise for smart electronic displays 59.

**Polymer Gels***:*

 Polymer gels, first introduced in 1978, are cross-linked polymer networks that swell in solvents and exhibit reversible volume changes in response to environmental factors like pH, temperature, or solvents. These adaptive properties have inspired research into their use in wearable technology for drug delivery, motion tracking, and altering tissue adhesion characteristics. The combination of gels with pressure-sensitive polymers (e.g., PVDF, PLA) can lead to high-performance strain and pressure sensors 60.

**Intrinsically Conducting Polymers and Piezoelectric Polymers:**

 Intrinsic conductive polymers (ICPs), such as polyacetylene (PA), polypyrrole (PPy), polyaniline (PANi), polythiophene (PTh), and PEDOT:PSS, feature conjugated sequences of double bonds or aromatic groups that endow them with metal-like electrical, magnetic, and optical properties. These polymers, including PEDOT and its derivatives, are particularly valued for their high transparency in the visible spectrum, making them ideal for flexible, transparent electrodes in wearable devices 61-62.

**TYPES OF BIOSENSOR:**

**1. Movement Sensors:**

**a) Pedometers:**

 Pedometers are among the most basic and widely utilized movement sensors, designed to log each step once the vertical acceleration of the lever arm exceeds a preset sensitivity threshold. Many fitness and wellness programs recommend a specific daily step count, as meeting these targets is often linked to adhering to age-appropriate physical activity guidelines. Pedometers have demonstrated their effectiveness in encouraging physical activity, particularly among children and individuals with obesity, highlighting their usefulness as an introductory tool for tracking fitness levels. While they exhibit acceptable reliability and validity for step counting in everyday scenarios, pedometers are less effective in competitive sports due to their limitations in tracking directional changes and accurately estimating energy expenditure 63-65.

**b) Accelerometers/Gyroscopes:**

 In contrast, accelerometers and gyroscopes offer enhanced performance data, supporting the precise adjustment of exercise programs. These devices integrate a mechanical movement sensor with a microchip that processes the sensor's signals. Recent advancements in micro electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) have facilitated the inclusion of multiple transducers within a single sensor, enabling multi-dimensional movement detection. Accelerometers, specifically, can estimate energy expenditure by analyzing vertical acceleration over time 66-67.

**c) Global Positioning Satellite:**

 Global Positioning System (GPS) devices present an alternative to accelerometers for capturing positional data in sports. GPS devices utilize signals from multiple satellites equipped with atomic clocks, which are synchronized by GPS receivers to determine speed and location. The accuracy of GPS devices, particularly in team sports, can be improved by employing a stationary ground-based reference receiver to correct satellite timing errors, achieving precision within one meter 68. GPS technology has been utilized to monitor athlete speed and position in various sports, including football, orienteering, cross-country skiing, and field hockey. Wearable devices like Garmin's Vivofit and Vivoactive, Polar's M400, and FitBit's Surge incorporate GPS technology, offering real-time data on distance, steps, pace, caloric expenditure, altitude, and speed. This information can be analyzed using software programs to track performance and tailor training programs for enhanced athletic performance 69.

**2) Physiologic Sensors:**

**a) Heart Rate Monitoring:**

 Sensors designed to monitor physiological responses during competition and training are crucial for optimizing performance and minimizing injury risks. Heart rate is a fundamental metric for tracking physiological adaptation and exercise intensity. Traditional heart rate monitors typically feature a chest strap transducer that communicates data to a wireless wrist display. However, newer models use optical sensors integrated into wristbands or smartphones to gauge heart rate from the wrist or fingertip 54. Despite their convenience, chest strap monitors are generally more accurate at high heart rates and less susceptible to motion artifacts. Modern devices from brands such as Polar Electro and Suunto also measure heart rate variability, which is an important indicator of fitness 70. These monitors are essential for assessing exercise intensity, as heart rate exhibits a linear relationship with VO2 across a broad range of submaximal intensities. This relationship enables the estimation of VO2 and energy expenditure from heart rate, making portable heart rate monitors a prevalent tool for evaluating exercise intensity. They are also used alongside kinematic analysis to understand physiological responses and metabolic demands in sports such as basketball, rugby, and soccer 71.

**b) Temperature/Heat Flux Sensors:**

 Monitoring core body temperature is crucial in situations where hyperthermia is a concern, such as in hot, humid environments or indoor areas without air conditioning. This is especially important during the initial adaptation phase of athletes to new sports activities. Accurate measurement of core body temperature in sports medicine remains challenging, as external temperatures do not reliably reflect core temperature 72. Advances in technology have led to the development of telemetric core temperature sensors housed within ingestible capsules that transmit data via radiofrequency. However, all temperature sensors have limitations; for example, ingesting cold food or beverages can affect the accuracy of ingestible sensors. Moreover, armbands and skin-based sensors can cause skin irritation and may be unreliable in measuring temperature and estimating energy expenditure during high-intensity exercise 73.

**c) Integrated Sensors:**

 Multimodal integrated sensors have been introduced for both individual and team sports. Companies like Catapult and Zephyr offer devices that combine GPS technology with various sensing elements to provide comprehensive physiological and movement data. The Catapult sensor, for instance, is a compact device attached between the shoulder blades, secured to a jersey or protective gear. Zephyr offers devices that include a respiratory rate monitor and an electrocardiogram (ECG) monitor 74. The Zephyr BioPatch is a wireless sensor that adheres to disposable ECG electrodes for continuous monitoring. Although the Zephyr device is relatively small, it requires a chest and shoulder strap for accurate measurement of respiration and heart rate, which can be cumbersome when worn with safety gear like shoulder pads 75.

**SYSTEM DESIGN 76-78**

 The primary objective of this project is to develop a compact, wearable healthcare monitoring system that allows patients to track their own health metrics while enabling physicians to remotely oversee patient well-being, thus eliminating the need for patients to be physically present in medical institutions. To maintain a low development cost, the project incorporates commonly accessible and cost-effective components. Additionally, it highlights the advantages of integrating front-end physiological monitoring devices with mobile technology, utilizing standard networking technologies to enhance functionality and connectivity.

1. Bluetooth connection
2. Software implementation
3. The mobile applications

**Bluetooth connection:**

 During the implementation phase, we selected the MiniDragon + microcontroller platform for several reasons, including its compact size (6 × 8 cm), cost-effectiveness, and low power consumption. This platform utilizes the 9S12DP256 microcontroller, which is based on a 16-bit RISC architecture (Freescale Semiconductors, 2008). It offers 256 Kb of Flash EEPROM, 12.0 Kb of RAM, and 4.0 Kb of EEPROM. Furthermore, it is equipped with two asynchronous Serial Communications Interfaces (SCI), three Serial Peripheral Interfaces (SPI), an 8-channel enhanced capture timer, and two 8-channel, 10-bit Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADC), among other features that align well with our project's requirements. Bluetooth was chosen for the communication technology because it fulfills key objectives for our application:

(i) It is well-suited for short-range data transmission,

(ii) It is increasingly prevalent in mobile devices, and

(iii) Its security features, including data encryption between the controller and mobile device, provide added protection for our system.

**Software implementation:**

 After pairing the Bluetooth components, the PDA application communicates with the microcontroller through virtual serial ports established via the Serial Port Profile (SPP). This application, developed using the Microsoft Visual Basic .NET Compact Framework, operates on the i-mate PDA2k. The PDA features an Intel XScale PXA263 processor running at 400 MHz, equipped with Windows Mobile 2003 Second Edition for Pocket PC Phone Edition, 128 MB of RAM, and 64 MB of ROM. To leverage and manage the PDA’s Bluetooth functionalities, an external library compatible with the Microsoft Bluetooth Stack (WindComm) was incorporated. This Bluetooth stack oversees the internal processes of a Bluetooth connection, supporting various protocols and services. The integrated library functions provided access to SPP services within the Bluetooth stack, facilitating device discovery and connectivity within the application. The SPP acts as a cable replacement, enabling dependable, flow-controlled, bidirectional data transmission. As a byte-stream protocol designed for continuous data streaming, SPP sockets are categorized as either ‘active’ or ‘passive’. Active sockets initiate connections to passive sockets, which can remain open to accept incoming connection requests from multiple networks.

**The mobile application:**

 The mobile unit’s application is responsible for managing and overseeing the system's operations. Developed using Microsoft Visual Basic .NET (VB.NET) Compact Framework, the application leverages components and class libraries from the .NET Framework to create its functionality. While the majority of the application’s components were derived from the standard .NET Compact Framework library, additional components and libraries were integrated specifically for Bluetooth interface and connectivity. Additionally, the Smart Device Framework was utilized to extend the capabilities of the .NET Compact Framework, implementing features such as:

1. Access to the virtual serial port

2. Importing a text file containing patient records into the registry

3. Running the application in the background

The application’s services, which operate on the PDA, fall into three primary categories: administrative, patient-related, and communicative. These services encompass:

* Initial configuration of application parameters
* Password management
* Graphical representation capabilities
* Bluetooth-based transmission and reception of sensor data from the microcontroller
* Temporary storage of data in a text file within the system registry until it can be uploaded to the internet (a feature enabled by the Smart Device Framework)
* Continuous background operation of the application (also implemented using the Smart Device Framework)
* Emergency SMS notifications to physicians (enabled by the Smart Device Framework)
* Data upload at specified intervals (using System.Net components with HTTP Web Request and HTTP Web Response)
* Customization of threshold values, SMS contact numbers, and update frequencies Database access
* Utilization of Active Server Pages (ASP) query strings for data uploads to a server

**WEARABLE DEVICE FOR HEALTH AND THEIR IMPLEMENTATION:**

Wearable technology is transforming the healthcare industry by enabling the prediction and management of prevalent health conditions through the collection and analysis of physiological and environmental data. These devices empower users to convert personal, biological, and environmental information into actionable insights. By providing real-time data exchange between users and healthcare providers, wearables enhance health and fitness experiences. This real-time feedback allows for informed decision-making and targeted interventions, particularly when patients share data gathered by these devices. Advanced wearable sensors now allow healthcare professionals to monitor long-term activity levels and adherence to exercise programs, facilitating more effective medication management for chronic conditions. Additionally, these sensors evaluate patients' abilities to perform specific motor tasks and offer tailored rehabilitation solutions. A recent initiative by an American insurance company involves a pilot program utilizing wearables to continuously collect both invasive and non-invasive data, including vital signs. The integration of artificial intelligence in healthcare is significantly improving diagnosis, treatment, patient monitoring, and prevention, thereby enhancing overall healthcare delivery.

**Personalization 79:**

 With the assistance of software specialists, healthcare professionals can develop customized programs tailored to individual patient needs.

* **Early Diagnosis**: Accurate medical parameters enable the early detection of symptoms, facilitating timely intervention.
* **Remote Patient Monitoring**: Healthcare providers can track patients' conditions in real-time through wearable devices, allowing for continuous oversight.
* **Medication Adherence**: The system helps patients adhere to their medication schedules and alerts healthcare professionals if a patient misses a dose.
* **Information Registry**: Real-time data storage enables comprehensive analysis, generating detailed reports on patients' medical histories that can be shared with other specialists.
* **Optimal Decision-Making**: By analyzing the collected data, doctors can make more informed clinical decisions, ultimately improving the quality of patient care.
* **Cost Efficiency**: Utilizing wearable devices for remote healthcare reduces the need for in-person visits, saving both time and resources.

Examples of skin-based devices in healthcare applications include predicting sudden medical events, detecting genetic cancer syndromes, monitoring heart rate fluctuations, identifying early signs of vascular issues, tracking abnormal respiration rates, managing body temperature, and employing bio-sensing clothing. Wearable strain sensors, known for their lightweight, reliable, flexible, and stretchable properties, are ideal for monitoring movement-based signals such as heart rate and respiration rate, aligning well with diverse healthcare applications.

**Disease 80-81:**

Researchers have developed various wearable technologies aimed at managing and alleviating symptoms of different diseases. Here are some key applications:

* **Sleep Apnea**: This condition involves intermittent interruptions or reductions in breathing during sleep. Treatments range from lifestyle changes to surgery, depending on severity. A notable wearable solution is the DT oral device, which monitors therapy adherence by measuring temperature, movement, and head position through its spatial orientation in the mouth.
* **Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)**: COPD, a common lung disorder leading to breathlessness, is managed through monitoring physical activity with an ear-worn device. This device allows patients to track their condition from home, potentially lowering healthcare costs for those who can be managed outside a hospital setting.
* **Diabetes Mellitus**: This chronic disease affects the body’s insulin production, necessitating precise blood glucose control. Wearable solutions include an artificial pancreas that monitors glucose levels and comprises a core system for insulin delivery, glucose sensing, and glucagon administration. Additionally, Google/Verily Life Sciences has developed a smart contact lens that tracks blood sugar levels.
* **Cardiovascular Diseases**: Various heart-related conditions, such as venous thrombosis and heart failure, are monitored using wearable sensors. For instance, a wireless blood pressure wrist monitor that connects to a smartphone provides real-time heart rate measurements, showing accuracy comparable to clinical standards.
* **Safety Monitoring**: The Vega GPS bracelet offers location tracking through GPS and mobile communications to ensure user safety. For epilepsy patients, the Embrace wristband monitors physiological signals and alerts family members during seizures.
* **Mosquito-Borne Diseases**: To combat diseases like malaria and Zika virus, the Kite Patch is a wearable device attached to clothing that releases compounds to repel mosquitoes.
* **Renal Failure**: Traditional dialysis for kidney failure is being replaced by a wearable artificial kidney, which provides a more portable alternative to conventional treatments.
* **Skeletal System Diseases**: For conditions such as joint disorders and osteoporosis, wearable sensors equipped with gyroscopes, accelerometers, and magnetometers offer pain relief through transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and therapeutic exercises. Another device focuses on posture correction by vibrating to alert users of deviations.
* **Sunburn Prevention**: Wearable UV sensors, available as bracelets or wristbands, monitor UV exposure to prevent skin damage and estimate vitamin D production.
* **Vein Finding**: Eyes-On technology, a smart glass wearable, utilizes multispectral 3D imaging and wireless connectivity to help healthcare providers locate veins through the skin quickly.
* **Stress and Depression Detection**: Wearable devices, like a wristband that tracks heart rate variability, can assess mental states and alert users to increasing stress levels.

**Nutrition and dietetics: 82**

 The field of nutrition and dietetics is undergoing a significant transformation with the introduction of real-time, effective, and affordable wearable technology and sensors. These innovations have the potential to address global nutritional issues by enabling rapid, precise, and cost-effective self-monitoring of dietary deficiencies or imbalances in various settings, including homes, workplaces, and healthcare facilities. Such advancements are critical for providing evidence-based guidance to individuals and vulnerable populations, facilitating informed dietary and lifestyle adjustments through wearable technology. These devices enhance the efficacy of nutritional programs by offering tailored interventions for specific conditions or groups, which is crucial in combating malnutrition and under nutrition. The evolution of wearable health and fitness technologies, combined with digital nutrition databases and informatics platforms, marks a significant shift in the field. These tools foster interactive communication between consumers, dieticians, and nutritionists, thereby enhancing the quality of interventions, management, and outcomes. By utilizing these technologies to assess and understand nutritional needs, new opportunities for health benefits and resource development emerge. This personalized approach ensures the accessibility and availability of essential resources, promoting positive dietary changes. Wearable sensors and implantable devices in public health nutrition provide a novel perspective on both human and animal nutrition, leading to effective interdisciplinary solutions for local and global nutrition challenges. Modern, convenient, and affordable sensors can educate users, monitor and predict energy levels, and offer recommendations to address nutritional deficiencies or excesses. They also facilitate adjustments to dietary changes, particularly from plant-based sources, to achieve balanced nutrition through unique phytochemicals and micronutrients found in fruits and vegetables. The documented effectiveness of wearable devices, fitness trackers, and mobile applications in promoting healthy lifestyles and improving care outcomes, such as weight management, is notable, particularly in developed countries. In Africa, nutritional and dietary wearable technologies are crucial for addressing food and nutrition challenges. These technologies enable real-time, accurate, and cost-effective detection and diagnosis of nutritional issues, supporting the creation of high-quality information and aiding individuals, vulnerable groups, and policymakers in developing nutrition strategies and interventions. Ultimately, these advancements contribute to healthier lifestyles, increased life expectancy, and overall well-being.

**Body dietary and energy balance 83:**

 In a study aimed at assessing daily total energy expenditure (TEE), researchers employed a physical activity monitor alongside dietary assessments to explore the interplay between energy expenditure, activity patterns, and energy intake. The monitor, affixed to the left upper triceps, recorded metrics such as TEE, sleep duration, and physical activity levels. To evaluate energy intake, participants meticulously documented all consumed foods and beverages. The study's results revealed a positive correlation between TEE and both BMI and body weight. Conversely, TEE showed an inverse relationship with sleep duration and time spent lying down. Notably, when accounting for BMI, sleep duration, and time lying down through multiple linear regression analysis, the correlation between TEE and energy intake diminished. These findings underscore the significant role of body mass, activity levels, and sleep patterns in determining TEE. Despite a reduction in energy intake, the energy requirements were not fully met, highlighting the potential of wearable technology for real-time monitoring. This technology could offer valuable insights for personalized nutrition management to help prevent unintentional weight loss.

**CHALLENGES:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **THEME** | **CHALLENGES** | **RECOMMENDATIONS** |
| Accuracy and validity | Inaccurate information can be more detrimental than the absence of data altogether. | To establish thorough assessment frameworks for medical devices akin to the one proposed by Coravos et al., it is essential to formulate comprehensive standards endorsed by medical societies. These standards should outline methodologies for evaluating devices, which often integrate multiple sensors and dynamic software algorithms. Additionally, it is crucial to define cohesive and consistent regulatory policies tailored to these devices to ensure their efficacy and safety. 84 |
| Meaningful use Criteria and clinical evidence | There is a limited number of meaningful use criteria and substantial clinical evidence; only a small number of studies have evaluated whether wearables offer superior clinical outcomes compared to the absence of wearables. | To ensure both efficacy and safety, it is essential to construct a robust body of evidence. This involves delineating criteria that distinguish valuable, actionable data from irrelevant information. The technology sector should emulate the pharmaceutical industry's approach by investing in extensive and methodologically sound randomized clinical trials with extended follow-up periods. This strategy will bolster trust among patients and healthcare providers. Additionally, incorporating training modules on wearable technology into telehealth education programs at various educational and postgraduate levels across different health disciplines is crucial. 85 |
| Behavioral change | Implementing and sustaining behavioral change is challenging, and some research raises doubts about the effectiveness of wearables in facilitating such changes. | Standardize the methodologies for developing behavioral change technique tools, as suggested by Hekler et al. 86. Create tools to address non-adherence issues in advance, following the approach of Zhou et al. 87. Innovate social and financial incentives by leveraging behavioral economics and cognitive psychology principles. Ensure that insurance rewards programs uphold data privacy, allow voluntary opt-outs without repercussions, and support individuals who cannot afford wearables or possess low digital literacy 88. |
| Hardware cost and payment models | Wearables could create a new health disparity, with usage rates being up to three times higher among individuals with high socioeconomic status compared to those with low socioeconomic status. | Research is necessary to determine if wearables could contribute to new health disparities. Manufacturers should work on producing affordable, clinical-grade wearables. In the USA, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and private insurers should further encourage the use of wearable data by expanding reimbursement policies to cover metrics like physical activity and support lifestyle interventions. As value-based reimbursement for wearables increases, healthcare providers might consider offering wearables to their patients through loan programs or at a modest co-pay 89 |
| Data security and governance | Sensitive wearable data is prone to breaches, complicating its use for research or clinical purposes and leading to unrealistic patient expectations regarding data management. | De-identifying wearable data may not be enough to ensure privacy, and it is essential to develop and promote next-generation cyber security tools like block chain. Existing HIPAA/HITECH regulations must be updated to address the growing diversity and availability of patient engagement technologies. Instead of opt-out systems that bypass strict security measures, implementing opt-in systems with clear privacy policies could enhance patient engagement. Establishing clear expectations between patients and clinicians through modern data user agreements and openly addressing privacy concerns can help build patient trust 90 |
| Data management | Data interoperability, provenance and storage | Develop policies that encourage semantic interoperability between wearables and other platforms; create regulations that manage data storage and provenance; utilize innovative technologies such as blockchain to ensure secure data provenance 91 |

**CONCLUSION:**

 Wearable health monitors have seen remarkable advancements in design and functionality, offering a range of features for effective health tracking and management. These devices now incorporate advanced sensors, intuitive interfaces, and robust connectivity options, making them essential for personal health oversight. With ongoing technological progress, future wearable health monitors are expected to provide even more precise data, enhanced user experiences, and expanded applications in healthcare, fostering a more proactive and tailored approach to health management.
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