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**INTRODUCTION:**

 Wearable devices have become a significant trend in technology, particularly for tracking daily activities. Modern activity trackers are sophisticated versions of pedometers, offering more intelligence and accuracy, and they can do far more than just measure walking distances. These devices have gained popularity due to their ability to provide real-time information access, with applications spanning health, fitness, diet, and aging 1. Wearable monitoring devices play a crucial role in managing chronic diseases and tracking vital signs like heart rate, blood oxygen levels, respiration, and body fat. They offer noninvasive sensing, local processing, user feedback, and communication capabilities. An example is the Holter monitor, which records patients' cardiac activities over 24 hours during their normal routines 2. As technology becomes increasingly integrated into daily life, consumers are starting to use consumer-grade software and hardware to manage their health. Smart wearables, such as smartwatches, rings, and wristbands, are consumer-grade electronic devices that can be worn as accessories or embedded in clothing. These devices have high processing power and are equipped with numerous sophisticated sensors that provide new health insights 3. Wearable sensors are advanced analytical devices combining the features of point-of-care systems with mobile connectivity in self-contained units. These devices allow continuous monitoring of an individual's biometrics in a non-invasive or minimally invasive way, detecting minor physiological changes from baseline values over time. Although wearables have existed for decades, such as the Holter monitor from the 1960s used to measure the heart's electrical activity, modern innovations have vastly expanded their capabilities 4-7. The primary aim of these monitors is to provide real-time health metrics, facilitating proactive health management and early detection of potential issues. The design and functionality of wearable health monitors are crucial for their effectiveness and user acceptance, encompassing aspects such as comfort, accuracy, connectivity, and battery life. As technology advances, these devices are becoming more sophisticated, offering a broader array of features and improved user experience.

**HISTORY:**

 The journey of wearables began in the 13th century with the invention of spectacles by English friar Roger Bacon. Based in Paris, Bacon detailed the scientific principles behind corrective lenses in his work, Opus Majus, around 1266 8. These glasses, designed to be conveniently carried and enhance vision, are considered the first smart glasses 9. The first portable mechanical watch, believed to be the Pomander (Bisamapfeluhr in German) watch, appeared at the beginning of the 16th century 10. In the realm of smart rings, the earliest known example is the Abacus Ring from the early 17th century during the Qing Dynasty 11. This ring featured a compact abacus with ten parallel wires and nine beads on each, designed to assist traders, paving the way for modern wearable computers and smart rings 12.

The evolution of portable cameras began with the Pigeon Camera, developed by German inventor Julius Neubronner in 1907 13. Early portable wireless systems, initially bulky and carried by cavalry horses, were redesigned for field communications 14. A significant advancement in portable radio was the "packset" system, later known as the "walkie-talkie," developed by Donald Hings in 1937 15. Wristwatches became essential for planning and coordinating various military operations, leading to their widespread adoption and marketing 16. Concurrently, the first wired hands-free devices were integrated with flight helmets for the navy and pilots 17. Following World War II, a major development in wearable technology was the introduction of VR. Morton Heilig patented the "Stereophonic Television Head-Mounted Display" in 1960, marking a significant step in the evolution of wearable technology 18.

In 1961, MIT researchers Edward O. Thorpe and Claude Shannon developed a concealed timing device within a shoe that could accurately predict where a roulette ball would land, marking it as the first wearable computer hidden in a shoe 19. This invention's story was later revealed in 1998 20. Shortly thereafter, Hugo Gernsback introduced the TV glasses, weighing approximately 140 grams and incorporating two battery-powered cathode-ray tubes to provide a stereoscopic experience 21-22. In 1972, Alan Lewis invented a digital camera-case computer designed to predict roulette wheels 23.

The year 1998 marked the dawn of wearable payment technology, now seen in devices like the Apple Watch and Android Wear, with the introduction of the mBracelet. This wrist-wearable computer was designed for financial transactions at ATMs, featuring three slots for interchangeable iButton buttons and a connection to the host via a three-color LED grid. The mBracelet’s plug-in interface even allowed users to exchange messages by shaking hands 24. In 2001, Plantronics introduced the M1000 Wireless Headset, followed by the lightweight M1500 version, which combined an M1000 Bluetooth headset with a Bluetooth mobile phone adapter that plugged directly into the headset jack, offering Bluetooth headset freedom to all mobile users. In early 2012, Eric Migikovsky envisioned a device that could display messages from selected smartphones (Android and Apple devices) after establishing the company Inpulse (Allerta). The initial version of the watch was notable for its bold and original design 25.

**CURRENT MARKER AND INDUSTRY TRENDS IN SENSOR AND WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 In 2016, the wearable technology market hit 33.9 million units. According to research by On World, 2017 is projected to see the global shipment of 515 million sensors for wearable, implantable, or mobile health and fitness devices, a significant rise from the 107 million units shipped in 2012. This period from 2012 to 2017 is expected to witness a 552% increase in shipments of wearable health and fitness devices, which will dominate over 80% of the mobile sensing health and fitness device market. Additionally, the same firm forecasts that by 2017, 18.2 million health and wellness wireless sensor network (WSN) systems, not including sports and fitness devices, will be shipped globally, with the annual revenue generated by these systems reaching $16.3 billion. In the next five years, cloud-connected services are anticipated to account for 53% of these revenues 26

**CLASSIFICATION OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 Wearable devices can be broadly categorized into four main types based on their applications and usage.

**Lifestyle and Healthcare:**

 Wearable technology encompasses devices such as sports and activity monitors, including smart bands and Lark sleep sensors. These devices track movements during sleep and other sleep disorders, and they also collect data during physical activities 27.

**E-Textiles:**

Known as smart garments, smart clothing, smart textiles, or smart fabrics, these wearables integrate digital components like batteries, lights, and computers. Smart textiles are categorized into aesthetic and performance-enhancing types. Aesthetic textiles include features like light-up elements and color-changing fabrics, while performance-enhancing textiles are primarily designed for sports and military use, offering benefits such as body temperature regulation, wind resistance reduction, and muscle vibration control 28.

**E-Patches:**

E-patches are another form of wearable technology that adhere to the skin to administer specific doses of medication transdermally. In the fields of sports, exercise, and healthcare, predicting human activities is crucial. Researchers at the University of California, San Diego, have developed a flexible wearable sensor and patch that can measure blood alcohol levels through sweat using a temporary tattoo applied to the skin. This data can be transmitted via Bluetooth from the patch sensor. Additionally, wearable multi-sensing patches can periodically measure lactate levels in sweat, as well as other metabolites, electrolytes, and temperature, transmitting this data in real time for analysis 29.

**Smart Eyewear:**

Smart eyewear represents another significant category of head-mounted wearable devices. Google Glass was one of the first to be launched, initially aimed at children with autism. Vuzix smart glasses offer functionalities such as email access, YouTube video streaming, voice prediction, and touch-pad controls. SOLOS glasses provide real-time data for cyclists, including speed, cadence, heart rate, and power zones. Similarly, Eyesight Raptor glasses display mapping data, heart rate information, and other metrics. The ODG R7 AR Glasses feature 720p lenses for transparent video display, while the SAFILO X line includes sensors like a 3-axis accelerometer, gyroscope, magnetometer, UV, temperature, and pressure sensors, facilitating tracking of exercise, brain activity, and meditation. In 2017, VUE glasses introduced controls for calls and music 30.

**DESIGN OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 Modern wearable technology has advanced to the point where it can provide measurements of a quality comparable to that of regulated medical instruments, blurring the line between consumer and medical devices. Initially, wearables like watches, shoes, and headsets focused on biophysical monitoring, tracking metrics such as physical activity, heart rate, and body temperature 31-33. Following the widespread success of these first-generation wearables, the focus is now shifting towards non-invasive or minimally invasive biochemical and multi-modal monitoring. This evolution represents the next step in achieving personalized healthcare. Second-generation wearables include innovative designs such as on-skin patches, tattoos, tooth-mounted films, contact lenses, textiles, micro-needles, and injectable devices. A defining feature of these devices is their use of bio-fluids, employing biorecognition elements to translate the presence of specific analytes into detectable signals. While most of these technologies are still in the prototype stage, there are notable commercial exceptions like the Freestyle Libre glucose monitoring system and the Gx Sweat Patch 31. Wearable biochemical and biophysical sensors have applications in disease management and wellness 34. Additionally, wearable devices are now being utilized in animal health monitoring, benefiting both pets and livestock 35

**WEARABLE DEVICE IN HEALTH MONITORING:**

**a) Motion Trackers:**

 The measurement of human movement through motion tracking has numerous valuable applications across various fields such as sports, medicine, and other studies. These applications include assessing fall risk, quantifying sports exercises, studying human habits, and monitoring the elderly. Wearable trackers are becoming increasingly popular for two primary reasons: they can motivate users to exercise more during their daily workouts and provide activity measurement data via smartphones, eliminating the need for manual calculations 36. To accurately monitor human motion, 3-axis accelerometers, magnetometers, and gyroscope sensors are used, each serving a unique purpose. Additionally, these sensors are useful in the domain of ubiquitous computing for human activity recognition 37.

**1) Motion measurement in body tracking:**

 A novel design introduced by Bertolotti et al.38 facilitates objective measurement of trunk and limb movements for evaluating human body balance and control capabilities. This system incorporates a 72 MHz, 32-bit CPU (STM32F303VC; STMicroelectronics, Geneva, Switzerland) featuring a high-performance ARM CortexM4, 32-bit RISC core, capable of interfacing with multiple sensors via high-performance SPI and I2C modes. The sensors used in this study (STMicroelectronics sensors) possess a linear range and sensitivity suitable for accurately measuring body movements. The system performs online processing, including data acquisition from various sensors, filtering, and data generation, at frequencies up to 72 MHz. Body movements are tracked using 9DoF sensors, which consist of an accelerometer, a magnetometer, and a gyroscope. The full-scale values of these sensors can be adjusted through specific commands from the microcontroller 39-40. The entire device, encompassing the circuit board, Bluetooth module, and battery, measures 60 mm × 35 mm × 20 mm and is encased in transparent plastic to facilitate the observation of LED indicators. The device supports three types of movement monitoring: short-term, long-term, and full body. For short-term monitoring, the device connects to a PC for real-time result observation. In long-term monitoring, data is both observed and stored locally. The third type involves a body network where multiple units are placed on the subject's body and connected to a gateway unit. This gateway can have local memory or a wireless connection to a PC or handheld device, enabling comprehensive body movement monitoring during exercises 41.

**2. Commercially available user devices applied in research papers:**

Long-term monitoring is a crucial aspect of healthcare, enabling continuous data collection for further diagnosis. To accomplish this, a device must temporarily store data in memory and then transmit it to a cloud server or medical station for permanent storage. This process necessitates data buffering, requiring both SD memory and RAM. For data transmission, Bluetooth and Wi-Fi compatibility are essential. Many devices come with proprietary software applications, though some allow users to develop custom applications, while others do not. Firmware is another important feature that might not seem critical at first but can be essential for customizing a development kit. However, not all device manufacturers offer this compatibility. This study examines nine devices used in research, evaluating their significant features. Wearable devices face several constraints, with size, battery life, weight, and the ability to add onboard sensors being the most critical. Wearers often demand real-time data visualization, making the accuracy of fitness tracking a key factor in device selection. The performance of these devices varies based on the quality of components and software used. This study, conducted in Korea, evaluates the accuracy and ease of use of four wearable devices based on experimental results. The devices were chosen from the top 10 available on the market, and the study provides details on the commercial name, country of manufacture, capabilities, and price for each device 32.

**b) Vital Signs Measurement:**

 Many wearable devices have been developed to measure critical elements in healthcare monitoring, often focusing on single metrics like electrocardiogram (ECG) and electroencephalogram (EEG) readings or skin temperature. Recent advancements have aimed to create multi-functional wearable devices for comprehensive vital signs monitoring. Numerous devices and solutions for remote ECG monitoring, crucial for health tracking, have been proposed in both literature and industry. However, these solutions often face challenges in implementation, power consumption, and performance efficiency.

**1) Body-worn smart clothing:**

 To address the need for diverse physiological signals to form a comprehensive health monitoring data center, a ‘smart clothing’ design was introduced in a study 42. For these systems to be effective, they must integrate infrastructure like smartphones, mobile apps, cloud computing, and big data analytics. Despite various proposed and implemented research approaches, existing solutions often fall short for long-term health monitoring. Traditional methods, which typically collect a limited number of physiological signals, are insufficient for chronic disease monitoring in a comprehensive health system. The primary distinction between traditional wearable devices and smart clothing is sensor deployment. In smart clothing, all sensors for vital sign measurement are embedded into the textile. Proper sensor placement is critical for effectiveness. Factors such as sensor quality, correct positioning, flexible cable layout, weak signal acquisition, low-power wireless communication, and user comfort are essential for efficient and well-designed smart clothing. The fabric used must be comfortable for the wearer. This design focuses on measuring only vital and necessary parameters 43.

**2) Wire-based wearable devices: limited physiological and environmental parameters measurement**

 Sanfilippo and Pettersen introduced a novel wire-based approach to medical monitoring, incorporating multiple vital sign measurements. Their integrated health-monitoring system is based on the e-Health Sensor Platform V2.0, which is the first biometric shield for Arduino and Raspberry Pi. While not licensed for medical health monitoring, this device allows researchers to measure and investigate health through body monitoring, utilizing ten sensors to track vital signs and motion. These sensors enable EEG, ECG, and body temperature measurements, and include an emergency push button 44.

**BIOENGINEERING PRINCIPLES OF WEARABLE DEVICE:**

 Physical activity is negatively associated with adverse cardiovascular events and overall mortality, making it one of the American Heart Association's 'Life’s Simple 7' lifestyle recommendations for heart health. Historically, physical activity levels have been self-reported and recorded during clinic visits, if at all. This method is limited due to insufficient detail, recall bias, and the inability to objectively measure physical activity in real-life settings. For instance, a common statement like "I walk five times a week for 30 minutes" lacks crucial details such as intensity, distance, and sedentary periods. With advancements in digital health, subjective reporting of physical activity is becoming outdated. Wearable devices and smartphones can now accurately track physical activity and energy expenditure through various sensors. The triaxial accelerometer, which measures linear acceleration in three planes, is the primary method used in current activity monitoring wearables. Another significant inertial sensor is the gyroscope, which tracks angular motion 45.

 The Global Positioning System (GPS) and barometers are integrated into wearables to enhance the precision of physical activity tracking. GPS relies on a network of 24 or more satellites that constantly transmit signals, pinpointing their exact orbital locations and time with the help of highly accurate atomic clocks. By employing complex equations that factor in signal emission time, the speed of light, and Einstein’s theories of relativity, a GPS receiver calculates its distance from at least four satellites. However, the effectiveness of GPS can be hindered by factors such as satellite alignment, signal obstructions, building reflections, atmospheric conditions, and the design of the receiver 9. Barometers measure altitude changes, track the number of stairs climbed, and detect falls by observing that atmospheric pressure decreases with increasing altitude. Nonetheless, barometric readings can be inaccurate as natural fluctuations in ambient temperature and pressure may be misinterpreted as altitude changes. Although incorporating more sensors into wearables enhances the accuracy of physical activity and energy expenditure estimations, it can also increase the strain on battery life 46.

**Heart rate and rhythm sensors:**

 Heart rate (HR) measurements at rest and during exercise can predict cardiovascular disease risk. In healthy individuals, a high resting HR is linked to an increased risk of coronary artery disease and all-cause mortality. It is also a predictor of negative outcomes in heart failure (HF) patients. Poor HR recovery post-exercise is associated with a higher incidence of adverse cardiovascular events. HR variability (HRV) is significantly associated with cardiovascular risk in both healthy individuals and HF patients with reduced ejection fraction 47. Commercial wearables use electrocardiography (ECG) or photoplethysmography (PPG) to measure HR and heart rhythm by calculating beat-to-beat intervals and utilizing algorithms for rhythm classification. ECG sensors, available in various forms, are the gold standard for HR and rhythm measurement. Continuous monitoring devices like chest-strap monitors and ECG patches are less user-friendly due to their bulkiness and limited functions compared to smartwatches. Some smartwatches can record a single-lead ECG when a finger is placed on the crown, useful for diagnosing common arrhythmias like atrial fibrillation (AF). However, single-lead ECGs are inadequate for diagnosing complex arrhythmias or myocardial infarction (MI) without specific techniques. PPG detects changes in microvascular blood volume, translating pulse waves into a tachogram. Most wearables continuously use PPG during exercise and intermittently during rest and sleep to conserve battery life. PPG tachograms, enhanced by single-lead ECG, can identify arrhythmias 48-49.

**Blood pressure sensors:**

 Hypertension, a major global cause of morbidity and mortality, can be better screened using accurate blood pressure (BP) measurements in consumer wearables, potentially identifying nocturnal or exercise hypertension, which are linked to poor outcomes 24. The Heart Guide wristwatch (Omron, Japan), equipped with a built-in cuff, was compared to an ambulatory BP device in both office and ambulatory settings. In office settings, BP readings were taken twice by each device in alternating intervals, while in ambulatory settings, patients used the Heart Guide device alongside an upper-arm machine measuring BP at 30-minute intervals over 24 hours 50.

**Biochemical sensors:**

 Biochemical sensors can measure body fluid electrolytes via electrochemical transducers, providing valuable information on plasma volume status and analyte concentrations 30. However, their accuracy can be affected by skin temperature, contamination, and hair density. Continuous glucose monitors, though clinically validated, are challenging to integrate into consumer wearables and function mainly as standalone devices. Non-invasive sweat and saliva sensors could be more practical for wearables but require further evaluation. Biomechanical sensors in clothing or shoes, such as ballistocardiograms and seismocardiograms, aim to measure cardiac output, lung fluid volume, and weight continuously, which could aid HF management. Emerging technologies like flexible, tattoo-like microfluidic sensors show promise for non-invasive, continuous hemodynamic monitoring, but they need extensive clinical validation 51-52.

**POLYMERS USED IN BIOSENSORS:**

 Polymers used in biosensors can be divided into thermoplastic polymers, thermosetting polymers, elastomers, liquid crystalline polymers, polymer gels, piezoelectric polymers, intrinsically conductive polymers, and polymer composites, etc.

**Elastomers:**

 Elastomers are known for their rubber-like elasticity, with PDMS being one of the most widely used elastomers in applications such as microfluidic chips, micropumps, electronic skin, and wearable sensors. PDMS and its composites are popular due to their chemical inertness, stability across a wide temperature range, variable mechanical properties, transparency, and the ability to selectively bond areas using ultraviolet light, which is crucial for attaching electronic materials to the substrate surface 53-54. Flexible sensors made from PDMS substrates can be produced using conductive materials like silver nanowires (AgNWs), silver nanoparticles, graphene, reduced graphene oxide (rGO), carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and carbon black (CB). Zhang et al. developed an adhesive wearable sensor designed for hairy scalps, which are challenging for sensor attachment due to hair interference. They created a composite sensor based on CNT-PDMS with a surface featuring conical microstructure arrays (CMSAs), tailored for the complex environment of scalp hair 55.

**Thermosetting polymers:**

 These are formed through the irreversible curing of a viscous polymer. Polyimide (PI) film is another material known for its high thermal stability and resistance to strong acids and bases, coupled with high mechanical strength. These properties make PI compatible with various processing techniques and suitable as a base material for sensors. However, PI is typically not colorless and does not recover well under significant pressure, limiting its application in wearable, transparent, flexible sensors. The development of colorless polyimide (CPI) has expanded the potential for high-performance flexible sensors 56.

**Thermoplastic Polymers:**

 Thermoplastic polymers, such as thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU), can undergo reversible phase transitions between solid and liquid states. TPU is noted for its excellent elasticity, chemical stability, ease of processing, and cost-effectiveness 57. TPU also exhibits a strong affinity for various carbon and metal nanomaterials. Additionally, incorporating cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) into TPU enhances its properties, resulting in sensors with outstanding tensile strength, a broad sensing range, excellent electrical conductivity, and high sensitivity. The combination of a porous, cracked bionic structure with TPU’s tensile properties can significantly enhance sensor sensitivity 58.

**Liquid crystalline polymers**:

 Liquid crystalline polymers (LCPs) can form stable liquid crystal mesophases under suitable conditions of temperature, pressure, and concentration, displaying both liquid flow and solid anisotropy properties. LCPs can modulate light propagation under external stimuli, making them ideal for optical sensors used in applications like ionic skin, photon skin, and electronic skin. Bai et al. reported a dual-sensing ionic skin (DSI-skin) inspired by chameleon skin, incorporating electromechanical and mechanochromic materials for multiple sensing functions, antibacterial properties, and antifreeze energy supply. This DSI-skin was created by introducing Al3+ ions for ionic conductivity and highly substituted hydroxypropyl cellulose (HPC) to form cholesteric liquid-crystal structures in a PASCA hydrogel scaffold. Furthermore, polymer-dispersed liquid crystal (PDLC) devices, which can switch between transparent and opaque states under voltage stimulation, hold potential for intelligent electronic display applications 59.

**Polymer Gels***:*

 Polymer gels, first reported in 1978, are cross-linked polymer networks that swell in solvents and respond to changes in the external environment (pH, temperature, solvents, etc.) with a reversible change in volume. These responsive properties have inspired researchers to explore their use in wearable devices for drug release, motion monitoring, and altering tissue adhesion characteristics. Combining gels with pressure-sensitive polymers (PVDF, PLA, etc.) can yield high-performance strain and pressure sensors 60.

**Intrinsically Conducting Polymers and Piezoelectric Polymers:**

 Intrinsic conductive polymers (ICPs), such as polyacetylene (PA), polypyrrole (PPy), polyaniline (PANi), polythiophene (PTh), and PEDOT: PSS, possess the electrical, magnetic, and optical properties of metals and semiconductors, thanks to their conjugated sequences of double bonds or aromatic groups and doping to form charge transfer complexes. ICPs, including PEDOT and its derivatives, which are highly transparent in the visible range, open up new possibilities for flexible transparent electrodes in wearable devices 61-62.

**TYPES OF BIOSENSOR:**

**1. Movement Sensors :**

**a) Pedometers:**

 Pedometers are among the simplest and most widely used movement sensors. Each step is logged when the vertical acceleration of the lever arm surpasses the pedometer’s sensitivity threshold. Many fitness and corporate wellness programs recommend achieving a certain number of daily steps, as adherence to these step counts is often associated with meeting age-appropriate physical activity guidelines. Pedometers have proven effective in encouraging active lifestyles in children and obese individuals, indicating their value as an initial tool for tracking fitness levels. Despite demonstrating acceptable reliability and validity for monitoring step counts in everyday settings, pedometers are less effective in competitive sports. Their limitations in tracking changes in direction and poor accuracy in estimating energy expenditure restrict their use in quantifying athletic movements 63-65.

**b) Accelerometers/Gyroscopes:**

 In contrast, accelerometers and gyroscopes offer advanced performance data, aiding in the quantifiable adjustment of exercise programs. These devices consist of a mechanical movement sensor and a microchip that interprets signals from the sensor. Advances in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) have enabled the integration of multiple transducers in a single sensor, allowing for the perception of movement in multiple dimensions. Accelerometers, in particular, can estimate energy expenditure by integrating vertical acceleration over time 66-67.

**c) Global Positioning Satellite:**

 Global positioning satellite (GPS) devices provide an alternative to accelerometers for measuring positional data in sports. GPS devices rely on signals from multiple satellites with onboard atomic clocks, which are received and synchronized by GPS receivers to determine speed and position. The accuracy of GPS devices, especially in team sports, can be enhanced by using a stationary ground-based reference receiver to correct satellite timing errors, achieving accuracy within one meter 68. GPS technology has been applied to monitor athlete speed and position in sports like football, orienteering, cross-country skiing, and field hockey. Wearable devices such as Garmin's Vivofit and Vivoactive, Polar's M400, and FitBit's Surge incorporate GPS technology, providing users with real-time data on mileage, steps, pace, caloric expenditure, altitude, and speed. This data can be compiled in software programs to track performance and modify training regimens for improved athletic performance 69.

**2) Physiologic Sensors:**

**a) Heart Rate Monitoring:**

Sensors that measure physiological responses to changes in competition and training are essential for enhancing performance and reducing injuries. Heart rate is a key indicator of physiological adaptation and effort intensity. Traditional heart rate monitors use a chest-worn transducer that sends data to a wireless wrist display. Newer monitors utilize optical sensors in wristbands or smartphones to measure heart rate directly from the wrist or fingertip. Although these newer devices are more convenient, chest strap monitors are still considered more accurate at high heart rates and less affected by motion artefact 54. Modern heart rate monitors from companies like Polar Electro (www.polar.com/us-en) and Suunto (www.suunto.com) can also measure heart rate variability, an important fitness indicator 70. These monitors are vital for measuring exercise intensity, as there is a linear relationship between heart rate and VO2 over a wide range of submaximal intensities. This relationship allows VO2 and energy expenditure to be estimated from heart rate, making portable heart rate monitors the most common method for estimating exercise intensity. They are also used with kinematic analysis to assess physiological responses and metabolic demands in sports like basketball, rugby, and soccer 71.

**b) Temperature/Heat Flux Sensors:**

Monitoring core body temperature is crucial in scenarios where hyperthermia poses a risk, such as in hot and humid environments or indoor settings lacking air conditioning. There is particular concern regarding abnormal changes in core temperature during the initial acclimation of athletes to sports activities. However, accurately monitoring core body temperature has proven challenging in sports medicine. While core temperature can be evaluated during athletic activities, external temperatures are not reliable indicators of core body temperature 72. Modern commercial temperature sensors have addressed this issue by employing a telemetric core temperature sensor contained within an ingestible capsule that transmits data via radiofrequency. Despite advancements, all temperature sensor designs have inherent limitations. For instance, the ingestion of cold water and food can compromise the validity and reliability of ingestible sensors. Additionally, armbands and skin-based dermal sensors can cause skin irritation and show poor reliability when measuring temperature and estimating energy expenditure during high-intensity exercise 73.

**c) Integrated Sensors:**

Multimodal integrated sensors have been developed for both team and individual fitness activities. Manufacturers like Catapult and Zephyr combine GPS technology with various sensing elements to obtain physiological and movement profiles. The Catapult device is a small sensor placed between the shoulder blades, secured to a jersey or protective gear. Zephyr devices include a respiratory rate monitor and an electrocardiogram monitor 74. The Zephyr BioPatch is a wireless device that attaches to disposable electrocardiography electrodes for continuous monitoring. Although relatively small, the Zephyr device requires a chest and shoulder strap for accurate respiration and heart rate measurement, which can be cumbersome under safety gear like shoulder pads 75.

**SYSTEM DESIGN 76-78**

 The primary goal of this project is to create a lightweight, wearable healthcare monitoring system that enables patients to monitor their own health and allows physicians to oversee their patients' well-being remotely, without confining them to medical institutions or healthcare facilities. To keep development costs low, we utilized readily available and affordable components. This project also emphasizes the benefits of combining front-end physiological parameter extraction devices with mobile technology, leveraging industry-standard networking technologies.

1. Bluetooth connection
2. Software implementation
3. The mobile applications

**Bluetooth connection:**

 During the implementation phase, we opted for the MiniDragon + microcontroller platform because of its compact dimensions (6 × 8 cm), cost-effectiveness, and low power consumption, among other beneficial attributes. This platform incorporates the 9S12DP256 microcontroller, which is built on a 16-bit RISC-based architecture (Freescale Semiconductors, 2008). It features 256 Kb of Flash EEPROM, 12.0 Kb of RAM, and 4.0 Kb of EEPROM. Additionally, it includes 2 asynchronous Serial Communications Interfaces (SCI), three Serial Peripheral Interfaces (SPI), an 8-channel IC/OC enhanced capture timer, and two 8-channel, 10-bit Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADC), along with other notable features that make it well-suited for our project. Bluetooth was chosen as the communication technology due to its ability to meet key objectives of the intended application:

(i) It is ideal for small distance data links

(ii) It is increasingly becoming a common feature in most mobile units

(iii) The security features it offers are an added advantage to our system since it allows for the encryption of the data transferred between the controller and the mobile device.

**Software implementation:**

 After pairing the Bluetooth components, the PDA application sends and receives data from the microcontroller via virtual serial ports created using the Serial Port Profile (SPP). The application program for the PDA, developed using Microsoft Visual Basic .NET Compact Framework, runs on an i-mate PDA2k. This PDA features an Intel XScale PXA263 processor operating at 400 MHz, with Windows Mobile 2003 Second Edition for Pocket PC Phone Edition, 128 MB of RAM, and 64 MB of ROM. To fully utilize and control the PDA's Bluetooth capabilities, we imported an external library compatible with the Microsoft Bluetooth Stack (WindComm). The Bluetooth stack manages the internal operations of a Bluetooth connection, supporting various protocols and services. The imported library functions enabled us to access SPP services within the Bluetooth stack, allowing device discovery and connectivity within our application. The SPP serves as a cable replacement solution, providing reliable, flow-controlled, two-way data transmission. It is a byte-stream protocol designed for streaming data. Sockets using SPP are classified as either ‘active’ or ‘passive’. Active sockets initiate connections to passive sockets, which can underspecify their location to accept incoming connection requests from multiple networks.

**The mobile application:**

The mobile unit's application is responsible for controlling and overseeing the system's operations. As previously mentioned, the application was developed using Microsoft Visual Basic .net (VB.net) Compact Framework. This framework enables developers to create applications by utilizing components and class libraries from the .net framework. The majority of the components necessary for developing the application were sourced from the standard .net Compact Framework library. However, additional components and libraries, specifically for Bluetooth interface and connectivity, were imported. Furthermore, the Smart Device Framework was employed to enhance and extend the capabilities of the .net Compact Framework. Specifically, the Smart Device Framework was used to implement the following functions:

1. Access of the virtual serial port

2. Entry of a text file that contains patients records into a registry

3. Running of the application in the background.

In general, the services provided by the application running on the PDA can be divided into three categories: administrative, patient related and communicative. These services include the following:

• Initial application parameters settings

• Password control

• Graphing capabilities

• Sending and receiving sensor data from Microcontroller via Bluetooth

• Storing all the values read until they are uploaded to the internet – in a text file stored in the System Registry (implemented using Smart Device Framework)

• Running of the application throughout in the background (implemented using Smart Device Framework)

• Sending of an SMS to physician in case of an emergency (implemented using Smart-device Framework)

• Uploading of data at the end of a specified time period (implemented using System Net component using HTTP Web Request and HTTP Web Response)

• Modification of threshold values, SMS contact number and frequency of online updates

• Access of database

• Active Server Pages (ASP) query strings used for uploading data to a server.

**WEARABLE DEVICE FOR HEALTH AND THEIR IMPLEMENTATION:**

Wearable technology is revolutionizing the health sector by predicting and treating common conditions through the collection and analysis of physiological and environmental data. These devices enable users to transform personal, biological, and environmental information into meaningful insights. Wearables can relay data to and from users at optimal times, enhancing health and fitness experiences. Such insights can lead to comprehensive decisions and targeted actions, especially when patients share their physiological data collected by wearables. The latest generation of wearable sensors allows healthcare providers to monitor patients' long-term activity levels and adherence to exercise regimens, aiding in the effective administration of medications for chronic conditions. These sensors also help assess patients' capabilities to perform specific motor tasks and offer personalized rehabilitation solutions. An American insurance company has launched a pilot program using wearables to continuously gather invasive and non-invasive data, including vital signs. Artificial intelligence enhances healthcare by focusing on diagnosis, treatment, patient monitoring, and prevention, adding significant value to the process.

**Personalization 79:**

The doctor, with the help of a software expert can quickly create a program based on the needs of the patient.

* Early diagnosis: precise medical parameters allow early detection of symptoms.
* Remote patient monitoring: healthcare professionals can monitor patients remotely and in real-time using wearable devices.
* Adherence to medication: help patients to take medications on time and inform medical professionals if the patient fails to adhere to medications.
* Information registry: the data are stored in real time allowing an exhaustive analysis of the information.The result is a complete and precise report about the patient’s medical history, which can be shared with other specialists.
* Optimum decisions: the doctor can analyze the data to make better clinical decisions, to enhance the patient’s quality of life.
* Saving healthcare cost: remote healthcare using wearable devices means saving time and mobility.
* Following are several examples of skin-based devices in healthcare applications: predicting a sudden attack and providing the means to cope with it; detecting genetic cancer syndromes or rapid changes in heart-beat rate; early evidence of vascular events; detecting abnormal respiration rate; monitoring body temperature and bio-sensing clothing. Wearable strain sensors are used for detecting and monitoring of movement-based signals, such as heart-beat rate and respiration rate. It is lightweight, reliable, flexible, and stretchable and aligned with the diverse healthcare applications.

**Disease 80-81:**

 Several researchers have proposed wearable-based solutions to assist in managing and alleviating symptoms of various diseases. Here is a summary of some notable applications:

**Sleep Apnea:** This condition involves interruptions or reductions in breathing during sleep, ranging from a few seconds to a minute. Treatments vary based on severity, from weight loss to surgical interventions. A wearable oral device called DT is used to monitor therapy adherence for sleep apnea. It measures temperature, movement, and head position by determining the spatial orientation of the device in the mouth.

**Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD):** COPD is a prevalent lung disease causing shortness of breath. An ear-worn device monitors physical activity, allowing patients to continuously assess their condition at home, thereby reducing healthcare costs for those manageable outside hospital settings.

**Diabetes Mellitus:** This chronic condition impairs the body's ability to produce sufficient insulin, necessitating strict blood glucose level control. A wearable artificial pancreas monitors glucose levels and includes a flexible core system acting as the brain, along with three modules for insulin delivery, glucose sensing, and glucagon delivery. Additionally, a smart contact lens, developed by Google/Verily Life Sciences, measures blood sugar levels in diabetics.

**Cardiovascular Diseases:** These encompass heart-related conditions, including venous thrombosis, heart failure, and cardiac dysrhythmia. Various wearable sensors provide real-time heart rate measurements, such as a wireless blood pressure wrist monitor that syncs with a smartphone. The accuracy of this monitor aligns closely with clinical reference measurements.

**Safety Monitoring:** The Vega GPS bracelet ensures the safety of individuals by tracking their location using GPS and mobile communications. For epilepsy patients, the Embrace wristband monitors physiological signals in real-time, alerting family members during an episode.
**Mosquito-Borne Diseases:** Diseases such as malaria, chikungunya, yellow fever, Zika virus, and Ebola are transmitted by mosquitoes. The Kite Patch, a wearable device worn on clothing, disperses volatile compounds to repel mosquitoes.

**Renal Failure:** For kidney failure and chronic kidney disease, dialysis often substitutes kidney function. A wearable artificial kidney has been developed to replace traditional dialysis.

**Skeletal System Diseases:** Conditions like joint disorders, osteoporosis, and poor posture can cause chronic pain. Wearable sensors with embedded gyroscopes, accelerometers, and magnetometers can treat pain with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and therapeutic exercises. Another device monitors posture and alerts users to correct deviations via vibrations.

**Sunburn Prevention:** UV radiation from sunlight can cause wrinkles, burns, aging, and skin cancer. Wearable UV sensors, available as bracelets or wristbands, monitor UV exposure, alerting users to potential skin damage and safety measures while estimating vitamin D production.

**Vein Finding:** The Eyes-On technology, a smart glass wearable, enables nurses to see veins through the skin quickly. It uses multispectral 3D imaging and wireless connectivity.

**Stress/Depression Detection:** Wearables can determine users' mental states. A wristband product monitors heart rate variability to warn users of increasing personal stress levels.

**Nutrition and dietetics: 82**

 The field of nutrition and dietetics is experiencing a transformative shift with the advent of real-time, effective, and affordable wearable technology and sensors. These innovations hold immense potential for addressing global nutritional challenges by enabling rapid, accurate, and cost-effective self-detection and diagnosis of dietary deficiencies or excesses at home, work, or in hospital settings. Such advancements are crucial for generating evidence-based information to aid individuals and vulnerable groups in making informed nutritional and lifestyle adjustments through wearable technology. These devices enhance the effectiveness of nutrition and dietary programs by providing targeted interventions for specific illnesses or populations, which is essential in combating malnutrition and undernutrition. The development of wearable health and fitness technologies, coupled with digital nutrition databases and informatics platforms, represents a significant paradigm shift. These tools facilitate participatory communication between consumers, dietitians, and nutritionists, thereby improving the quality of interventions, management, and outcomes. Understanding and assessing nutritional and dietary needs through these technologies open up new opportunities for functional health benefits and resource development. This personalized approach ensures accessibility and availability of necessary resources, encouraging positive behavioral changes in diet and nutrition. The use of public health nutrition wearables and implantable sensors offers a fresh perspective on human and animal nutrition, leading to reliable and effective interdisciplinary approaches to tackle local and global nutrition challenges. Modern, convenient, and cost-effective wearable sensors can educate users, track and predict energy levels, and provide recommendations for interventions to correct deficiencies or excesses. They also facilitate adaptations to dietary changes, particularly from plant-derived sources, to achieve balanced nutrition through unique fruit and vegetable phytochemicals and micronutrients. The effectiveness of wearable devices and fitness trackers, along with mobile applications, has been well-documented in promoting healthy lifestyles and improving care delivery outcomes, such as weight loss and maintenance, in developed countries. In Africa, nutritional and dietary wearable technology plays a critical role in addressing food and nutrition challenges. It provides the necessary tools for real-time, rapid, accurate, and cost-effective detection and diagnosis of nutritional deficiencies or excesses. This technology supports the generation of high-quality information and knowledge, aiding individuals, vulnerable groups, and national decision-makers in developing nutrition policies, programs, and interventions. Ultimately, these advancements contribute to healthier lifestyles, increased life expectancy, productivity, and overall wellness.

**Body dietary and energy balance 83:**

 To estimate daily total energy expenditure (TEE), a study used a physical activity monitor in conjunction with dietary assessments of energy intake. The goal was to evaluate the relationship between daily energy expenditure, activity patterns, and energy intake. The physical activity monitor, worn around the left upper triceps, measured TEE, sleep duration, and physical activity. Energy intake was assessed by documenting all consumed food and beverages. The analysis found that TEE correlated positively with BMI and body weight but was inversely related to sleep duration and time spent lying down. However, multiple linear regression analysis showed that after adjusting for BMI, sleep duration, and time lying down, TEE was no longer correlated with energy intake. The findings indicate that body mass, activity levels, and sleep patterns significantly influence TEE. Despite reduced energy intake, energy requirements were not met. This suggests that wearable technology could be valuable for real-time monitoring and personalized nutrition management to prevent weight loss.

**CHALLENGES:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **THEME** | **CHALLENGES** | **RECOMMENDATIONS** |
| Accuracy and validity | Inaccurate data is more harmful than no data | Develop comprehensive evaluation frameworks such as the one developed by Coravos et al. create standards by medical societies to evaluate these devices; define clear and unified regulatory policies for these devices, many of which contain a number of sensors and constantly evolving software algorithms 84 |
| Meaningful use Criteria and clinical evidence | Paucity of meaningful use criteria and robust clinical evidence; very few trials have examined the superiority of wearables for clinical outcomes compared with no wearables | Build an extensive body of evidence that proves efficacy and rules out harm; define meaningful use criteria that separate actionable data from noise; the tech industry should follow the steps of the pharmaceutical industry in investing in large and well-designed randomized clinical trials with long follow-up to improve patient and clinician trust; include wearable teaching modules within telehealth curricula in schools and postgraduate training programmes across different health disciplines 85 |
| Behavioral change | Enacting and maintaining behavioral change is difficult; some studies question the value of wearables in guiding behavioral change | Standardize the methods used to create behavioural change technique tools, such as the framework proposed by Hekler et al. 86; develop tools to pre-empt the problem of non-adherence, such as that developed by Zhou et al. 87; develop novel social and financial incentives that capitalize on behavioural economics and cognitive psychology; insurance rewards programmes must guarantee data privacy, voluntary opt-outs without negative consequences and protect those who cannot afford wearables or have low digital literacy 88 |
| Hardware cost and payment models | Wearables might emerge as a new health disparity; up to threefold difference in wearable use between high and low socioeconomic status | Studies are needed to assess whether wearables will create a new health disparity; manufacturers should consider developing low cost clinical-grade wearables; in the USA, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and private insurance companies should continue to incentivize wearable data use by expanding reimbursement to include data such as physical activity and include lifestyle interventions; as value-based reimbursement for wearables grows, providers should consider giving wearables to their patients through loaner programmes or for a reasonable co-pay 89 |
| Data security and governance | Sensitive wearable data is subject to breaches; sharing wearable data for research or clinical purposes is difficult; unrealistic patient expectations for data handling | De-identification of wearable data might not be sufficient, and next-generation cyber security tools such as block chain should be developed and encouraged; outdated HIPAA/HITECH policies need to be recalibrated to cope with the increasing availability and heterogeneity of patient engagement technologies; rather than opt-out systems to waive rigid security standards, opt-in systems with transparent privacy policies might improve patient engagement; set clear expectations between patients and their clinicians through next-generation data user agreements; openly address patient privacy concerns to gain their trust 90 |
| Data management | Data interoperability, provenance and storage | Develop policies that incentivize semantic interoperability between wearables and other platforms; develop policies that govern data storage and provenance; use novel technologies such as block chain to transform secure data provenance 91 |

**CONCLUSION:**

In summary, the design and functionality of wearable health monitors have advanced significantly, providing users with a variety of features for tracking and managing health. These devices integrate sophisticated sensors, user-friendly interfaces, and connectivity options, making them indispensable tools for personal health management. As technology continues to evolve, wearable health monitors will likely offer even more accurate data, better user experiences, and broader applications in healthcare, contributing to more proactive and personalized health monitoring.
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