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**Abstract**

The concept of food security is a worldwide occurrence that affects every individual. Historically, attempts to boost yields and productivity have had a negative impact on the poor by reducing biodiversity and degrading the environment. A practical approach to guaranteeing global food security while minimizing further environmental harm is sustainable agriculture. This involves farming in ways that take into account ecosystem services. Sustainable agriculture practices rely on ecosystem services to function effectively. Understanding the arid environment and the constraints of arid soils is crucial for mitigating the impact of climate change on global food security. Elevated aridity will restrict crop growth and the availability of vital plant nutrients, endangering important ecological processes and services. Desert soils are far more fragile and extensively deteriorated than other soil types, there hasn't been much attention paid to determining their fertility status and improving it to maintain rain-fed agricultural systems. Through a variety of extension techniques, including material training, group discussions for the implementation of organic farming technologies were brought to the farmers' field. Permaculture is supported by a comprehensive sustainability idea and twelve guiding principles. This chapter describes the requirement for diverse organic farming systems through a moral outlook and a workable design process in arid regions. This is a socio-cultural phenomenon that can be found in both rural and urban areas. It centers on non-commercial gardening, such as in urban community initiatives, or self-sufficiency farming, such as in eco-villages.
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**1. Introduction**

Due to the growing global population, agricultural systems now have to take center stage in any solution to the food crisis [1]. The population growth is 3% and cereal grain growth is 1%, there has been a sharp increase in global food demand. From the latter two decades of the 20th century to the first decade of the 21st, there has been an increase in these expectations [2]. In Africa, the amount of cereal produced per person has dropped to 130 kg during this period, but in Asia and South America, it has increased to 250 kg per person [3]. It is commonly known that rising temperatures and variations in precipitation in agriculture are caused by climate change. The variability of climate conditions presents a significant obstacle to food security in emerging nations and necessitates a sustainable resolution [4]. Since the world's population is expected to grow at an alarming rate [5], roughly 9 billion people by 2050—the projected food demand (in calories) will rise faster than the area of arable land. This will raise pressure on currently available agricultural practices that ensure food and feed demand. For food insecurity to be eliminated, agricultural growth is required [6]. Agricultural land makes up around 43% of the world's land area when glacial and arid terrain are excluded. It is cultivated for food and non-food crops [7]. Most countries have switched, at least partially, from extensive to intense agriculture to ensure food sufficiency with limited farmed land resources [8]. Globally, the average yield of the main food crops is rising linearly, but the relative growth rate is falling. Given that agriculture dominates the local economy, the sustainability of the aquifer-agricultural system poses a significant barrier to the region of interest's sustainable growth [9],[10]. Inputs for enhancing agricultural yield and technologies have low outputs for the inputs investment. The average yields in important food baskets around the world are declining or stagnating. Furthermore, the biophysical factors that limit the yield are influenced by climate, soil, and water availability has attain an average farm yield [11]. Stakeholder pressures such as growing population, finite arable land, and growing climate change scenarios have forced specialists to evaluate agricultural stability [12]. Several indicators point to the fall in agricultural productivity, including the prevalence of food insecurity, occurrences of hunger, and malnutrition [13]. Long-term monoculture techniques have drastically reduced total carbon, nitrogen levels, and other vital minerals in Tanzania's semi-arid tropical regions [14].

The decline in soil quality and crop productivity has significantly impacted the livelihoods of over 70% of local smallholders [15]. These farmers are particularly vulnerable due to the ongoing stressors of climate change, which have led to increased food insecurity and extreme poverty [16]. The meager crops only last three to six months, leaving the population severely starved for the rest of the year. As a result, the annual food shortfall in the area is estimated to reach 50%. The region has experienced devastating years, leading to a sharp increase in food insecurity and extreme poverty among smallholder farmers [17]. About one-third of greenhouse gas emissions come from agriculture [18], which is also quite susceptible to climate changes [19] and thus affects food production, causing a threat to global food security. The production of food and labor productivity has increased due to intensive crop farming. However, this costs the degradation of land through various means- soil erosion, improper irrigation, degraded soil quality, increased emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs), loss of biodiversity, and ecological resilience[20].

Over 60% of the surface of the globe is covered in drylands. Part of the drylands, arid zones are characterized by the harshest edapho-climatic conditions. The main characteristic that sets apart the arid zone for planning and defining purposes is its low levels of precipitation (less than 500 mm or Aridity Index <0.20) and more than 50% inter-annual variability. This significantly impacts the ecosystem's characteristics, the socioeconomic setting, and the sustainability concerns. Elevated wind and sun regimes amplify the impact of rainfall fluctuations, and the combined effect creates a delicate ecosystem wherein little perturbations can result in significant and occasionally irreparable losses to sustainability [21]. The hot, dry areas of India encompass seven states: Rajasthan, Gujarat, Punjab, Haryana, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, and Maharashtra. They are located between 24° and 29° N latitude and 70° and 76° E longitude, spanning an area of 31.70 million hectares. Table 2 presents a breakdown of hot, arid regions by area. 11% of the nation is covered by hot, dry conditions. The Great Indian Desert, sometimes called the Thar Desert, comprises the desert regions of Rajasthan, Gujarat, Punjab, and Haryana. Together, these regions make up 89.6% of all of India's hot arid regions and are the main hot dry zone [22]. Arid lands  make up over 25% of the world's land area (about 2.6 billion hectares), and are home to nearly 1.7 billion people [23]. Over 700 million productive hectares in the arid regions have been degraded worldwide, with an annual degradation rate exceeding 400 million hectares [23]. The primary causes are the lack of public policies that address the potential socioeconomic effects of climate variations, especially during protracted droughts, the excess demand for a small number of products on the market, and the lack of sustainable livestock and agricultural practices [24]. Food production is one of the primary causes of environmental degradation in Tanzania's semiarid regions, and if left unchecked, it has the potential to wipe out the ecosystems. Therefore, to create a situation where both sides benefit, food policies should be considerate to the environment, particularly regarding fragile ecosystems[25].

**2. Importance of Organic farming in arid regions**

**2.1. Water Scarcity**:

Arid regions experience low rainfall and have limited water availability, making irrigation a major concern. Droughts, desertification, and water scarcity permanently characterize drylands. In arid regions, an increase in evapotranspiration negatively influences human activities and agriculture, horticulture, and forestry [26]. Water is scarce in an area that is primarily made up of arid and semi-arid lands. A large portion of degraded land results from aeolian processes, and because soils in these areas are typically thin, most rainfall runs off the surface and only infiltrates deeper soils downslope or along river beds [27]. Variations in the frequency and intensity of rainfall events will also affect the number of recharge events. Considerations for crop enterprises should focus on maximizing the use of available moisture based on the type of soil. Crops in drylands have adapted to efficiently utilize stored moisture. To ensure water availability during periods of moisture stress, it is essential to store water received on days with limited and irregular rainfall. There should be a greater emphasis on water conservation techniques. It has been found that watershed-based strategies are more effective in conserving soil and water [28].
**2.2. Soil Quality**:

Soils in arid regions typically consist of sandy, saline, and low-nutrient content with minimal organic matter. Organic farming has the potential to enhance the ecological and economic well-being of dry regions by avoiding pollution and utilizing local labor and resources effectively. It also emphasizes the preservation and improvement of soil health. Semi-arid or arid dryland soils typically have low levels of organic matter and limited water retention capacity [29]. Incorporating organic matter into dryland soils will not only improve their physical condition but also significantly increase their ability to provide well-balanced nutrients suitable for plant growth. According to [30], the overuse of natural resources in drylands is mainly due to the improper use of production-enhancing technology. Temperature Extremes: High temperatures can stress plants and soil biota, affecting growth and yield.

**2.3. Pest and Disease Pressure: Arid climates can favor certain pests and diseases that are difficult to manage organically**.

Moreover, very little pesticide is used. Additionally, a sizable portion of the drylands are still classified as "virgin," meaning that no artificial inputs have been applied there yet. This facilitates a swift transition to organic farming without sacrificing yield. Due to climate fluctuation, dryland farming methods have historically mixed crops, trees, animals, grasses, etc. The main goals of organic farming, nutrient cycling and soil fertility restoration, have been proven to be effectively achieved by such diverse systems, which also reduce insect prevalence. Moreover, India's traditional farmers have a wealth of knowledge about managing soil fertility and controlling pests that is based on years of observation and experience; this knowledge can be utilized to enhance organic systems [31].

**2 Sustainable agriculture ensures food security**

**3.1 Sustainable agriculture management through agroforestry in arid regions.**

The abiotic constraints of dry regions have spurred ongoing research into ecological restoration and sustainable land management strategies that could ensure the production of food for human populations while protecting biodiversity [32]. Agroforestry Systems (AS) are acknowledged as a viable social and ecological substitute. Productive restoration is another term for this strategy that improves local communities' quality of life as well as biodiversity recovery [33]. Both management theories advocate promoting production optimization and profitability through productive diversity, bolstering social engagement, and defending cultural diversity, as opposed to optimizing the output of a single system element (monocultures). While reforestation of arable land is not a novel idea, it is one of the most significant global efforts to enhance the management of land resources. Approximately one billion hectares of agricultural land currently have a protective forest cover of over ten percent [34], and projections currently in the public domain indicate that trees will shield 1.6 billion hectares of land shortly. Growing trees, crops, and cattle in harmony with one another is known as agroforestry [35]. A long-term view on sustainable reforestation refers to the advantages that forests provide to land users in the form of ecosystem goods and services [36].

**3.2. Advantages of Agroforestry in arid regions**.

* The state of the soil and other ecosystem functions, such as better water infiltration and decreased nutrient runoff, are observed to improve as soon as a farmer implements agroforestry. This, in turn, raises crop yields or lowers production costs, which ultimately boost returns [37].
* In addition to offering consistent income and other advantages for human well-being, agroforestry can stop environmental degradation, boost agricultural productivity, improve carbon uptake, and maintain healthy soil and ecosystems [38].
* Agroforestry systems provide great promise for achieving a wide range of sustainable development objectives. Simultaneously, the objectives of environmental and social sustainability are being particularly successfully attained through enhancing the efficiency of land use, augmenting employment prospects on farms, and engaging with nearby communities. However, it is acknowledged that there are still substantial financial obstacles that could prevent agroforestry from being further implemented [39].
* In order to minimize the exploitation of protected areas, agroforestry systems can be integrated into biodiversity corridors for a range of applications, including timber and non-timber forest products [40]. Native fruit and timber trees are planted as companion species to restore the ecosystem in regions where the forest has disappeared. To save environmental reserves, agroforestry training is being provided to farmers in Tanzania. According to Huang et al. (2002) [40], agroforestry significantly improves Tanzania's nature reserves' ability to conserve biodiversity.
* It is commonly known that agroforestry can help prevent desertification. Expanding forested areas might be seen as a desertification-reduction strategy in arid and semi-arid regions. The IFAD-initiated agroforestry project in Senegal has improved soil fertility, water availability, and tree cover regeneration via two sequential phases. There hasn't been much work done in the Miombo ecoregion to include agroforestry in efforts to reduce desertification [41].
* A shortage of fodder affects farmers, particularly in the dry season when most meadows have dried up. planting fodder plants and shrubs is known as a fodder bank agroforestry technique. To prevent soil erosion, the trees and bushes are primarily planted along borders, paths, and across contours. The fodder can be fed to animals in an enclosure in a cut-and-carry manner or utilized for controlled browsing. During the growing season, the bushes used for fodder are gathered on a regular basis and can be utilized as an alternative or supplement to the more costly dairy concentrate. Research conducted in East Africa demonstrates that 500 bushes, belonging to species like *Calliandra calothyrsus*, may serve as a dairy cow's annual feed source when substituted for dairy concentrate. [42].
* **3.3. Challenges of Agroforestry in arid regions**
* Adoption and use of agroforestry are still not well known and documented. Gaining a better knowledge of farmers' decision-making about agroforestry techniques is necessary to increase the efficacy of agroforestry diffusion. There is a large body of research on the factors that influence the adoption of agricultural techniques, most of which focuses on agronomic issues and complementary treatments like better varieties, innovative crop protection sprays, or subsidies for mineral fertilizers[43][44].
* Many smallholder farmers lack the expertise needed to oversee agroforestry. Additionally, they lack access to basic supplies like seeds. Farmers need some basic education because using trees for soil fertility or other benefits involves relatively novel concepts [45]. It is challenging for extension workers to reach a significant number of farmers due to their limited capacity in terms of manpower, time, and agroforestry knowledge.
* • Another issue is the scarcity of suitable tree species and tree seeds. For rural farmers, access to high-quality seed is a recurring challenge. [46]. Better seeds and planting materials are in scarce supply. Just 10% of planting material is of a high caliber; the remaining 90% is not guaranteed to meet any particular standards [47]. Agroforestry models that are appropriate for the various agroclimatic zones, for native species that serve several purposes (like Prosopis cineraria), or for the domestication of species—which leads to an excessive focus on a select few species like Poplar, Eucalyptus, Kadam, etc.—have not received enough attention[48].
* Agroforestry produce in India lacks marketing infrastructure, with the exception of a few states. Because of this, the market is mostly in favor of buyers, and middlemen make up the majority of profits [48]. Agroforestry-adopting farmers are also faced with complicated, expensive, and aggravating regulations regarding the felling of trees, the shipping, processing, and marketing of wood, all of which have a big impact on their decisions[48]. Likewise, taxes are levied by numerous authorities at different phases of the processing. Consequently, the domestic agroforestry produce is falling behind the imported counterpart. Therefore, these regulatory limitations must be lifted [49].

Case study-

* Numerous agroforestry methods have been created, and farmers in semi-arid areas of India have embraced some of them. A few of these are based on fruit trees while others are based on pulpwood and timber, spread across a 13 m ha area. In mango, aonla, and guava, fruit tree-based systems are said to yield net returns of up to Rs. 32,000/ha/year, Rs. 24408/ha/year, and Rs. 3916/ha/year. The net returns from wood-based systems are approximately three times higher than those from annual crops. These systems have a benefit-cost of up to 5.5 and yield respectable returns in years with little rainfall. Improved returns can be achieved by management techniques such as high-density planting, canopy control, adding animal components and high-value intercrops, and using organic production methods for fruit trees [50].

**4. Permaculture**

Bruce Charles "Bill" Mollison, an Australian ecological visionary and David Holmgren, coined "permaculture" (a portmanteau word) in 1978. It refers to an integrated system of eco-environmental design connected to the concept of a primarily perennial kind of agriculture. The words "permanent" and "agriculture" make up its composition [51]. Permaculture is defined as "the intentional creation and upkeep of a productive agricultural ecosystem that integrates all ecosystem components to meet multiple community objectives sustainably and is characterized by sustainability, diversity, stability, and durability" [52]. Combining the terms "permanent" with "agriculture," the term "permaculture" refers to a design system and framework of best practices for the development and maintenance of resilient and sustainable agroecosystems. According to its proponents, permaculture is a concept for creating socio-ecologically sustainable land use systems that acknowledge the interdependence of social and land use systems. Holmgren defines permaculture as purposefully constructed landscapes that mimic natural patterns and relationships while producing a sufficient supply of food, fiber, and energy for the provision of local requirements.  Permaculture is a "positivistic" answer to the environmental catastrophe. There is little question that the current global industrial society will undergo profound transformation due to the severity of the environmental problem. The process has an immediate impact on the existence and general well-being of the world's growing population [53].

The goal of the permaculture system is to lessen the risks and hazards associated with traditional agricultural products including pesticides, herbicides, fertilizers, and insecticides [53]. The actual use of permaculture in agricultural production bears many similarities to other alternative farming approaches like organic farming, biodynamic farming, agroforestry, and agroecology. In that it places an emphasis on intercropping techniques, varied landscapes, and spatial species association—that is, the coexistence of crops, animals, and trees—permaculture is comparable to agroecology and agroforestry. Like organic and biodynamic farming, permaculture places a strong emphasis on soil fertility [54].

Since permaculture design is a non-linear process, common faults in managing complex systems should be avoided through application of observation, analysis, and design methodologies. The permaculture concepts and spatial tactics make up the majority of this design approach [55]. The public is becoming increasingly interested in the global movement of permaculture. Nonetheless, permaculture receives very little attention in the scholarly literature. Permaculture practitioners contend that institutions and scientists reject the radical ideas they present, but their credibility is damaged by their peculiar application of scientific terminology and dissemination of unproven scientific claims [55][56].

**4.1 . Importance of Permaculture approaches in agriculture**

The last few decades have seen a significant transformation in agriculture as a result of the Green Revolution, which has increased food production. Technological advancements have contributed to this transformation by increasing yield per unit of land, decreasing workload, and improving food safety [54][57]. However, these benefits have come at an unexpected cost: large-scale land exploitation for intensive agriculture, overuse of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, and numerous other incidents have gradually resulted in a devastating impact on the environment, ultimately harming the ecosystem and elements.

* Increases in human population and competitive land use lead to land scarcity and the conversion of natural areas to agriculture and other uses. A major factor influencing biodiversity is land use, especially agriculture. Ecological processes and the composition of biodiversity have changed significantly due to the conversion of natural ecosystems into semi-natural ecosystems or manmade systems. Thus, biodiversity decreased as agriculture became more intensive
* [58].
* Compaction of the soil is a key concern in all agricultural locations worldwide [59]. The characteristics and health of the soil have suffered as a result of intensive cultivation. The level of soil organic matter (SOM) is significantly impacted by intensive farming methods. Soil organic matter and soil structure are destroyed as a result of intensive agricultural practices [60].
* An over reliance on dwindling groundwater resources raises serious issues, endangering future food production and global food security as arable land loses productivity and occasionally becomes salinized [58].
* Greenhouse gas emissions from intensive agriculture contribute significantly to global warming. The primary production stage in intensive agriculture accounts for the majority of agricultural GHG emissions [61]. The application of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers is a major factor in agricultural soil emissions of nitrous oxide gas. The mentioned elements can severely disrupt the vital functions of the Earth's ecosystem and hurt food security [62]. The purpose of this review is to examine and highlight permaculture's potential to replace intensive agriculture methods.

**4.2 . Importance of Permaculture**

* **No-Tillage practices**

No-till farming, which involves planting crops straight into a field without first plowing it, is one of the most important permaculture techniques. Adopting no-till management has several advantages, such as improved soil structure, increased soil moisture retention, less soil erosion, and carbon storage [63]. When crop leftovers accumulate in the soil under a no-till soil management technique, the soil's surface layer becomes enriched with organic matter, which boosts the microbial population [64]. No-till farming results in more water in the soil, less erosion, a greater variety and quantity of life in and on the soil, and a higher need for herbicides. It's becoming common knowledge that using no-till can effectively slow down soil deterioration. This farming method minimizes soil disturbance during sowing and fertilization while retaining agricultural wastes or other organic goodies on the soil's surface [65].

* **Mulching**

Drastic rainfall patterns and global warming are to blame for the depletion of water supplies that restrict agricultural output in semi-arid and arid regions [66]. Consequently, conserving soil moisture through mulching could be a cost-effective way to increase dryland farming productivity while saving water. Mulching maximizes water use while also assisting in the improvement of crop development and output. By lowering soil evaporation and controlling soil temperature, mulching conserves soil water and lessens the need for irrigation when crops are being grown [67].

* **Polyculture**

One common aspect of Permaculture practice is the use of polyculture for crop cultivation. The range of elements needed to offer various ecosystem services and sociocultural activities is provided by this framework [68]. A useful strategy for a more intensive use of cropland that could increase the production obtained per unit of land cultivated was to mix different crops in the same plot. This would help mitigate the failure of a single crop by providing some relief from the combination of crops with varying resilience to adverse climate events and diseases [69].

* **Stacking**

Stacking is the practice of growing food vertically—that is, on walls, fences, trellises, and balconies. It entails combining small and tall plants in one location to maximize the amount of space that is available. Growing sweetcorn alongside vegetables from the pumpkin family, such as squashes, marrows, and courgettes, in the same location is an example that suggests this technique [70].

* **Agricultural Forestry**

Agroforestry is another permaculture practice to maximize the agricultural productivity in arid and semi-arid regions. Agroforestry can help reduce the effects of climate change and implement resilient farming systems. Based on current research, planting trees on farms has the potential to slow down environmental deterioration, improve agricultural output, increase carbon sequestration, and support healthy soil and ecosystems—all the while preserving consistent profits and other benefits for human welfare.

**5. Conclusion**

Although the environment is unavoidably impacted by all agricultural systems, Organic agriculture systems are thought to have fewer negative consequences than conventional systems. Nevertheless, there is conflicting scientific evidence supporting such environmental benefits. Synthetic pesticides and herbicides are rejected by Organic agriculture and soluble mineral fertilizer inputs are forbidden, organic yields are typically roughly 19% lower than conventional yields. Although organic agriculture may improve the quality of the soil, air, and water and require less energy than conventional approaches, its impacts on biodiversity are controversial. As consumer demand increases, more agricultural land will be farmed organically in the future. However, to more thoroughly evaluate the environmental effects of Organic agriculture, long-term field trials are required in significant worldwide agricultural regions. While organic farming and agroforestry are two different disciplines, combining them can result in highly productive and sustainable agricultural systems that take advantage of each other's advantages.

In dry areas, agroforestry offers a comprehensive, environmentally sound means of subsistence. Policies must encourage the growth of these traditional agroforestry systems based on synergy with nature to meet both present and future demands. Arid zone agroforestry is also used to address global issues including biodiversity preservation, global warming, and desertification; however, this will need stronger international policy backing.

To design systems that are resilient, regenerative, and productive in addition to being sustainable, many practitioners combine the ideas of permaculture and organic farming. To improve its ecological balance and community involvement, a farm may use permaculture design concepts in addition to being certified organic. In conclusion, organic farming concentrates more on sustainable agricultural methods, whereas permaculture offers a more comprehensive, all-encompassing approach to creating sustainable human habitats. Despite this, both permaculture and organic farming are dedicated to sustainability and ecological health.
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